

AMERICAN ASSOCIATION FOR THE ADVANCEMENT OF SCIENCE

Science serves its readers as a forum for the presentation and discussion of important issues related to the advancement of science, including the presentation of minority or conflicting points of view, rather than by publishing only material on which a consensus has been reached. Accordingly, all articles published in *Science*—including editorials, news and comment, and book reviews—are signed and reflect the individual views of the authors and not official points of view adopted by the AAAS or the institutions with which the authors are affiliated.

Editorial Board

1983: FREDERICK R. BLATTNER, BERNARD F. BURKE, CHARLES L. DRAKE, ARTHUR F. FINDEIS, E. PETER GEIDUSCHEK, GLYNN ISAAC, MILTON RUSSELL, WILLIAM P. SLICHTER, JOHN WOOD

1984: ARNOLD DEMAIN, NEAL E. MILLER, FREDERICK MOSTELLER, ALLEN NEWELL, RUTH PATRICK, BRYANT W. ROSSITER, VERA C. RUBIN, SOLOMON H. SNYDER, PAUL E. WAGGONER

Publisher: WILLIAM D. CAREY

Associate Publisher: ROBERT V. ORMES

Editor: PHILIP H. ABELSON

Editorial Staff

Assistant Managing Editor: JOHN E. RINGLE

Production Editor: ELLEN E. MURPHY

Business Manager: HANS NUSSBAUM

News Editor: BARBARA J. CULLITON

News and Comment: COLIN NORMAN (deputy editor), JEFFREY L. FOX, CONSTANCE HOLDEN, ELIOT MARSHALL, R. JEFFREY SMITH, MARJORIE SUN, JOHN WALSH

European Correspondent: DAVID DICKSON

Contributing Writer: LUTHER J. CARTER

Research News: ROGER LEWIN (deputy editor), RICHARD A. KERR, GINA KOLATA, JEAN L. MARX, THOMAS H. MAUGH II, ARTHUR L. ROBINSON, M. MITCHELL WALDROP

Administrative Assistant, News: SCHERRAINE MACK;
Editorial Assistant, News: FANNIE GROOM

Senior Editors: ELEANORE BUTZ, RUTH KULSTAD

Associate Editors: MARTHA COLLINS, SYLVIA EBERHART, CAITILIN GORDON, LOIS SCHMITT

Assistant Editors: STEPHEN KEPPEL, EDITH MEYERS

Book Reviews: KATHERINE LIVINGSTON, **Editor:** LINDA HEISERMAN, JANET KEGG

Letters: CHRISTINE GILBERT

Copy Editor: ISABELLA BOULDIN

Production: JOHN BAKER, HOLLY BISHOP, ELEANOR WARNER, JEAN ROCKWOOD, SHARON RYAN, BEVERLY SHIELDS

Covers, Reprints, and Permissions: GRAYCE FINGER, **Editor:** GERALDINE CRUMP, CORRIE HARRIS

Guide to Scientific Instruments: RICHARD G. SOMMER

Editorial Administrator: SUSAN ELLIOTT

Assistant to the Associate Publisher: ROSE LOWERY

Assistant to the Managing Editor: NANCY HARTNAGEL

Membership Recruitment: GWENDOLYN HUDDLE

Member and Subscription Records: ANN RAGLAND

EDITORIAL CORRESPONDENCE: 1515 Massachusetts Ave., NW, Washington, D.C. 20005. Area code 202. General Editorial Office, 467-4350; Book Reviews, 467-4367; Guide to Scientific Instruments, 467-4480; News and Comment, 467-4430; Reprints and Permissions, 467-4483; Research News, 467-4321. Cable: Advancesci, Washington. For "Information for Contributors," write to the editorial office or see page xi, *Science*, 30 September 1983.

BUSINESS CORRESPONDENCE: Area Code 202. Membership and Subscriptions: 467-4417.

Advertising Representatives

Director: EARL J. SCHERAGO

Production Manager: GINA REILLY

Advertising Sales Manager: RICHARD L. CHARLES

Marketing Manager: HERBERT L. BURKLUND

Sales: NEW YORK, N.Y. 10036: Steve Hamburger, 1515 Broadway (212-730-1050); SCOTCH PLAINS, N.J. 07076: C. Richard Callis, 12 Unami Lane (201-889-4873); CHICAGO, ILL. 60611: Jack Ryan, Room 2107, 919 N. Michigan Ave. (312-337-4973); BEVERLY HILLS, CALIF. 90211: Winn Nance, 111 N. La Cienega Blvd. (213-657-2772); SAN JOSE, CALIF. 95112: Bob Brindley, 310 S. 16 St. (408-998-4690); DORSET, VT. 05251: Fred W. Diefenbach, Kent Hill Rd. (802-867-5581).

ADVERTISING CORRESPONDENCE: Tenth floor, 1515 Broadway, New York 10036 (212-730-1050).

Technological Cooperation with the Japanese

Current debate about future directions for U.S. industrial policy includes concern over the relatively free flow of U.S. technology to other nations. Japan is usually singled out as a prime example of how technology exports can be turned against us and used to damage our position in the marketplace. Protectionist measures that constrict the free flow of technology interchange might temporarily impede technology transfer abroad, but such a policy is shortsighted. Reciprocal reaction would certainly deny us rapid access to foreign-initiated advances.

Japan is a good illustration. There is a general lack of appreciation of the growing strength and vigor of Japanese R & D. The future trend is indicated by a survey conducted by the *Japan Economic Journal* of 638 companies listed on the Tokyo, Osaka, and Nagoya stock exchanges; for fiscal year 1980, technology sales (royalties and other technical service fees) for these companies exceeded the costs of imported technology by around \$100 million, whereas there was a deficit in 1975. The top ten net earners from overseas technology transfers, which include transfers to the United States, accounted for income of \$160 million. On balance, however, for the Japanese economy as a whole, the technology balance of payments is still in heavy deficit, with payments 1.8 times higher than earnings.

Financial statistics fail to convey the intensity with which the very competitive Japanese companies are strengthening their R & D resources. Government laboratories and the universities are also diligently expanding their generic research activities. A review of 2 years of recent publications of the Society of Automotive Engineers shows that 10 percent were by Japanese authors, and about 30 percent of them deal with fundamental research topics. Fields in which Japanese technology equals or leads that available in other advanced nations are well publicized and include steel-making, vehicle and engine design, manufacturing processes and techniques, high-speed rail transport, fiber and ceramic technologies, and nuclear power plant operations. The list will grow.

Our experiences with Japanese clients range across many industrial sectors, and we find the relationships rewarding. New ideas are readily accepted, there is a noticeable absence of the not-invented-here syndrome, contacts between staffs are close (despite the geographic separation) and mutually supportive, and a special effort is made to explain how our research results will help realize company objectives. Publication of findings is encouraged whenever marketplace strategies permit.

As an example, a program comes to mind involving development of an advanced diesel engine based on a spark-assisted combustion cycle; in principle, such an engine has several significant advantages. After our presentation of the concept, we initiated R & D to develop the combustion cycle and refine performance estimates. As our results emerged, the client began development of a multicylinder engine, and soon after our research was completed a prototype engine was delivered for evaluation. Throughout the program, both staffs freely exchanged ideas and information, and a paper on our results was published in a peer review journal.

In nuclear power plant operations, the Japanese are leaders in achieving high plant availability factors; here they are helped by a steady stream of technical information exchange with other countries, to which they are also active contributors. More important, for the future, are the large resources Japan is committing to the development of advanced light water and breeder reactors. As partners in several international consortia (with U.S. participation) one result will be the emergence of Japanese industry as a substantial factor in future reactor designs.

In sum, my message is that Japan is emerging as a powerful R & D entity. By adopting protectionist attitudes on technology transfer toward Japan and other nations, our ultimate loss will certainly equal theirs, and the overall progress of science and technology will be retarded.—MARTIN GOLAND, President, Southwest Research Institute, San Antonio, Texas 78284