

## The Study of City Life

**Exploring the City.** Inquiries toward an Urban Anthropology. ULF HANNERZ. Columbia University Press, New York, 1980. xii, 378 pp. \$15.

As the primitive world recedes or becomes less available, anthropologists have increasingly tried their hand at studies of urban places. When they do so are they becoming just another kind of sociologist, or do anthropologists have something distinctive to contribute to the study of cities? This is the broad question that preoccupies Ulf Hannerz's *Exploring the City*. Hannerz is a highly respected urban anthropologist. His views are informed by sustained research experience as well as a detailed appreciation for the literature. What he has to say deserves the attention of anthropologists, sociologists, and urban geographers.

The book begins by retracing the development of the "Chicago school" of urban ecology. While Hannerz makes many of the conventional criticisms of that school, he does not regard it as a "false start" but as a first start for giving his own work thematic unity. It is especially Wirth's emphasis on the size, density, and heterogeneity of urban places that he sees as bringing the individual back into the city in a way that is compatible with the humanistic interests of anthropology. Despite Wirth's emphasis on urban market forces, Hannerz finds in his work a recognition of urbanites' efforts to regroup their lives along voluntaristic lines.

Hannerz also reviews the extension and revisions of this approach as they have occurred in the hands of Redfield, Singer, Harris, Wheatley, and Adams (to mention a few). To my mind this is the most effective section of the book because it brings together a very coherent body of work that is scattered in several disciplines. Hannerz elaborates Redfield and Singer's conception of orthogenetic and heterogenetic cities so that it can be employed in studies of early urbanization and the shifting functions of cities in the modern world. Unfortunately he abandons this part of his analysis for the rest of the book because he then turns to the southern African studies carried out at the Rhodes-Livingstone Institute and

their failure to resolve the question of what should be the scale at which ethnographic studies are carried out. Without saying so directly, Hannerz seems to accept the idea that ethnographic studies must be local in character, taking the wider society as a context rather than as an object of study. This draws him into an extensive examination of network analysis and a view of the city as a "network of networks." It also attracts him to the microanalysis of face-to-face relations provided in the works of Erving Goffman.

In a final chapter and appendix, Hannerz attempts to bring these various views of the city together in a social-network approach to role differentiation and allocation along five dimensions: household and kinship, provisioning, recreation, neighboring, and traffic. The first four dimensions are familiar ones from role analysis, and the last attempts to capture a host of transient and segmental relations that Hannerz (following Wirth) sees as especially distinctive of urban life. Hannerz does not press for the exclusive advantages of this approach and takes great pains to be evenhanded in his characterization of alternative ways of going about urban anthropology. Nonetheless, it seems to me that the direction he takes is a step backward. Network analysis tends to be extremely descriptive when it is not guided by some overarching theory of urban structure and development. The ecologists, geographers, and economists may provide us with incomplete macrotheories of urban development, but they certainly help us single out what is significant. Also, why should anthropologists focus their work on microanalysis? Ethnography is only one of the methods they use, and that method can be accommodated to the study of large-scale institutions and organizations if not huge populations. Finally, Hannerz leaves out of his analysis any direct attention to political roles and institutions. This omission would leave anthropologists with only duller portions of city life and repeat one of the major weaknesses of the Chicago school itself.

What Hannerz does do is bring into sharp relief the multitude of relatively transient or "weak" relations that help make up city life and the degree of

voluntarism that characterizes these relations. Here, indeed, network analysis and the manipulative face-work of Goffman may be especially valuable in understanding a slice—but only a slice—of urban life.

GERALD D. SUTTLES

*Department of Sociology,  
University of Chicago,  
Chicago, Illinois 60637*

## A Microbiologist Once Famous

**Noguchi and His Patrons.** ISABEL R. PLESSET. Fairleigh Dickinson University Press, Rutherford, N.J., 1980. 314 pp. \$25.

Fifty-three years have passed since the tragic death of Hideyo Noguchi. From a high pinnacle of fame, his reputation has receded considerably. His name is seldom encountered in current medical literature. Shortly after his death in 1928, biographies in English and Japanese, as well as numerous tributes from colleagues, appeared. These were mostly laudatory in tone without critical examination of his work. Theobald Smith clearly exaggerated when he said, "he will stand out more and more clearly as one of the greatest, if not the greatest, figure in microbiology since Pasteur and Koch."

The author of this book has produced a comprehensive biography of Noguchi describing his failures as well as his triumphs. Surely, Noguchi's rise from an impoverished farm family in a remote Japanese village to full membership in the Rockefeller Institute and the winning of the highest acclaims of European, American, and Japanese learned societies warrants reevaluation.

Noguchi's first tragedy was to fall into a household fire as an infant, severely burning his left hand. This deformity was a heavy handicap throughout his life. With little financial support Noguchi managed to get an education, graduating finally in his 21st year from a second-rate proprietary school of medicine in Tokyo. He early demonstrated the characteristics that were of value in his career. These were: an ability to gain the friendship of important people; a capacity for long work hours; and a facility in acquiring new languages. In addition, he had a powerful ambition to succeed on a grand scale.

While occupying a lowly post in the Kitasato Institute in 1899, Noguchi was fortunate to meet Simon Flexner, then on a short visit to Japan. Flexner spoke about possibilities for graduate research