

ture, the subject will not be adopted in the secondary schools at any advanced level, according to the British experience. Primary school instruction is the equivalent of its American counterpart: it has virtually withered away under the onslaught of "progressive" curricula.

THOMAS F. GLICK

Department of Geography,
Boston University,
Boston, Massachusetts 02215

Views of Wundt

Wilhelm Wundt and the Making of a Scientific Psychology. R. W. RIEBER, Ed. Plenum, New York, 1980. xii, 250 pp., illus. \$24.50. Path in Psychology.

Wundt Studies. A Centennial Collection. WOLFGANG G. BRINGMANN and RYAN D. TWENEY, Eds. Hogrefe, Toronto, 1980. x, 446 pp., illus. Paper, \$28.

During 1979 and 1980 the world psychological community celebrated the centennial of psychology as a discipline. The event that occasioned the celebration, the founding of Wilhelm Wundt's psychological laboratory in Leipzig, has been a subject of debate, some regarding the laboratory as having been founded in 1879 and others choosing 1879 to date the first doctoral dissertation based on research done under Wundt's direction. It should not be surprising that a discipline that is unable to agree on its own centennial is also unable to agree on the life and work of its founder.

The period of celebration has stimulated a plethora of papers at professional meetings, from which these two books have drawn the majority of their chapters. The spirit of historical revisionism is alive in both volumes, with authors disdaining the views of Wundt found in the standard histories and replacing them with their own views of the "real" Wundt. Though the volumes make significant contributions to the field, particularly in expounding new factual material about Wundt and his psychology, the divergence among the authors demonstrates how far we are from knowing Wundt and his contributions.

There are a number of similarities between the two volumes. Both deal with the development of Wundt's psychology in the years before Leipzig and with the establishment of Wundt's system and his influence on world psychological development; and both mix specially prepared material with reprints of professional talks with articles and translations from

the past. The books even share authors, both containing contributions by Arthur Blumenthal and Kurt Danziger.

The reprinted pieces, such as William James's review of Wundt's *Grundzüge*, the excerpt from C. H. Judd's translation of Wundt's *Outlines of Psychology*, E. B. Titchener's obituary of Wundt, and the like are nice to have, but at the cost of books today the duplication of readily available material seems unwarranted. The pages would have been better utilized with original material. An exception to this is the material reprinted in one of Solomon Diamond's contributions in Rieber's volume, in which he traces the variants of the introductory section of Wundt's *Principles of Physiological Psychology* through its various editions, communicating clearly how Wundt's psychology changed over the years.

The pre-Leipzig period of Wundt's career is treated in five papers in Bringmann and Tweney's volume and in a long paper by Diamond, entitled "Wundt before Leipzig," in Rieber's. Diamond's is an interesting piece and reflects a high level of scholarship. It is, however, a remarkably sour treatment of Wundt and his family, far more so than the facts presented seem to warrant. Many of Diamond's interpretations depend on psychohistorical assumptions that I found often unconvincing and overextended. But his monograph is certainly stimulating and will surely be a starting point for many future revisionist articles. It seems unfortunate that Diamond did not extend the work to include the Leipzig years as well and publish it on its own. The papers in Bringmann and Tweney's volume give a more balanced view of the pre-Leipzig Wundt. Bringmann, Bringmann, and Balance give a solid if uncontroversial treatment of Wundt's early life, and Carl F. Graumann gives a very useful treatment of his early psychological position. These joined by Willem van Hoorn and Thom Verhave's "Wundt's changing conceptions of a general and theoretical psychology" provide an exceptionally good view of the early Wundt. Perhaps the most stimulating paper in this section is Robert Richards's "Wundt's early theories of unconscious inference and cognitive evolution in their relation to Darwinian biopsychology." Richards's treatment of Wundt's concept of unconscious inference is particularly valuable, clearly distinguishing between Wundt's view and that of Helmholtz.

The section of Wundt's Leipzig years seems to me the best part of Rieber's volume. Kurt Danziger's two contribu-

tions, particularly his "Wundt and the two traditions in psychology," stand out. Danziger's papers have a definite slant, however, and for balance they should be read along with Graumann's paper and Tweney and Yachanin's "Titchener's Wundt" in the Bringmann and Tweney volume.

Tweney and Yachanin's paper is exceptionally important. In recent years, Titchener has become a special target for revisionist historians, being accused of everything from poor translating skills to some sort of silent conspiracy to hide the "real" Wundt from American psychology. Such accusations have become almost a literary device with some writers, as both volumes demonstrate quite clearly. Tweney and Yachanin's paper goes a long way toward clarifying the situation and demonstrating just how unfounded most of these accusations have been. It also points up the problem that revisionist history often merely replaces old myths with new.

Both these books are good examples of the collection genre, with the usual flaws of uneven quality. Because of its larger number of contributions and more balanced representation, I found the Bringmann and Tweney volume the more useful. Rieber's book is considerably smaller in size and somewhat more idiosyncratic in approach.

RAND B. EVANS

Department of Psychology, Texas A & M University, College Station 77801

Confluence in Research

The Cancer Mission. Social Contexts of Biomedical Research. KENNETH E. STUDER and DARYL E. CHUBIN. Sage, Beverly Hills, Calif., 1980. 320 pp., illus. Cloth, \$18; paper, \$8.95. Sage Library of Social Research, vol. 103.

The Cancer Mission is a carefully constructed and ambitious book that deserves wide readership among those interested in the qualities and structures of contemporary, large-scale directed biomedical research.

The central concern seems to be how what the authors call "problem domains" in biomedical research are constituted through the confluence of several lines of investigation. Such domains hang together for some while before dissolving into the flux of continuing research. Studer and Chubin seem particularly concerned to present this kind of