SCIENCE

AMERICAN ASSOCIATION FOR THE ADVANCEMENT OF SCIENCE

Science serves its readers as a forum for the presentation and discussion of important issues related to the advancement of science, including the presentation of minority or conflicting points of view, rather than by publishing only material on which a consensus has been ed. Accordingly, all articles published in Sci-including editorials, news and comment, and reviews—are signed and reflect the individual reached. book reviewsviews of the authors and not official points of view adopted by the AAAS or the institutions with which the authors are affiliated.

Editorial Board

Editorial Board 1983: FREDERICK R. BLATTNER, BERNARD F. BURKE, CHARLES L. DRAKE, ARTHUR F. FINDEIS, E. PETER GEIDUSCHEK, GLYNN ISAAC, MILTON RUSSELL, WIL-LIAM P. SLICHTER, JOHN WOOD 1984: ARNOLD DEMAIN, NEAL E. MILLER, FREDER-ICK MOSTELLER, ALLEN NEWELL, RUTH PATRICK, BRYANT W. ROSSITER, VERA C. RUBIN, SOLOMON H. SNYDER PAUL F. WAGGONER

SNYDER, PAUL E. WAGGONER

Publisher: WILLIAM D. CAREY Associate Publisher: ROBERT V. ORMES

Editor: PHILIP H. ABELSON

Editorial Staff Assistant Managing Editor: John E. Ringle Production Editor: ELLEN E. MURPHY Business Manager: HANS NUSSBAUM

News Editor: BARBARA J. CULLITON News and Comment: COLIN NORMAN (deputy editor), Constance Holden, Eliot Marshall, R. Jeffrey Smith, Marjorie Sun, John Walsh

European Correspondent: DAVID DICKSON Contributing Writer: LUTHER J. CARTER Research News: ROGER LEWIN (deputy editor), RICH-ARD A. KERR, GINA KOLATA, JEAN L. MARX, THOMAS H. MAUCH II, ARTHUR L. ROBINSON, M. MITCHELL WALDROS WALDROP

Administrative Assistant, News: SCHERRAINE MACK; Editorial Assistant, News: FANNIE GROOM

Senior Editors: ELEANORE BUTZ, MARY DORFMAN, RUTH KULSTAL

Associate Editors: Sylvia Eberhart, Caitilin Gor-DON, LOIS SCHMITT

Assistant Editors: MARTHA COLLINS, STEPHEN

ASSISTATI EAROPS. MARTHA COLLINS, STEPHEN KEPPLE, EDITH MEYERS Book Reviews: KATHERINE LIVINGSTON, Editor; LIN-DA HEISERMAN, JANET KEGG Letters: CHRISTINE GILBERT

Copy Editor: Isabella Bouldin Production: John Baker, Susannah Borg; Holly Bishop, Eleanor Warner; Beverly Durham, Jean Rockwood, Sharon Ryan

Covers, Reprints, and Permissions: GRAYCE FINGER, Editor; GERALDINE CRUMP, CORRINE HARRIS Guide to Scientific Instruments: RICHARD G. SOMMER Assistant to the Editor: SUSAN ELLIOTT

Assistant to the Associate Publisher: Rose Lowery Assistant to the Managing Editor: NANCY HARTNAGEL

Membership Recruitment: GWENDOLYN HUDDLE Member and Subscription Records: ANN RAGLAND EDITORIAL CORRESPONDENCE: 1515 Massachu-EDITORIAL CORRESPONDENCE: 1515 Massachu-setts Ave., NW, Washington, D.C. 20005. Area code 202. General Editorial Office, 467-4350; Book Reviews, 467-4367; Guide to Scientific Instruments, 467-4480; News and Comment, 467-4430; Reprints and Permis-sions, 467-4483; Research News, 467-4321. Cable: Ad-vancesci, Washington. For "Information for Contribu-tors," write to the editorial office or see page xi, *Science*, 25 March 1983. BUSINESS CORRESPONDENCE: Area Code 202. Membership and Subscriptions: 467-4417.

BUSINESS CORRESPONDENCE: Area Code 202. Membership and Subscriptions: 467-4417.

Advertising Representatives

Director: EARL J. SCHERAGO

Difector: EARL J. SCHERAGU Production Manager: GINA REILLY Advertising Sales Manager: RICHARD L. CHARLES Marketing Manager: HERBERT L. BURKLUND Sales: New YORK, N.Y. 10036: Steve Hamburger, 1515 Broadway (212-730-1050); SCOTCH PLAINS, N.J. 07076: C. Richard Callis, 12 Unami Lane (201-889-4873); CHI-CAGO, ILL. 60611: Jack Ryan, Room 2107, 919 N. Michigan Ave. (312-337-4973); BEVERLY HILLS, CALIF. 90211: Winn Nance, 111 N. La Cienega Blvd. (213-657-2772); DORSET, VT. 05251: Fred W. Dieffenbach, Kent Hill Rd. (802-867-5581).

ADVERTISING CORRESPONDENCE: Tenth floor, 1515 Broadway, New York, N.Y. 10036. Phone: 212-730-1050.

Waste Management

Humans fear the unknown, and when it seems to pose dangers of uncertain dimensions people tend to overreact. Modern intensive communications foster this trend. The so-called hazardous wastes and the attendant publicity about them are an example. Those people who depend on the media for information and guidance have been frightened, some to the point of hysteria. The reality is that the hazard to public health from the waste sites is trivial in comparison to the danger from cigarettes.

That is not to say that toxic wastes do not exist. They are a problem now and will continue to be for a decade or more. Until the public feels that its health is being safeguarded, chemical industry and to a lesser degree chemists will be suspect. Part of the problem is a great increase in sensitivity for detection of contaminants. At one time, parts per million was the limit. Today measurements are in parts per billion, trillion, or even parts per quadrillion. To the average person 300 parts per quadrillion is far more alarming than 0.0000003 part per million.

An essential step in the long-term control of wastes is to minimize their amount and toxicity. For this purpose, major chemical companies have redesigned processes and are capturing and using by-products. Industry has improved methods of waste handling, including incineration and microbiological treatment of dilute waste streams. Better techniques for use of landfill have been developed. Of particular interest are the chemical capabilities of microorganisms. For example, strains have been isolated that can use some of the PCB's as carbon and energy sources.

The really vexing problems are those of the orphan waste sites. The Environmental Protection Agency has identified about 15,000 of them, of which about 419 have been classified as especially hazardous. The overall task of minimizing the potential dangers from them is difficult and complex. No two sites are alike. In many instances the sites include what an EPA administrator has described as an alphabet soup of substances. The various components differ by many orders of magnitude in solubility in water, volatility, adsorption by soils, and toxicity. In some instances, the wastes are present in steel drums. In others, they have become disseminated in soils. Some of the sites are located on relatively impervious clay; others are on or close to aquifers. The costs of moving steel drums are small, though few communities are willing to serve as hosts to them. The big costs, as much as a billion dollars per site, would come if large quantities of soil were to be processed. A basic consideration is that a substance must be ingested, inhaled, or absorbed through the skin in order to harm health. The principal hazard is ingestion either through drinking water or in food.

At many sites the hazards could be controlled. The wastes could be covered with an impervious layer and entry from the sides minimized. If there is no inflow of water there will be no outflow. Methods of this kind have been employed at Love Canal. The dump site has been covered by a compacted thick layer of clay. Above the clay is a layer of topsoil with grass. Some water leaks in from the sides, but this will be curtailed when impervious walls are installed. Drainage from the site is passed through activated charcoal and the effluent is clean. The quantities of chemicals collected on the charcoal are small. New York health authorities have continued a follow-up of former residents of the area, including most of those who resided there after 1940. No chemically mediated health effects have been established.

Techniques used in control of leaching from Love Canal are applicable in some but not all of the waste sites. In any event, the chemical and geologic conditions at each site must be evaluated and a plan for meeting the situation engineered before the hazard can be completely controlled. The hazards must and will be minimized, but there will be few quick fixes. Drastic action may be required at a few sites, but more harm is likely to come from hysteria than from chemicals .--- PHILIP H. ABELSON