In the Vanguard of Biotechnology . . .

A VOTE OF THANKS TO ACADEMIA.

In less than five years, the academic world has helped provide Bethesda Research Laboratories with the technical expertise to become one of the leaders in genetic engineering.

Without the understanding and support of those in various related fields of biotechnology, BRL could not have become one of the world's leaders in the research and application of molecular and cellular biology

In recognition of its continuing desire to support academia, BRL is taking steps to constantly encourage its scientists to publish . . . BRL works with MIT on the training of students in biotechnology

, and BRL has formed the BRL Institute of Molecular Biology.

Our rapid growth in genetic engineering offers many career opportunities to those who want to investigate new areas of industrial applications for recombinant DNA and the "exotic" enzymes.

Our team approach can assure a scientist that their work will not be interrupted at a crucial stage . . . and professional fulfillment can be achieved.

That is our commitment to excellence.

We welcome your inquiry. Send your C.V./Resume, in confidence, to:

> Mr. Wayne E. Fowler Director of Personnel

BETHESDA RESEARCH LABORATORIES

Box 577, 8717 Grovemont Circle Gaithersburg, Maryland 20760 (A suburb of Washington, D.C.)



LETTERS

Universe Beyond the Galaxies

The statement that four giant elliptical galaxies are "the most distant celestial bodies ever studied" (Research News, 20 Mar., p. 1334) needs qualification. They are the most distant galaxies but not the most distant celestial bodies. Many quasars (or QSO's) are much more distant. The most distant known object, quasar OQ172, has a redshift of more than 3.5 as compared to 1.2 for the most distant of the four ellipticals. The redshift for OQ172 was established by Margaret Burbidge, President-Elect of the AAAS, and a team of Lick Observatory astronomers in 1973. In a Hubble universe with a radius of 18 billion lightyears, OQ172 is at a distance of more than 16 billion light-years from Earth as compared to about 11 billion light-years for the four ellipticals.

JOHN KRAUS

Ohio State University Radio Observatory, 2015 Neil Avenue, Columbus 43210

Cattle Breeding

George E. Seidel, Jr.,'s review article "Superovulation and embyro transfer in cattle" (23 Jan., p. 351) raises two important issues. First is the welfare of donor cows. Codes or regulations need to be established to limit the number of surgical interventions performed annually on these animals if the surgical method of ova collection is used. Second, while this technique can certainly help improve herd utility, the possibility of narrowing the genetic base of domestic livestock should be considered, especially since there is further reduction through widespread practice of artificial insemination. There are hazards associated with rebuilding the nation's herds on the genetic material from only a few bulls and cows. It would be wise, therefore, to maintain some livestock of different lineages and phenotypic characteristics not deemed useful in order to preserve genetic diversity. A program along the lines of the Rare Breeds Trust in the United Kingdom, for example, should be seriously considered.

MICHAEL W. FOX Institute for the Study of Animal Problems, Washington, D.C. 20037

Codes or regulations to limit the number of surgical interventions performed on a donor cow annually would serve no useful purpose. As indicated in my article (1) and in the references I cited, embryos are recovered by entirely nonsurgical methods in the commercial embryo transfer industry, except in occasional cases of infertility affecting fewer than 1 percent of donors; surgery is rarely repeated on the same donor in these cases.

Embryo transfer is unlikely to contribute significantly to narrowing the genetic base of cattle unless coupled with some form of cloning. For example, limiting donors of female gametes to 100 per generation would only decrease heterozygosity by about 2 percent per century (1, 2). To date, in fact, it appears that embryo transfer has functioned more to broaden than to narrow the genetic base of cattle in North America, primarily through the rapid proliferation of purebred animals of the so-called "exotic" breeds, such as Simmental and Limousin (1). Before the availability of embryo transfer technology, these populations were precariously small as a result of import restrictions.

Superovulation followed by cryopreservation of embryos for transfer some years hence is an ideal solution to the problem of maintaining genetic stocks of animals that are currently of marginal economic value. This is already being practiced in mice (3). The cost of frozen storage of embryos is much less than that of maintaining equivalent live animals. The freezing of semen is also an excellent method of preserving genetic material indefinitely.

Like embryo transfer, artificial insemination does not automatically lead to narrowing of genetic bases. It is clearly a more efficacious method of spreading genetic material over the population than natural mating and has contributed significantly to the recent broadening of the genetic base of beef cattle in North America.

GEORGE E. SEIDEL, JR, Animal Reproduction Laboratory, Colorado State University, Fort Collins 80523

References

- G. E. Seidel, Jr., Science 211, 351 (1981).
 C. C. Li, Population Genetics (Univ. of Chicago Press, Chicago, 1955), p. 212.
 D. G. Whittingham, M. F. Lyon, P. H. Glenis-ter, Genet. Res. 30, 287 (1977).

Auto Safety

The article "Auto crash tests unsettle Japan and Detroit" (News and Comment, 9 Jan., p. 150) calls attention to the