

Odd Amino Acids in a Meteorite

The controversy over whether any of the purely chemical processes that preceded the origin of life could have taken place in space is back again. Two geochemists, Michael Engel and Bartholomew Nagy of the University of Arizona, have reported* the discovery of the predominance of the L-form among amino acids in a piece of the Murchison meteorite. All amino acids in proteins have the L-structural form; amino acids synthesized in a test tube have equal proportions of the L-form and its mirror-image D-form.

Previous studies of Murchison and other organic-rich meteorites had reported only equal parts of D and L amino acids, termed racemic mixtures. Cosmochemists concluded from this that no selective processes, such as those that presumably chose the L-form for subsequent biological evolution, ever occurred in space. That conclusion must now be considered tentative.

Cosmochemists note the care lavished by Engel and Nagy on their analysis of the Murchison meteorite, but they are still uneasy about the results. They wonder why ratios of D- to L-forms of 0.2 to 0.7, as reported by Engel and Nagy, had not been seen before. Those ratios differ enough from 1 to have been detected by the methods applied to Murchison 12 years ago, according to Keith Kvenvolden of the U.S. Geological Survey in Menlo Park, California. He was a member of the group that first reported racemic mixtures of amino acids in Murchison.

Engel and Nagy offer several possible explanations for the conflicting results. One is that the particular stone that they analyzed, one of many collected from the same meteorite fall, had a different composition than the others. No one can rule out that possibility, but separate samples analyzed by several different laboratories have not shown any indication of such heterogeneity. Another possibility, Engel and Nagy say, is that the more severe extraction methods used in other laboratories could have converted some of the amino acids in the L-form to the D-form and produced a racemic mixture. That does not happen to amino acids added to samples in the laboratory, Kvenvolden notes, but he concedes that meteoritic organic matter may be in a physical or chemical form that could promote such racemization.

The primary concern of most researchers is terrestrial contamination. The Murchison meteorite fell on a sheep ranch in Australia, a site with obvious possibilities for organic contamination. But the usual signs of contamination are not there, Engel and Nagy point out. Amino acids that are common on Earth, such as tyrosine, methionine, and phenylalanine, are absent. They found only minor traces of serine and threonine, which are usually taken as signs of fingerprint contamination. In addition, the amino acids that are most tightly bound within the sample are also the least racemic, Engel notes, which would not be expected if the L-forms were a late, terrestrial addition.

What bothers some researchers the most is the curious behavior of some of the amino acids. The five nonracemic amino acids are all protein amino acids, some of the 20 that commonly form proteins. The two amino acids that seem to be racemic are both nonprotein—they are rarely or never found in proteins. One of these, α -amino-*n*-butyric acid (α -Aba), should behave as the five protein amino acids do, Kvenvolden notes, because all of their molecular structures permit transformations between L- and D-forms. If the excess L amino acids are not from contamination, the reasoning goes, there is no obvious way to generate extra L-form protein amino acids without affecting nonprotein amino acids such as α -Aba. "It's an enigma," says Edgar Hare of the Carnegie Institution of Washington, "there's no doubt about it." Engel suggests that one possible explanation is the generation of racemic α -Aba by the decomposition of some precursor.

Both Engel and Nagy emphasize that the possibility of contamination cannot be excluded, even though the sample meets traditional criteria for cleanliness. "Much more work is necessary to clarify the problem," says Nagy. He is not likely to get any arguments about that.—RICHARD A. KERR

**Nature (London)* 296, 837 (29 Apr. 1982).

stra's algorithm may not be necessary but I included Lenstra's algorithm to show the solution is polynomial [meaning it can be implemented efficiently on a computer] even if you try to do a number of tricks. I tried to show that simple variants of the knapsack problem are not safe."

In the years since Merkle and Hellman proposed their scheme, they and others have suggested a number of modifications to make it more secure. Hellman says, for example, that he has always advised people to scramble the superincreasing sequence more than once. Graham and Shamir independently developed a variation on the scheme in which they add noise to the superincreasing sequence before scrambling it.

Shamir's attack does not as yet work on these variations of the Merkle-Hellman scheme. But, Shamir told *Science* in a telephone interview, "From now on it will be an infinite game." Each variation of the code will be subject to attack and, as each falls, a new variation will be proposed, Adleman agrees. "Adi's paper is the first foot in the door," he says. Odlyzko remarks, "Shamir's attack is very, very simple. Now that we see this simple solution I would not be surprised if other attacks are found as well."

Hellman says that on the basis of Shamir's result, he would advise anyone using the original Merkle-Hellman scheme to scramble the sequence more than once. Anyone who is contemplating using a variant of the code, Hellman suggests, should "wait at least a year and see what comes out. I certainly think it would be prudent to wait."

Interestingly, there have been persistent rumors that the National Security Agency has never considered codes based on the knapsack problem to be secure. AT&T was at one time considering using a knapsack code but, according to an informed source, the corporation consulted with the National Security Agency. On the advice of the agency AT&T reportedly chose to use a different sort of code.

As for now, it is too early to predict the implications of Shamir's result. Says Hellman, "At the very least, it's a clever piece of work."

Shamir is quite happy in part because he had hoped for some time to break the Merkle-Hellman code. In 1976, Merkle sent out fliers offering \$100 to anyone who could break the code. Shamir kept a copy of the flier and mailed it to Merkle along with a seven-page abstract of his result. "Two days ago, I got my check for \$100," Shamir told *Science*.

—GINA KOLATA