

AMERICAN ASSOCIATION FOR THE ADVANCEMENT OF SCIENCE

Science serves its readers as a forum for the presentation and discussion of important issues related to the advancement of science, including the presentation of minority or conflicting points of view, rather than by publishing only material on which a consensus has been reached. Accordingly, all articles published in *Science*—including editorials, news and comment, and book reviews—are signed and reflect the individual views of the authors and not official points of view adopted by the AAAS or the institutions with which the authors are affiliated.

Editorial Board

FREDERICK R. BLATTNER, BERNARD F. BURKE, ARNOLD DEMAIN, CHARLES L. DRAKE, ARTHUR F. FINDEIS, E. PETER GEIDUSCHEK, GLYNN ISAAC, NEAL E. MILLER, FREDERICK MOSTELLER, ALLEN NEWELL, RUTH PATRICK, BRYANT W. ROSSITER, VERA C. RUBIN, WILLIAM P. SLICHTER, SOLOMON H. SNYDER, PAUL E. WAGGONER, JOHN WOOD

Publisher: WILLIAM D. CAREY

Editor: PHILIP H. ABELSON

Editorial Staff

Assistant Managing Editor: JOHN E. RINGLE
Production Editor: ELLEN E. MURPHY
Business Manager: HANS NUSSBAUM
News Editor: BARBARA J. CULLITON
News and Comment: COLIN NORMAN (deputy editor), JEFFREY L. FOX, CONSTANCE HOLDEN, ELIOT MARSHALL, R. JEFFREY SMITH, MARJORIE SUN, JOHN WALSH
European Correspondent: DAVID DICKSON
Contributing Writer: LUTHER J. CARTER
Research News: ROGER LEWIN (deputy editor), RICHARD A. KERR, GINA KOLATA, JEAN L. MARX, THOMAS H. MAUGH II, ARTHUR L. ROBINSON, M. MITCHELL WALDROP
Administrative Assistant, News: SCHERRAINE MACK;
Editorial Assistant, News: FANNIE GROOM
Senior Editors: ELEANORE BUTZ, MARY DORFMAN, RUTH KULSTAD
Associate Editors: MARTHA COLLINS, SYLVIA EBERTHART, CAITILIN GORDON, WILLIAM GREAVES, LOIS SCHMITT
Assistant Editors: STEPHEN KEPPLER, LISA MCCULLOUGH, EDITH MEYERS
Book Reviews: KATHERINE LIVINGSTON, *Editor:* LINDA HEISERMAN, JANET KEGG
Letters: CHRISTINE GILBERT
Copy Editor: ISABELLA BOULDIN
Production: JOHN BAKER; HOLLY BISHOP, ELEANOR WARNER; JEAN ROCKWOOD, SHARON RYAN, BEVERLY SHIELDS
Covers, Reprints, and Permissions: GRAYCE FINGER, *Editor:* GERALDINE CRUMP, CORRINE HARRIS
Guide to Scientific Instruments: RICHARD G. SOMMER
Editorial Administrator: SUSAN ELLIOTT
Assistant to the Associate Publisher: ROSE LOWERY
Assistant to the Managing Editor: NANCY HARTNAGEL
Membership Recruitment: GWENDOLYN HUDDLE
Member and Subscription Records: ANN RAGLAND
EDITORIAL CORRESPONDENCE: 1515 Massachusetts Avenue, NW, Washington, D.C. 20005. Area code 202. General Editorial Office, 467-4350; Book Reviews, 467-4367; Guide to Scientific Instruments, 467-4480; News and Comment, 467-4430; Reprints and Permissions, 467-4483; Research News, 467-4321. Cable: *Advancesci*, Washington. For "Information for Contributors," write to the editorial office or see page xi, *Science*, 29 June 1984.
BUSINESS CORRESPONDENCE: Area Code 202. Membership and Subscriptions: 467-4417.

Advertising Representatives

Director: EARL J. SCHERAGO
Production Manager: GINA REILLY
Advertising Sales Manager: RICHARD L. CHARLES
Marketing Manager: HERBERT L. BURKLUND
Sales: NEW YORK, N.Y. 10036: Steve Hamburger, 1515 Broadway (212-730-1050); SCOTCH PLAINS, N.J. 07076: C. Richard Callis, 12 Unami Lane (201-889-4873); CHICAGO, ILL. 60611: Jack Ryan, Room 2107, 919 N. Michigan Ave. (312-337-4973); BEVERLY HILLS, CALIF. 90211: Winn Nance, 111 N. La Cienega Blvd. (213-657-2772); SAN JOSE, CALIF. 95112: Bob Brindley, 310 S. 16 St. (408-998-4690); DORSET, VT. 05251: Fred W. Diefenbach, Kent Hill Rd. (802-867-5581).
ADVERTISING CORRESPONDENCE: Tenth floor, 1515 Broadway, New York 10036 (212-730-1050).

The Graduate Curriculum

In this country, the graduate curriculum is determined primarily by departmental and disciplinary requirements designed to produce the most advanced researcher, scholar, or practitioner in a given discipline. As a consequence, the graduate curriculum is viewed as a series of disparate programs held together by a minimum number of programwide requirements. Recent national reports on the status of graduate education suggest that the graduate curriculum today may need to address issues and problems that cut across disciplines and that are not usually embraced by primarily discipline-oriented programs.

The issue before us is what should the future doctoral recipient look like after 4 to 6 years of intensive graduate study. If we look at industrial research laboratories, we note that they are being staffed increasingly by multidisciplinary research teams. We have heard from many corporate executives that their research laboratories require creative and flexible researchers with training from several fields. The coupling of organic chemistry and computer science to model the geometry of molecules is but one of many examples. We observe also the increasing convergence of separate disciplines into multidisciplinary areas such as biotechnology, the cognitive and decision sciences, and the neurosciences, all of which cross traditional departmental boundaries.

The Northeastern Association of Graduate Schools, a group of 90 graduate institutions from Maryland to Maine, has made some suggestions for modifying the graduate curriculum to respond to these developments. They include four principal changes.

The adoption of a cohesive minor for doctoral students would stress linkages among disciplines and prepare students to embrace research challenges from converging branches of knowledge and technology. This requirement might be assessed by comprehensive examinations following completion of the minor.

A new seminar focusing on the process rather than the products of inquiry could be required for all doctoral students during the early stages of their programs. Researchers from different disciplines, describing how they frame and execute their research, might provide students with alternative perspectives for approaching specific disciplinary research projects and possibly break some of the paradigms perpetuated under a strictly discipline-oriented curriculum. The advancement of the arts, humanities, and sciences might take some new and perhaps more coordinated directions as a result of such an approach.

A didactic short course focusing on the ethical, governmental, and legal forces that shape and influence research and scholarship could also be included in doctoral programs. The current issues surrounding the conduct of human and animal experimentation, the management of hazardous research materials, intellectual property rights, technology transfer, and close examination of the specific ways in which research and scholarship contribute to society could be systematically presented and examined.

Finally, the doctoral dissertation defense could be expanded to test systematically a candidate's ability to communicate the specific research project to a broad scholarly audience to show the implications of the research for other disciplines. This requirement would stress the broadening of the conception and communication of a research project.

The discussion of programwide requirements for the graduate curriculum has not been focused since the debates many years ago over retaining language requirements or substituting more timely technological skills. Such a discussion is long overdue. It may also be of value to consider the different disciplines as a part of, rather than apart from, a more unified graduate curriculum while still promoting excellence in given areas of specialization.—ROBERT B. LAWSON, *Dean of the Graduate College, University of Vermont, Burlington 05405*