

References and Notes

1. C. Huygens, *Systema Saturnium* (Adrian Vlacq, The Hague, 1659).
2. A. F. O'D. Alexander, *The Planet Saturn: A History of Observation, Theory and Discovery* (Dover, New York, 1962).
3. B. Smith *et al.*, *Space Sci. Rev.* **21**, 103 (1977).
4. T. Gehrels *et al.*, *Science* **207**, 434 (1980).
5. G. M. Yagi, J. J. Lorre, P. L. Jepsen, in *Proceedings of a Conference on the Atmospheric Environment of Aerospace Systems and Applied Meteorology* (American Meteorological Society, Boston, 1978), pp. 110-117.
6. Man-Computer Interactive Data Acquisition System (MCIDAS), an interactive image processing system used for measurement of tie point or cloud pattern correlation-derived wind speeds.
7. R. F. Beebe, A. P. Ingersoll, G. E. Hunt, J. L. Mitchell, J.-P. Muller, *Geophys. Res. Lett.* **7**, 1 (1980); A. P. Ingersoll, R. F. Beebe, J. L. Mitchell, G. W. Garneau, G. M. Yagi, J.-P. Muller, *J. Geophys. Res.*, in press.
8. E. J. Reese, *Icarus* **15**, 466 (1971); D. Wenkert, J. A. Howell, A. P. Ingersoll, in preparation; Reese cites only confirmed observations of spots in Saturn's atmosphere; Wenkert *et al.* make use of a larger data set consisting of both confirmed and unconfirmed observations.
9. J. Warwick *et al.*, *Science*, **212**, 239 (1981).
10. R. Hanel *et al.*, *ibid.*, p. 193.
11. G. S. Orton and A. P. Ingersoll, *J. Geophys. Res.* **85**, 5871 (1980).
12. D. J. Stevenson and E. E. Salpeter, *Astrophys. J. Suppl. Ser.* **35**, 239 (1977).
13. S. L. Hess and H. A. Panofsky, in *Compendium of Meteorology*, T. F. Malone, Ed. (American Meteorological Society, Boston, 1951), p. 391.
14. G. P. Williams, *J. Atmos. Sci.* **36**, 932 (1979).
15. V. P. Starr, *Physics of Negative Viscosity Phenomena* (McGraw-Hill, New York, 1968), p. 69.
16. L. S. Slobokin, I. F. Buyakov, R. D. Cess, J. Caldwell, *J. Quant. Spectrosc. Radiat. Transfer* **20**, 481 (1978).
17. *I/F* is the brightness relative to that of a 100 percent diffuse Lambert reflector, illuminated and viewed normally.
18. J. T. Bergstralh, G. S. Orton, D. J. Diner, K. H. Baines, J. S. Neff, M. A. Allen, *Icarus*, in press.
19. G. Tyler *et al.*, *Science* **212**, 201 (1981).
20. G. P. Kuiper, *Astrophys. J.* **100**, 378 (1944).
21. J. Comas Solà, *J. Br. Astron. Assoc.* **19**, 151 (1908).
22. J. Veverka, *Icarus* **18**, 657 (1973); B. Zellner, *ibid.*, p. 661.
23. J. Caldwell, T. Owen, A. Rivolo, V. Moore, G. E. Hunt, P. S. Butterworth, *Astron. J.*, in press.
24. C. Sagan, *Space Sci. Rev.* **11**, 73 (1971); *Icarus* **18**, 649 (1973); B. N. Khare and C. Sagan, *ibid.* **20**, 311 (1973); D. M. Hunten, Ed., *NASA Spec. Publ. SP-340* (1973); T. Owen, *Origins Life* **5**, 41 (1974); T. Scattergood and T. Owen, *Icarus* **30**, 780 (1977).
25. K. Rages and J. B. Pollack, *Icarus* **41**, 119 (1980).
26. J. B. Pollack and J. N. Cuzzi, *J. Atmos. Sci.* **37**, 868 (1980).
27. M. Tomasko, *J. Geophys. Res.* **85**, 5937 (1980).
28. P. Smith, *ibid.*, p. 5943.
29. L. Broadfoot *et al.*, *Science* **212**, 206 (1981).
30. A. B. Lutz, T. Owen, R. D. Cess, *Astrophys. J.* **203**, 541 (1976).
31. L. M. Trafton, *ibid.* **175**, 295 (1972).
32. D. M. Hunten, *NASA Conf. Publ. 2068* (1978), p. 127.
33. See, for example, C. Sagan, *Icarus* **10**, 290 (1969).
34. G. W. Lockwood, *ibid.* **32**, 413 (1977).
35. ———, personal communication.
36. C. Leovy and J. B. Pollack, *Icarus* **19**, 195 (1973); G. S. Golitsyn, *ibid.* **24**, 70 (1975).
37. D. P. Cruikshank, *Rev. Geophys. Space Phys.* **17**, 165 (1979); *NASA Conf. Publ. 2068* (1978), p. 217; D. Morrison, *Bull. Am. Astron. Soc.* **12**, 727 (Abstr.) (1980).
38. T. V. Johnson, G. J. Veeder, D. Matson, *Icarus* **24**, 428 (1975); D. Morrison *et al.*, *Astrophys. J. Lett.* **207**, 213 (1976); U. Fink *et al.*, *ibid.*, p. 63; R. N. Clark and P. D. Owensby, in preparation.
39. G. W. Null, E. L. Lau, E. D. Biller, J. D. Anderson, *Astron. J.*, in press.
40. C. F. Yoder, *Nature (London)* **279**, 767 (1979).
41. A. F. Cook and R. Terrile, in preparation.
42. R. Terrile and A. Tokunaga, *Bull. Am. Astron. Soc.* **12**, 701 (1980).
43. T. Owen, in preparation.
44. L. E. Andersson, thesis, Indiana University (1974).
45. S. J. Peafe, in *Planetary Satellites*, J. Burns, Ed. (Univ. of Arizona Press, Tucson, 1977).
46. P. Bodenheimer, A. S. Grossman, W. DeCamplii, G. Marcy, J. B. Pollack, *Icarus* **41**, 293 (1980); A. S. Grossman, J. B. Pollack, R. T. Reynold, A. L. Summers, H. C. Graboske, Jr., *ibid.* **42**, 358 (1980).
47. J. Veverka, J. Burt, J. L. Elliot, J. Goguen, *ibid.* **33**, 301 (1978).
48. G. J. Veeder and D. L. Matson, *Astron. J.* **85**, 969 (1980).
49. A. F. Cook and F. A. Franklin, *Icarus* **13**, 282 (1970).
50. C. Peterson, *ibid.* **24**, 499 (1975).
51. E. M. Shoemaker and R. F. Wolfe, in *The Satellites of Jupiter*, D. Morrison, Ed. (Univ. of Arizona Press, Tucson, in press).
52. A. J. R. Prentice, *Phys. Lett. A* **80**, 205 (1980).
53. M. J. Lupo and J. S. Lewis, *Icarus* **40**, 157 (1979).
54. J. B. Pollack and P. T. Reynolds, *ibid.* **21**, 248 (1974).
55. J. B. Pollack, A. S. Grossman, R. Moore, H. C. Graboske, Jr., *ibid.* **29**, 35 (1976).
56. R. Moore, private communication.
57. A. Dollfus, *Astronomie* **6**, 253 (1968).
58. J. W. Fountain and S. M. Larson, *Icarus* **36**, 92 (1978).
59. Several new satellites of Saturn were suggested by dips in the charged-particle fluxes observed by Pioneer. It is possible that 1980S26 was detected by Pioneer in 1979; until the Pioneer trajectory data are reexamined, small discrepancies in the orbital radii cannot be resolved (60).
60. J. A. Van Allen, M. F. Thomsen, B. A. Randall, R. L. Rairden, C. L. Grosskreutz, *Science* **207**, 415 (1980); J. A. Simpson *et al.*, *J. Geophys. Res.* **85**, 5731 (1980).
61. The designations given here are temporary; 1980S1 refers to the first observation of an unknown satellite of Saturn in 1980. Because the coorbital satellites exchange orbits, it is not yet known which of the two observed in 1980 corresponds to the first (S10) and which to the second (S11) satellite recorded in the 1966 discovery images.
62. S. P. Synott, C. F. Peters, B. A. Smith, L. A. Morabito, *Science* **212**, 191 (1981).
63. P. Guerin, *C. R. Acad. Sci. Ser. B* **270**, 125 (1970); S. M. Larson, *Icarus* **37**, 399 (1979).
64. L. Soderblom *et al.*, *Geophys. Res. Lett.* **7**, 963 (1980).
65. L. A. Lebofsky, T. V. Johnson, T. B. McCord, *Icarus* **13**, 226 (1970).
66. K. Lumme and W. Irvine, *Astrophys. J.* **204**, LSS (1976); H. J. Reitsema, R. F. Beebe, B. A. Smith, *Astron. J.* **81**, 209 (1976).
67. L. W. Esposito, J. P. Dille, J. W. Fountain, *J. Geophys. Res.* **85**, 5948 (1980).
68. S. A. Collins *et al.*, *Nature (London)* **288**, 439 (1980).
69. Y. Kawata and W. Irvine, in *Exploration of the Planetary System*, A. Wozcysk and C. Iwaniszewska, Eds. (Reidel, Dordrecht, Netherlands, 1974), p. 441.
70. D. Brouwer and G. L. Clemence, *Methods of Celestial Mechanics* (Academic Press, New York, 1981); E. W. Brown and C. A. Shook, *Planetary Theory* (Cambridge Univ. Press, Cambridge, England, 1933).
71. P. Goldreich and S. Tremaine, *Icarus* **34**, 240 (1978).
72. J. N. Cuzzi and J. L. Pollack, *ibid.* **33**, 233 (1978).
73. T. Owen, G. E. Danielson, A. F. Cook, C. Hansen, V. L. Hall, T. C. Duxbury, *Nature (London)* **281**, 442 (1979).
74. J. N. Cuzzi, J. A. Burns, R. H. Durisen, P. M. Hamill, *ibid.*, p. 202.
75. Exploration of the Saturn system has been possible only because of the unselfish aid of many of our colleagues over the past decade. With regard to our atmospheric dynamics investigation, we thank R. Krauss at the University of Wisconsin and J. P. Müller, V. Moore, and R. F. T. Barney at University College London for making independent measurements of zonal winds on Saturn. We thank D. Wenkert, K. Rages, and W. Drew for their comments and help in our studies of the atmospheres of Saturn and Titan. Our investigations into Saturn's rings have been aided by J. Burns, K. Bilski, L. Esposito, F. Franklin, P. Goldreich, R. Greenberg, C. Porco, J. Lissauer, D. Jewitt, F. H. Shu, and G. Yagi. We thank D. Pieri, J. Plescia, and S. Squyres for their help with the section on satellite geology. We greatly appreciate the dedication and invaluable aid of the Image Processing Laboratory (IPL), the Mission Test Imaging System (MTIS), the Voyager science integration team, sequence team, and spacecraft team, as well as the Photography Laboratory and Graphics Department, all at Jet Propulsion Laboratory. We especially thank J. Anderson, M. Brownell, L. Cullen, G. Dimit, E. Korsmo, P. Kupferman, and F. Meng for help in sequence planning, exposure calculations, and image processing. The preparation of this manuscript benefited significantly from the assistance of L. Garcia, V. Nelson, G. Paterson, L. Pieri, O. Raper, and D. B. Weir. Finally, we thank our reviewers, including D. M. Hunten and M. J. S. Belton, for their helpful comments. G.E.H. is supported by the Science Research Council, Great Britain. This report presents the result of one phase of research carried out at Jet Propulsion Laboratory under NASA contract NAS 7-100.

11 February 1981; revised 24 February 1981

Orbits of the Small Satellites of Saturn

Abstract. *Orbital parameter values and associated uncertainties determined from Voyager 1 imaging data for the satellites 1980S1, 1980S3, 1980S6, 1980S26, 1980S27, and 1980S28 are presented.*

Three small satellites were observed during the approach of Voyager 1 to Saturn; three were known from ground-based observations (1-4) and three were discovered in the Voyager images (5). The "co-orbital" satellites 1980S1 and 1980S3 (6) were observed first approximately 75 days before encounter on the basis of predictions by B. A. Smith, H. J. Reitsema, and J. Fountain (7). The intervals for the remaining four are considerably shorter, ranging from about 20 days for 1980S6 and 1980S27 to approximately 8 days for 1980S28.

In order to refer their positions to the center of Saturn, 1980S1, 1980S3, and 1980S6 were recorded over the entire observing interval in frames in which at least one pointing reference, either a star or one or more of the satellites Mimas,

Enceladus, Tethys, Dione, and Rhea, also appeared. The orbital parameter values of these large satellites were also improved during approach by using hundreds of satellite-star frames taken primarily for spacecraft navigational purposes. Observations of 1980S26, 1980S27, and 1980S28 were then referred to the center of Saturn by using stars and/or the orbits of the large satellites as well as 1980S1 and 1980S3.

Since orbits were nearly singular, some of the parameters actually estimated were combinations of the usual classic set. In particular, the parameters h , k , p , and q (8) were estimated:

$$\begin{aligned} h &= e \sin \omega \\ k &= e \cos \omega \\ p &= \tan i/2 \sin \Omega \\ q &= \tan i/2 \cos \Omega \end{aligned}$$

Table 1. Orbital elements for six small satellites of Saturn; epoch 244 4513.5 (1 October 1980, 0 hours Ephemeris Time).

Parameter*	1980S1	1980S3	1980S6	1980S26	1980S27	1980S28
$a^\dagger$	1.51472×10^5	1.51422×10^5	3.7806×10^5	1.41700×10^5	1.39353×10^5	1.37670×10^5
e	.007 $\pm$.002	.009 $\pm$.002	.005 $\pm$.003	.004 $\pm$.003	.003 $\pm$.003	.002 $\pm$.003
i	0.14° $\pm$ 0.05°	0.34° $\pm$ 0.05°	0.15° $\pm$ 0.2°	0.05° $\pm$ 0.15°	0.0° $\pm$ 0.15°	0.3° $\pm$ 0.2°
$\tilde{\omega}^\ddagger$	82° $\pm$ 12°	193° $\pm$ 10°				
$\Omega^\ddagger$	87° $\pm$ 30°	134° $\pm$ 10°				
λ	138.24° $\pm$ 0.2°	21.2° $\pm$ 0.2°	150.75° $\pm$ 0.1°	52.1° $\pm$ 0.5°	109.0° $\pm$ 0.5°	252.0° $\pm$ 1.5°
n	518.236 $\pm$ 0.01	518.490 $\pm$ 0.01	131.43 $\pm$ 0.02	572.77 $\pm$ 0.02	587.28 $\pm$ 0.02	598.08 $\pm$ 0.05

* Symbols: a , semimajor axis; e , eccentricity; λ , longitude at epoch; n , mean motion (degrees per day). Other symbols are defined in the text. † Computed by using n and J_2 (9); uncertainties in a are essentially represented by the error in n . ‡ The errors in these parameters for 1980S6, 1980S26, 1980S27, and 1980S28 are currently so large as to make the values essentially meaningless.

where Ω is the angle (at epoch) to the orbit's ascending node on Saturn's equator and $\tilde{\omega}$ is the longitude of periapse (also at epoch), both measured from Saturn's autumnal equinox, and i is the inclination. The longitude at epoch is also referred to the autumnal equinox.

The dynamic model for all satellites is a Keplerian ellipse whose apse and node precess under the influences of the central-body harmonics. No attempt was made to model resonance libration terms. The pole and the gravitational harmonics J_2 and J_4 of Saturn are from (9). In computing the nodal and apsidal rates it was assumed that the dominant effects were due to J_2 and J_4 .

The uncertainty associated with each parameter is an estimate of the real error, not merely a formal statistic. Over the observing interval, the camera resolution increased from ~ 900 km per pixel (10) 75 days from Saturn to ~ 50 km per pixel in the last pictures taken 3 days before encounter. In general, the root-mean-square (RMS) fit was ~ 0.5 pixel over each satellite's recorded interval, except for 1980S28, for which the eight observations over 6 days were fit to ~ 1 pixel RMS. Approximately 20 observations were used for both 1980S26 and 1980S27, and 40 for both 1980S1 and 1980S3.

In evaluating eccentricity and inclination, the largest source of error is the difficulty of making useful measurements when the satellites are in transit or approaching transit. At these times, particularly for 1980S26, 1980S27, and 1980S28, it is difficult to separate the image of the satellite from the heavily exposed image of the bright rings; with further processing, we hope to be able to include such data in future analyses.

The orbital elements for the six satellites are given in Table 1. It should be noted that these elements are for osculating orbits at the epoch of the observations. This is especially important when considering 1980S1 and 1980S3, which

periodically exchange orbits (11), and 1980S6, which moves about the leading triangular libration point of Dione.

Satellites 1980S26 and 1980S27 are the outer and inner "shepherding" satellites that stabilize Saturn's F ring (3). We call attention to the fact that 1980S26 is itself stabilized by a 3:2 resonance with Mimas, which prevents the satellite-ring particle interaction from forcing 1980S26 outward.

S. P. SYNNOTT

C. F. PETERS

Jet Propulsion Laboratory,
Pasadena, California 91103

B. A. SMITH

Department of Planetary Sciences,
University of Arizona, Tucson 85721

L. A. MORABITO

Jet Propulsion Laboratory

References and Notes

1. H. J. Reitsema, B. A. Smith, S. M. Larson, *Icarus* **43**, 116 (1980).
2. J. W. Fountain and S. M. Larson, *ibid.* **36**, 92 (1978).
3. J. Lechacheux *et al.*, *ibid.* **43**, 115 (1980).
4. A. Dollfus, *Astronomie* **6**, 253 (1968).
5. B. A. Smith *et al.*, *Science* **212**, 163 (1981).
6. These are temporary designations adopted by the International Astronomical Union for new or unidentified satellites. Thus 1980S3 is the third unidentified satellite observed in 1980. Permanent names will eventually be assigned.
7. Private communication.
8. D. Brouwer and G. M. Clemence, *Methods of Celestial Mechanics* (Academic Press, New York, 1961).
9. G. W. Null, E. Lau, E. Biller, J. D. Anderson, *Astron. J.*, in press.
10. A single resolution element on the Voyager images is referred to as a pixel.
11. B. A. Smith *et al.*, in preparation.
12. We wish to thank A. J. Donegan for extensive computing support. This report represents the results of one phase of research carried out at the Jet Propulsion Laboratory, California Institute of Technology, under NASA contract NAS 7-100.

9 February 1981

Infrared Observations of the Saturnian System from Voyager 1

Abstract. During the passage of Voyager 1 through the Saturn system, the infrared instrument acquired spectral and radiometric data on Saturn, the rings, and Titan and other satellites. Infrared spectra of Saturn indicate the presence of H_2 , CH_4 , NH_3 , PH_3 , C_2H_2 , C_2H_6 , and possibly C_3H_4 and C_3H_8 . A hydrogen mole fraction of 0.94 is inferred with an uncertainty of a few percent, implying a depletion of helium in the atmosphere of Saturn relative to that of Jupiter. The atmospheric thermal structure of Saturn shows hemisphere asymmetries that are consistent with a response to the seasonally varying insolation. Extensive small-scale latitudinal structure is also observed. On Titan, positive identifications of infrared spectral features are made for CH_4 , C_2H_2 , C_2H_4 , C_2H_6 , and HCN; tentative identifications are made for C_3H_4 and C_3H_8 . The infrared continuum opacity on Titan appears to be quite small between 500 and 600 cm^{-1} , implying that the solid surface is a major contributor to the observed emission over this spectral range; between 500 and 200 cm^{-1} the opacity increases with decreasing wave number, attaining an optical thickness in excess of 2 at 200 cm^{-1} . Temperatures near the 1-millibar level are independent of longitude and local time but show a decrease of ~ 20 K between the equator and north pole, which suggests a seasonally dependent cyclostrophic zonal flow in the stratosphere of ~ 100 meters per second. Measurements of the C ring of Saturn yield a temperature of 85 ± 1 K and an infrared optical depth of 0.09 ± 0.01 . Radiometer observations of sunlight transmitted through the ring system indicate an optical depth of $10^{-1.3 \pm 0.3}$ for the Cassini division. A phase integral of 1.02 ± 0.06 is inferred for Rhea, which agrees with values for other icy bodies in the solar system. Rhea eclipse observations indicate the presence of surface materials with both high and low thermal inertias, the former most likely a blocky component and the latter a frost.