

- and R. F. Thompson, *Brain Res.* **145**, 323 (1978).
6. T. W. Berger and W. B. Orr, in *Conditioning: Representation of Involved Neural Functions*, C. D. Woody, Ed. (Plenum, New York, 1982), p. 1; *Behav. Brain Res.* **8**, 49 (1983); S. D. Berry and R. F. Thompson, *Science* **205**, 209 (1979); P. R. Solomon, S. D. Solomon, E. V. Schaaf, H. E. Perry, *ibid.* **220**, 329 (1983).
  7. P. A. Schwartzkroin, *Brain Res.* **85**, 423 (1975); K. K. Skrede and R. H. Westgaard, *ibid.* **35**, 589 (1971); T. J. Teyler, *Brain Res. Bull.* **5**, 391 (1980); \_\_\_\_\_ and K. K. Skrede, *Acta Physiol. Scand. (Suppl.)* **396**, A109 (1973).
  8. T. V. P. Bliss and A. Gardner-Medwin, *J. Physiol. (London)* **232**, 357 (1973); T. V. P. Bliss and T. Lomo, *ibid.*, p. 331; G. V. Goddard and M. Riives, *ibid.*, in press; R. M. Douglas and G. V. Goddard, *Brain Res.* **86**, 205 (1975); P. A. Schwartzkroin and K. Wester, *ibid.* **89**, 107 (1975); C. Yamamoto and T. Chujo, *Exp. Neurol.* **58**, 242 (1978).
  9. B. E. Alger and T. J. Teyler, *Brain Res.* **110**, 463 (1976); P. Andersen, S. H. Sundberg, J. N. Swann, H. Wigstrom, *J. Physiol. (London)* **302**, 463 (1980); T. V. P. Bliss, G. V. Goddard, M. Riives, *ibid.*, in press; T. Dunwiddie and G. Lynch, *ibid.* **276**, 353 (1978); M. Baudry and G. Lynch, *Exp. Neurol.* **68**, 202 (1980); G. S. Lynch, T. Dunwiddie, V. Gribkoff, *Nature (London)* **266**, 737 (1977); B. A. MacVicar and F. E. Dudek, *Brain Res.* **196**, 494 (1980); B. L. McNaughton, R. M. Douglas, C. V. Goddard, *ibid.* **157**, 277 (1978); P. A. Schwartzkroin and L. H. Mathers, *ibid.* **157**, 1 (1978); W. B. Levy and O. Steward, *Brain Res.* **175**, 233 (1979); *Neuroscience* **8**, 791 (1983).
  10. L. W. Swanson, T. J. Teyler, R. F. Thompson, *Neurosci. Res. Progr. Bull.* **20**, 613 (1982).
  11. P. Andersen, S. H. Sundberg, O. Sveen, H. Wigstrom, *Nature (London)* **266**, 736 (1977); K. K. Skrede and D. Malthe-Sorensen, *Brain Res.* **208**, 436 (1981).
  12. N. L. Desmond and W. B. Levy, *Brain Res.* **265**, 21 (1983); K. S. Lee, F. Schottler, M. Oliver, G. Lynch, *J. Neurophysiol.* **44**, 247 (1980); D. A. Moshkov, L. L. Petrovskaja, A. G. Bragin, *Tsitologiya* **22**, 20 (1980); A. Van Harreveld and E. Fikova, *Exp. Neurol.* **49**, 736 (1975).
  13. M. Baudry and G. Lynch, *Exp. Neurol.* **68**, 202 (1980).
  14. M. Browning *et al.*, *Science* **203**, 60 (1979); C. Duffy, T. J. Teyler, V. E. Shashoua, *ibid.* **212**, 1148 (1981).
  15. B. E. Alger and T. J. Teyler, *Brain Res.* **159**, 239 (1979); T. Dunwiddie and G. Lynch, *ibid.* **169**, 103 (1979); T. Dunwiddie, D. Madison, G. Lynch, *ibid.* **150**, 85 (1978); L. C. Fritz and A. R. Gardner-Medwin, *ibid.* **112**, 183 (1976); P. Andersen, H. Silfvenius, S. H. Sundberg, O. Sveen, *J. Physiol. (London)* **307**, 273 (1980b); T. V. P. Bliss and T. Lomo, *ibid.* **232**, 331 (1973); F. E. Dudek, S. A. Deadwyler, C. W. Cotman, G. Lynch, *J. Neurophysiol.* **39**, 384 (1976); G. S. Lynch, V. K. Gribkoff, S. A. Deadwyler, *Nature (London)* **263**, 151 (1976); P. Andersen, S. H. Sundberg, O. Sveen, H. Wigstrom, *ibid.* **266**, 736 (1977).
  16. T. W. Berger, *Neurosci. Res. Progr. Bull.* **20**, 723 (1982).
  17. D. J. Weisz *et al.*, in *Conditioning: Representation of Involved Neural Functions*, C. D. Woody, Ed. (Plenum, New York, 1982), p. 131.
  18. Stimulation electrodes were a pair of stainless steel insect pins (00) insulated with Epoxylite. The tips were scraped to expose 200 to 250  $\mu\text{m}^2$  of uninsulated surface. They were separated by 1 mm in the medial-lateral plane. Recording electrodes were stainless steel insect pins (00) etched to a taper in 10 percent HCl and then insulated with Epoxylite. Tips were scraped to expose approximately 50  $\mu\text{m}^2$  of uninsulated surface. Impedances typically measured 500 kilohm to 1 megohm when tested at 135 Hz in vitro.
  19. P. Andersen, B. Holmqvist, P. E. Voorhoeve, *Acta Physiol. Scand.* **66**, 448 (1966).
  20. T. J. Teyler, in *Advances in Psychobiology*, A. Riessen and R. F. Thompson, Eds. (Wiley, New York, 1976), p. 301.
  21. R. F. Thompson, L. Mamounas, G. S. Lynch, M. Baudry, *Soc. Neurosci. Abstr.* **9**, 830 (1983).
  22. W. B. Orr and T. W. Berger, *ibid.*, p. 646.
  23. P. Andersen, T. V. P. Bliss, K. K. Skrede, *Exp. Brain Res.* **13**, 222 (1971).
  24. Supported by grants from the McKnight Foundation, the National Science Foundation (BNS80-21395) and a Research Career Development Award from the National Institute of Mental Health (MH 00343). I thank D. A. Ciarolla for technical assistance and D. Shirk for helping to prepare the manuscript.

13 October 1983; accepted 21 December 1983

## References and Notes

1. S. H. Hsu, G. D. Luk, A. J. Krush, S. R. Hamilton, H. H. Hoover, Jr., *Science* **221**, 951 (1983).
2. E. Beutler, Z. Collins, L. Irwin, *N. Engl. J. Med.* **276**, 389 (1967).

14 October 1983; accepted 2 March 1984

We agree that our summary of the study by Beutler *et al.* (1) was too brief to do justice to that work. Their findings that the primary tumor contained both G6PD isoenzymes may indeed have been due to stromal cell contamination; they pointed out that the primary tumor was mostly fibrous stroma (1). We did not include their findings that most of the liver and omental metastases contained either G6PD-A or G6PD-B. Beutler *et al.* did not unequivocally interpret those findings as showing that the origin of the tumor was multicentric. Rather, they stated that "the studies . . . suggest that the metastases represented clones . . . [and] it appears that this tumor arose from . . . a patch of cells. . . ." In the summary, the authors stated that "the findings were regarded as consistent with a multicentric origin of carcinoma of the colon" (1).

Furthermore, the finding of metastases containing either G6PD-A or G6PD-B in most cases and both isoenzymes when stromal contamination was present is consistent with a clonally derived primary tumor and the presence of an undetected synchronous or metachronous clonal primary tumor or tumors. Synchronous and metachronous colon cancers occur not infrequently in advanced colon cancers (2); and the patient described by Beutler *et al.* had advanced cancer and died 3 months after laparotomy for obstruction (1). Therefore, although the study of Beutler *et al.* suggested a multicentric origin, we do not yet have unequivocal documentation of the colonic origin of colon carcinoma.

SUSAN H. HSU

Department of Medicine, Johns Hopkins University School of Medicine, Baltimore, Maryland 21205

GORDON D. LUK

Department of Medicine and Oncology Center, Johns Hopkins University School of Medicine

## References and Notes

1. E. Beutler, Z. Collins, L. Irwin, *N. Engl. J. Med.* **276**, 389 (1967).
2. R. J. Heald and H. J. R. Bussey, *Dis. Colon Rectum* **18**, 6 (1975).

2 April 1984; accepted 3 April 1984

## Multicentric Origin of Colon Carcinoma

Hsu *et al.* (1) report that colonic polyps in patients with Gardner syndrome are multiclonal in origin. They pose the question of whether colonic carcinomas arising in such patients would also be multiclonal.

In fact, a colon carcinoma of a black female heterozygous for glucose-6-phosphate dehydrogenase (G6PD) deficiency was found to be multiclonal in origin by E. Beutler *et al.* (2). Hsu *et al.* suggest that this observation "may have been due to stromal contamination in the samples." We (2) are incorrectly cited as being one of the sources of this suggestion. The actual findings were that some metastases contained primarily G6PD-A and others primarily G6PD-B. Only 7 of 24 tumor nodules contained equal amounts of G6PD-A and G6PD-B, and we proposed that in these nodules the findings might be due to stromal con-

tamination. The fact that many tumors in the patient contained either G6PD-A or G6PD-B was interpreted unequivocally as showing that the origin of the tumor was multicentric. Although these observations are more than 15 years old, we still consider them to be valid. While they may not answer the question specifically posed regarding Gardner syndrome, they do show that colon carcinoma may, at least at times, be multicentric in origin. One can only speculate regarding the mechanism that transforms several cells to give rise to what seems to be a single neoplasm. It could be due, for example, to a viral infection transforming a patch of cells rather than a single cell.

ERNEST BEUTLER

Department of Basic and Clinical Research, Scripps Clinic and Research Foundation, La Jolla, California 92037