

# AMERICAN ASSOCIATION FOR THE ADVANCEMENT OF SCIENCE

*Science* serves its readers as a forum for the presentation and discussion of important issues related to the advancement of science, including the presentation of minority or conflicting points of view, rather than by publishing only material on which a consensus has been reached. Accordingly, all articles published in *Science*—including editorials, news and comment, and book reviews—are signed and reflect the individual views of the authors and not official points of view adopted by the AAAS or the institutions with which the authors are affiliated.

## Editorial Board

1982: WILLIAM ESTES, CLEMENT L. MARKERT, JOHN R. PIERCE, BRYANT W. ROSSITER, VERA C. RUBIN, MAXINE F. SINGER, PAUL E. WAGGONER, ALEXANDER ZUCKER

1983: FREDERICK R. BLATTNER, BERNARD F. BURKE, CHARLES L. DRAKE, ARTHUR F. FINDEIS, E. PETER GEIDUSCHEK, GLYNN ISAAC, MILTON RUSSELL, WILLIAM P. SLICHTER, JOHN WOOD

## Publisher

WILLIAM D. CAREY

Associate Publisher: ROBERT V. ORMES

## Editor

PHILIP H. ABELSON

## Editorial Staff

Assistant Managing Editor: JOHN E. RINGLE

Production Editor: ELLEN E. MURPHY

Business Manager: HANS NUSSBAUM

News Editor: BARBARA J. CULLITON

News and Comment: COLIN NORMAN (deputy editor), WILLIAM J. BROAD, LUTHER J. CARTER, CONSTANCE HOLDEN, ELIOT MARSHALL, R. JEFFREY SMITH, MARJORIE SUN, JOHN WALSH

Research News: ROGER LEWIN (deputy editor), RICHARD A. KERR, GINA KOLATA, JEAN L. MARX, THOMAS H. MAUGH II, ARTHUR L. ROBINSON, M. MITCHELL WALDROP

Administrative Assistant, News: SCHERRAINE MACK; Editorial Assistants, News: FANNIE GROOM, CASSANDRA WATTS

Senior Editors: ELEANORE BUTZ, MARY DORFMAN, RUTH KULSTAD

Associate Editors: SYLVIA EBERHART, CAITILIN GORDON, LOIS SCHMITT

Assistant Editors: MARTHA COLLINS, STEPHEN KEPPEL, EDITH MEYERS

Book Reviews: KATHERINE LIVINGSTON, Editor; LINDA HEISERMAN, JANET KEGG

Letters: CHRISTINE GILBERT

Copy Editor: ISABELLA BOULDIN

Production: NANCY HARTNAGEL, JOHN BAKER; ROSE LOWERY; HOLLY BISHOP, ELEANOR WARNER; JEAN ROCKWOOD, LEAH RYAN, SHARON RYAN, ROBIN WHYTE

Covers, Reprints, and Permissions: GRAYCE FINGER, Editor; GERALDINE CRUMP, CORRINE HARRIS

Guide to Scientific Instruments: RICHARD G. SOMMER

Assistants to the Editors: SUSAN ELLIOTT, DIANE HOLLAND

Membership Recruitment: GWENDOLYN HUDDLE

Member and Subscription Records: ANN RAGLAND  
EDITORIAL CORRESPONDENCE: 1515 Massachusetts Ave., NW, Washington, D.C. 20005. Area code 202. General Editorial Office, 467-4350; Book Reviews, 467-4367; Guide to Scientific Instruments, 467-4480; News and Comment, 467-4430; Reprints and Permissions, 467-4483; Research News, 467-4321. Cable: Advancements, Washington. For "Information for Contributors," write to the editorial office or see page xi, *Science*, 18 December 1981.

BUSINESS CORRESPONDENCE: Area Code 202. Membership and Subscriptions: 467-4417.

## Advertising Representatives

Director: EARL J. SCHERAGO

Production Manager: GINA REILLY

Advertising Sales Manager: RICHARD L. CHARLES

Marketing Manager: HERBERT L. BURKLUND

Sales: NEW YORK, N.Y. 10036: Steve Hamburger, 1515 Broadway (212-730-1050); SCOTCH PLAINS, N.J. 07076: C. Richard Callis, 12 Unami Lane (201-889-4873); CHICAGO, ILL. 60611: Jack Ryan, Room 2107, 919 N. Michigan Ave. (312-337-4973); BEVERLY HILLS, CALIF. 90211: Winn Nance, 111 N. La Cienega Blvd. (213-657-2772); DORSET, VT. 05251: Fred W. Dieffenbach, Kent Hill Rd. (802-867-5581).

ADVERTISING CORRESPONDENCE: Tenth floor, 1515 Broadway, New York, N.Y. 10036. Phone: 212-730-1050.

## Long-Term Economic Rx: Research

Research and development supported by both government and private sources transformed the United States into the dominant technological force in the world. But our commitment to maintain and extend the amount of research and development necessary to stay ahead in the technological race has slipped. Today, we are witnessing a wholesale retreat in the federal role in civilian research and development. This is manifest in the shrinking amount of research support for our universities, private nonprofit research laboratories, and national multi-program laboratories.

Over the past 15 years the proportion of the U.S. gross national product invested in research and development has steadily declined—dropping more than 20 percent since 1965. In the same period and by the same measure, the R & D investment in the Soviet Union climbed by 21 percent, in Japan by 27 percent, and in West Germany by 41 percent. In addition to this huge underinvestment in research and development, we are failing to produce the technically trained people that we need to sustain increases in productivity and to make advances in knowledge that will be the basis for our economic prosperity and national security in the coming decades. The intense demand for technically trained people has resulted in high salaries that are luring faculty and graduate students away from even our best universities. To complete this picture, there is mounting evidence that the research laboratories and instrumentation in American universities are rapidly becoming obsolete.

Let us examine the response of the Reagan Administration to this crisis in four specific areas: energy, space, science education, and the national laboratories.

Productive R & D efforts in industrial energy conservation, energy-efficient buildings, energy storage, and solar energy have been zeroed out in the President's fiscal 1983 budget, thus helping to ensure an unnecessarily prolonged U.S. dependence on oil from the Arabian Gulf. There is no evidence that any of these programs will be picked up by private industry.

Decisions on the space program are equally egregious. The Galileo Program is now the only remaining U.S. planetary program in development, and some of the older space probes may be turned off. Funds have been cut for a satellite to test new advances in communication. Aeronautics research has been cut at a time when the Europeans are challenging us with the development of the airbus and the Japanese are thinking of launching major forays into the international aircraft market.

In science education, the National Science Foundation's (NSF) request for extra funds to upgrade university laboratories has been zeroed out, and a 70 percent reduction has been forced on NSF in the science education budget. As for the national laboratories, Argonne National Laboratory officials say that 25 percent of their work force may be eliminated within the year. Brookhaven National Laboratory, Fermi National Accelerator Laboratory, NASA-Lewis Laboratory, and Jet Propulsion Laboratory have also suffered.

Several steps can be taken to meet the R & D crisis in America. We must provide stable, predictable funding for scientific and technological research; alleviate shortages of technical personnel; provide incentives for better university-industry collaboration; restore the science education program at NSF and improve science and mathematics education at the precollege level; and develop a coherent national science and technology policy that includes a larger role for the science and technology community in the making of such policy. If the Office of Science and Technology Policy cannot be sufficiently effective in this role, we should think seriously about creating a cabinet-level department of science and technology as a focal point for federal nondefense research activities. I urge the science community to help us get back on the right track.—JOHN H. GLENN, *U.S. Senator (D-Ohio)*, Washington, D.C. 20510

From a speech before the IEEE Conference on Technology Policy, 24 February 1982, Washington, D.C.