SCIENCE

AMERICAN ASSOCIATION FOR THE ADVANCEMENT OF SCIENCE

Science serves its readers as a forum for the presentation and discussion of important issues related to the advancement of science, including the presentation of minority or conflicting points of view, rather than by publishing only material on which a consensus has been reached. Accordingly, all articles published in Science—including editorials, news and comment, and book reviews—are signed and reflect the individual views of the authors and not official points of view adopted by the AAAS or the institutions with which the authors are affiliated.

Editorial Board

Editorial Board
1983: Frederick R. Blattner, Bernard F. Burke,
Charles L. Drake, Arthur F. Findeis, E. Peter
Geiduschek, Glynn Isaac, Milton Russell, WilLiam P. Slichter, John Wood

1984: ARNOLD DEMAIN, NEAL E. MILLER, FREDERICK MOSTELLER, ALLEN NEWELL, RUTH PATRICK BRYANT W. ROSSITER, VERA C. RUBIN, SOLOMON H. SNYDER, PAUL E. WAGGONER

Publisher: WILLIAM D. CAREY Associate Publisher: ROBERT V. ORMES

Editor: PHILIP H. ABELSON

Editorial Staff

Assistant Managing Editor: John E. Ringle Production Editor: Ellen E. Murphy Business Manager: Hans Nussbaum

News Editor: BARBARA J. CULLITON
News and Comment: COLIN NORMAN (deputy editor), JEFFREY L. FOX, CONSTANCE HOLDEN, ELIOT MARSHALL, R. JEFFREY SMITH, MARJORIE SUN, JOHN Walsh

European Correspondent: David Dickson
Contributing Writer: Luther J. Carter
Research News: Roger Lewin (deputy editor), Richard A. Kerr, Gina Kolata, Jean L. Marx, Thomas MAUGH II, ARTHUR L. ROBINSON, M. MITCHELL WALDROP

Administrative Assistant, News: Scherraine Mack; Editorial Assistant, News: Fannie Groom

Senior Editors: ELEANORE BUTZ, MARY DORFMAN, RUTH KULSTAD

Associate Editors: Sylvia Eberhart, Caitilin Gordon, Lois Schmitt

Assistant Editors: Martha Collins, Stephen Kepple, Edith Meyers

Book Reviews: Katherine Livingston, Editor; Linda Heiserman, Janet Kegg

Letters: CHRISTINE GILBERT

Copy Editor: Isabella Bouldin
Production: John Baker, Susannah Borg; Holly
Bishop, Eleanor Warner; Jean Rockwood, Shar-ON RYAN, BEVERLY SHIELDS

Covers, Reprints, and Permissions: Grayce Finger, Editor; Geraldine Crump, Corrine Harris Guide to Scientific Instruments: Richard G. Sommer

Guide to Scientific Instruments: RICHARD G. SOMMER Assistant to the Editor: SUSAN ELIOTT Assistant to the Associate Publisher: Rose LOWERY Assistant to the Managing Editor: NANCY HARTNAGEL Membership Recruitment: GWENDOLYN HUDDLE Member and Subscription Records: ANN RAGLAND EDITORIAL CORRESPONDENCE: 1515 Massachusetts Ave., NW, Washington, D.C. 20005. Area code 202. General Editorial Office, 467-4350; Book Reviews, 467-4367; Guide to Scientific Instruments, 467-4480; News and Comment. 467-4430: Reprints and Permis-News and Comment, 467-4430; Reprints and Permissions, 467-4483; Research News, 467-4321. Cable: Advancesci, Washington. For "Information for Contributors," write to the editorial office or see page xi, Science, 24 June 1983.

BUSINESS CORRESPONDENCE: Area Code 202. Membership and Subscriptions: 467-4417.

Advertising Representatives

Director: EARL J. SCHERAG Director: EARL J. SCHERAGO
Production Manager: GINA REILLY
Advertising Sales Manager: RICHARD L. CHARLES
Marketing Manager: HERBERT L. BURKLUND
Sales: NEW YORK, N.Y. 10036: Steve Hamburger, 1515
Broadway (212-730-1050); SCOTCH PLAINS, N.J. 07076:
C. Richard Callis, 12 Unami Lane (201-889-4873); CHICAGO, ILL. 60611: Jack Ryan, Room 2107, 919 N. Michigan Ave. (312-337-4973); BEVERLY HILLS, CALIF.
90211: Winn Nance, 111 N. La Cienega Blvd. (213-657-2772); DORSET, VT. 05251: Fred W. Dieffenbach, Kent
Hill Rd. (802-867-5581).
ADVERTISING CORRESPONDENCE: Tenth floor.

ADVERTISING CORRESPONDENCE: Tenth floor, 1515 Broadway, New York, N.Y. 10036. Phone: 212-

Communication Between Scientists

Unhealthy long-term trends are evident in both written and verbal scientific communication. The problems of the proliferating scientific literature have been frequently discussed. Less attention has been given to the equally important verbal communication.

Those who are familiar with trends are especially concerned about the evolution of annual meetings of scientific societies. Historical records of meetings describe them as gatherings in which enthusiasm ran high, spirits were lifted, and much exciting information was exchanged. Crowds were smaller then, and meetings were held under agreeable circumstances, either on college campuses or at some other suitable spot.

As the number of scientists increased, it was inevitable that the character of the annual meeting would change. But the evolution was so slow that little thought was given to the danger that the values of personal interchange might be lost.

Occasionally at a big meeting attendees meet peers with whom they can enjoy mutual intellectual stimulus. However, it is decades since an annual meeting as a whole was described in glowing terms. What do attendees experience at a large annual meeting? The cold, impersonal, commercial atmosphere of hotels sets the tone. Sessions with forty or more simultaneous papers present a bewildering requirement for choice. Actually, the decision made is not crucial. The typical session is loaded with 10- or 15minute papers delivered with the crutch of slides. Almost invariably there are far too many slides; the content on each is so excessive that it cannot be read, let alone comprehended. In the decades since slides came into traditional use, no substantive improvement in their design has occurred.

Despite the limited value of the meetings, the tradition of obligatory attendance persisted. But major societies are now experiencing a change. At meeting after meeting, attendance has been declining from earlier peaks. Current overall costs for a participant average \$1000 or more. In a time of tight budgets, realism about the value of the annual meeting is overcoming tradition.

For established scientists, the decay of the typical meeting is no loss. They have in place a rich variety of communication links. The most deeply satisfying of these in one-on-one conversations with peers. There they can enjoy the mutual exchange of ideas and enthusiasm, as did scientists a century ago. But the potential for excitement is even greater now since air travel makes their peers readily accessible. Once a level of mutual trust and understanding is achieved, the telephone and electronic mail constitute effective supplements to personal encounters. Some scientists are extroverts who hunger for the presence of a group of peers. This involves the nuisance of mutual scheduling, but can be arranged. A favorite form of meeting is the small closed symposium. Around the world there is increasing use of the formula initiated by the Gordon Research Conferences. These meetings last a week and have about 100 invited attendees. They are held in secluded spots where participants are free from distractions and the presence of nonscientists. Part of the time is spent in loosely scheduled sessions. However, there is adequate time for the personal interactions that are so crucial and enjoyable.

Despite diminishing attendance, the scientific societies will continue to hold annual meetings. They will continue to follow firmly established traditions. The simultaneous sessions will continue, often with audiences of fewer than ten. The formula of 10-minute talks with scores of illegible slides will persist. The scientific community, including its elite, should give serious thought to this. In a few large scientific centers, young people receive adequate stimulus from professors and peers. But in smaller institutions, critical components of enthusiasm are missing. Professors may do their part in trying to indoctrinate an appreciation of the beauty of science, its great structure of knowledge, and the intellects of those who built it. But they need help which the big annual meetings do not supply.

–Philip H. Abelson