

AMERICAN ASSOCIATION FOR THE ADVANCEMENT OF SCIENCE

Science serves its readers as a forum for the presentation and discussion of important issues related to the advancement of science, including the presentation of minority or conflicting points of view, rather than by publishing only material on which a consensus has been reached. Accordingly, all articles published in *Science*—including editorials, news and comment, and book reviews—are signed and reflect the individual views of the authors and not official points of view adopted by the AAAS or the institutions with which the authors are affiliated.

Editorial Board

1983: FREDERICK R. BLATTNER, BERNARD F. BURKE, CHARLES L. DRAKE, ARTHUR F. FINDEIS, E. PETER GEIDUSCHEK, GLYNN ISAAC, MILTON RUSSELL, WILLIAM P. SLICHTER, JOHN WOOD

1984: ARNOLD DEMAIN, NEAL E. MILLER, FREDERICK MOSTELLER, ALLEN NEWELL, RUTH PATRICK, BRYANT W. ROSSITER, VERA C. RUBIN, SOLOMON H. SNYDER, PAUL E. WAGGONER

Publisher: WILLIAM D. CAREY

Associate Publisher: ROBERT V. ORMES

Editor: PHILIP H. ABELSON

Editorial Staff

Assistant Managing Editor: JOHN E. RINGLE

Production Editor: ELLEN E. MURPHY

Business Manager: HANS NUSSBAUM

News Editor: BARBARA J. CULLITON

News and Comment: COLIN NORMAN (deputy editor), JEFFREY L. FOX, CONSTANCE HOLDEN, ELIOT MARSHALL, R. JEFFREY SMITH, MARJORIE SUN, JOHN WALSH

European Correspondent: DAVID DICKSON

Contributing Writer: LUTHER J. CARTER

Research News: ROGER LEWIN (deputy editor), RICHARD A. KERR, GINA KOLATA, JEAN L. MARX, THOMAS H. MAUGH II, ARTHUR L. ROBINSON, M. MITCHELL WALDROP

Administrative Assistant, News: SCHERRAINE MACK;
Editorial Assistant, News: FANNIE GROOM

Senior Editors: ELEANORE BUTZ, MARY DORFMAN, RUTH KULSTAD

Associate Editors: SYLVIA EBERHART, CAITILIN GORDON, LOIS SCHMITT

Assistant Editors: MARTHA COLLINS, STEPHEN KEPPLER, EDITH MEYERS

Book Reviews: KATHERINE LIVINGSTON, *Editor*; LINDA HEISERMAN, JANET KEGG

Letters: CHRISTINE GILBERT

Copy Editor: ISABELLA BOULDIN

Production: JOHN BAKER, SUSANNAH BORG; HOLLY BISHOP, ELEANOR WARNER; JEAN ROCKWOOD, SHARON RYAN, BEVERLY SHIELDS

Covers, Reprints, and Permissions: GRAYCE FINGER, *Editor*; GERALDINE CRUMP, CORRINE HARRIS

Guide to Scientific Instruments: RICHARD G. SOMMER

Assistant to the Editor: SUSAN ELLIOTT

Assistant to the Associate Publisher: ROSE LOWERY

Assistant to the Managing Editor: NANCY HARTNAGEL

Membership Recruitment: GWENDOLYN HUDDLE

Member and Subscription Records: ANN RAGLAND

EDITORIAL CORRESPONDENCE: 1515 Massachusetts Ave., NW, Washington, D.C. 20005. Area code 202. General Editorial Office, 467-4350; Book Reviews, 467-4367; Guide to Scientific Instruments, 467-4480; News and Comment, 467-4430; Reprints and Permissions, 467-4483; Research News, 467-4321. Cable: Advancesci, Washington. For "Information for Contributors," write to the editorial office or see page xi, *Science*, 24 June 1983.

BUSINESS CORRESPONDENCE: Area Code 202. Membership and Subscriptions: 467-4417.

Advertising Representatives

Director: EARL J. SCHERAGO

Production Manager: GINA REILLY

Advertising Sales Manager: RICHARD L. CHARLES

Marketing Manager: HERBERT L. BURKLUND

Sales: NEW YORK, N.Y. 10036: Steve Hamburger, 1515 Broadway (212-730-1050); SCOTCH PLAINS, N.J. 07076: C. Richard Callis, 12 Unami Lane (201-889-4873); CHICAGO, ILL. 60611: Jack Ryan, Room 2107, 919 N. Michigan Ave. (312-337-4973); BEVERLY HILLS, CALIF. 90211: Winn Nance, 111 N. La Cienega Blvd. (213-657-2772); DORSET, VT. 05251: Fred W. Dieffenbach, Kent Hill Rd. (802-867-5581).

ADVERTISING CORRESPONDENCE: Tenth floor, 1515 Broadway, New York, N.Y. 10036. Phone: 212-730-1050.

Oil Recovery with Supercritical CO₂

Supercritical fluids are being employed in a variety of applications in the food, pharmaceutical, and chemical industries, and additional uses are in sight. Supercritical carbon dioxide at temperatures around 40°C is being used to extract caffeine from coffee and undesirable substances from hops. Supercritical propane is used to extract hydrocarbons from heavy and residual oils. Supercritical toluene can extract the organic matter of coal, leaving the ash. Another interesting example is the use of supercritical CO₂ to enhance oil recovery. This application is likely to have large-scale economic and strategic consequences.

When liquids are heated in a closed space, the vapor pressure and the density of the vapor above the liquid phase increase. At the same time, the density of the liquid decreases. Ultimately, at the critical temperature, the density of the gas phase and that of the liquid phase become equal. Above that temperature, no liquid phase exists. The volume of the container is filled with a fluid whose density is dependent on pressure. Thus, above the critical temperature, one may have a fluid (not a liquid) that has a density greater than liquids below the critical temperature. The critical temperature of CO₂ is 31°C, and fluid CO₂ can be used above that temperature. Supercritical fluid CO₂ is an excellent solvent for fats and hydrocarbons.

The great target for use of CO₂ is enhanced oil recovery. In the United States, more than 300 billion barrels of oil have been left in known formations after production by earlier technology. With oil priced at \$29 per barrel, the known oil in the ground is a most enticing target. This treasure is being tapped in a number of ways, including steam flooding and use of surfactants. In future, the method of choice is likely to involve CO₂. About 18 trillion cubic feet of the gas is available in geological formations in Colorado. Already the major oil companies are investing billions of dollars for pipelines and other facilities to bring the CO₂ to oil fields in the Permian basin of western Texas and New Mexico.

When CO₂ is injected into oil-bearing formations, the maximum pressure that can be attained is related to the weight of the overburden. In general, the CO₂ fluid can be made to have a density of 0.7 or more. Franklin M. Orr, Jr., has written,* "When the pressure is high enough that the CO₂ is a dense phase that extracts hydrocarbons efficiently from the oil, the CO₂ can displace nearly all the oil it contacts." The important proviso is "all the oil it contacts." Circumstances deep underground are complex. Large variations in porosity and permeability occur within short distances. On injection, the CO₂ will relatively easily reach part of the oil-bearing stratum and bypass the remainder. Ultimately, when production of oil is attempted, a limited yield will be attained. Production engineers are exploring substances that can be injected to clog the easy paths and ensure more uniform contact with the oil. Such efforts are only beginning to achieve results. Each oil field is different and requires its own special production scheme. Engineers are using very large computers in efforts to model the fields and their behavior. Samples of the oil-bearing rocks are subjected to laboratory measurements, and these are used in various studies of hypothesized field conditions. The hope is that results from major field tests in the near future will serve as ground truth.

With billions of dollars invested in CO₂ injection, it is clear that the major oil companies expect a good economic return on the ventures. Orr is sufficiently optimistic to suggest that CO₂ produced in power plants may ultimately be captured for use in oil recovery. In view of recent advances in separation techniques the concept might eventually prove practical. In the meantime, trillions of dollars worth of oil sits in the ground awaiting the arrival of displacing fluids.—PHILIP H. ABELSON

*F. M. Orr, Jr., *Journal of Petroleum Technology*, July 1983, p. 1285.