tional health standards provide guidelines, but they are not legally applicable or appropriate in view of the nature of the exposures and the population at risk. An industrial plant in which the observed concentrations prevailed even intermittently would be required to take action to meet OSHA regulations. Environmental Protection Agency standards that prohibit visible emissions containing asbestos presumably would be violated if an industrial site permitted such dust clouds. The federal government probably cannot ignore exposures in a recreational area under its control if its guiding principle is that there is no threshold level of carcinogenic effect for asbestos so that "exposures must be reduced as low as feasible" (8). This demonstration of high natural exposures further emphasizes the need for better understanding of dose-response relationships between asbestos and malignancies to guide those who must set levels of acceptable exposure.

W. C. COOPER

2150 Shattuck Avenue, Berkeley, California 94704

J. MURCHIO W. POPENDORF School of Public Health, University of California, Berkeley 94720

H. R. WENK Department of Geology and Geophysics, University of California, Berkeley

References and Notes

- 1. S. J. Rice, Calif. Div. Mines Geol. Miner. Inf. Serv. 16-9, 1 (1963).

- Serv. 16-9, 1 (1963).
 F. A. Mumpton and C. S. Thompson, Clays Clay Miner. 23, 131 (1975).
 E. B. Eckel and W. B. Myers, Calif. J. Mines Geol. 42, 81 (1946).
 E. H. Bailey, W. P. Irwin, D. L. Jones, Calif. Div. Mines Geol. Bull. 183 (1964).
 S. J. Rice and R. A. Matthews, California Division of Mines and Geology, unpublished report.
 Intersociety Committee, Methods of Air Sampling and Analysis, M. Katz, Ed. (American Public Health Association, Washington, D.C., 1977), pp. 585-587.
 Occupational Safety and Health Administration

- 1977), pp. 585-587.
 Occupational Safety and Health Administration, *Fed. Reg.* 37, 11318 (1972).
 ______, *ibid.* 40, 47652 (1975).
 J. S. Harington, *Ann. Anat. Pathol.* 21, 155 (1976).
 R. C. Cooper and J. C. Murchio, *Proceedings of the 5th Annual Conference on Environmental Toxicology* (Aerospace Medical Research Laboratory, Wright Patterson Air Force Base, Ohio, 1974. available from National Technical Infor-(1974) available from National Technical Information Service, Springfield, Va.), pp. 61-73.
 A. N. Rohl, A. M. Langer, I. J. Selikoff, *Science* 196, 1319 (1977).
 J. C. McDonald, M. R. Becklake, G. W. Gibbs, A. D. McDonald, C. E. Rossiter, *Arch. Environ. Web 29* (4) (1977).

- A. D. McDonald, C. E. Rossiter, Arch. Environ. Health 28, 61 (1974).
 W. J. Nicholson, R. Lilis, I. J. Selikoff, paper presented at the International Conference on Health Hazards of Asbestos Exposure, New Weith Hazards of Asbestos Exposure, New York York Academy of Sciences, New York, 24 June 1978.
- 14. We appreciate the support of the Bureau of Land Management the Department of the Interi-or and especially thank T. Parker and D. Lehor and especially thank T. Parker and D. Leh-man for their assistance. Janet Teshima and Ar-turo DeLeon provided invaluable technical serv-ices. One of the authors (H.R.W.) is indebted to the National Science Foundation for a grant (EAR-00127) that made possible acquisition of the electron microscope used in the study.
- 12 January 1979; revised 9 March 1979

Fossil Counterparts of Giant Penguins from the North Pacific

Abstract. New fossils of giant, flightless penguinlike birds have been found in late Oligocene and early Miocene rocks in Japan and in the state of Washington. These birds belong to the order Pelecaniformes, in the extinct family Plotopteridae, previously known by a single fragment of bone from California. Hindlimb and pelvic morphology is most similar to that of Recent anhingas, but the wing is paddlelike and remarkably convergent toward penguins and flightless auks. Both the Plotopteridae and the giant penguins became extinct by the middle Miocene, possibly because of competition from seals and porpoises.

Fossils have recently revealed an unknown avian family which includes some of the largest swimming birds yet discovered. These marine birds were flightless, wing-propelled divers resembling penguins (Sphenisciformes) in their locomotory adaptations but belonging to the unrelated order Pelecaniformes (pelicans,



Fig. 1. Dorsal view of right wing skeleton. (A) Anhinga (Pelecaniformes); (B) great auk (Charadriiformes); (C) plotopterid (Pelecaniformes; largest Japanese species); and (D) penguin (Sphenisciformes). The similarities between the three wings on the right are due to convergence. The plotopterid (C) evolved from an ancestor with a wing like that of the anhinga (A). Drawn to scale.

Fig. 2. Anterior view of right tarsometatarsus. (A) Anhinga (Pelecaniformes); (B) plotopterid (Pelecaniformes; largest Japanese species); and (C) penguin (Sphenisciformes). Not to scale.

А

0036-8075/79/1109-0688\$00.50/0 Copyright © 1979 AAAS

cormorants, anhingas, and allies). They are known only from mid-Tertiary rocks bordering the North Pacific.

Knowledge of these birds began with a single humeral end of a coracoid described from an early Miocene deposit in southern California as a new genus and species, Plotopterum joaquinensis (1). With exceptional insight, Howard (1) diagnosed this as a new family of Pelecaniformes, the Plotopteridae, having affinities with anhingas and cormorants (Anhingidae, Phalacrocoracidae) but showing adaptations for wing-propelled diving similar to those of auks (Charadriiformes, Alcidae) and penguins. However, because of its very fragmentary nature, *Plotopterum* drew little attention.

Newly discovered fossils fully confirm Howard's original conclusions. All are late Oligocene or early Miocene (2, 3) and consist of single elements or associated partial skeletons from six localities in Japan and one partial skeleton from the state of Washington. Of the major skeletal elements, only the end of the bill remains unknown.

Coracoids associated with the specimen from Washington and with two from Japan clearly show that the new fossils are referable to the Plotopteridae. Counting Plotopterum, at least three genera can be recognized (3). Differences in size indicate a minimum of four species from Japan, and the Washington specimen could represent a fifth. Considerable taxonomic diversity within the family is indicated.

Plotopterum, by far the smallest known member of the family, was the



SCIENCE, VOL. 206, 9 NOVEMBER 1979

size of the modern cormorant Phalacrocorax penicillatus (1). Other species were only slightly smaller than the largest living penguins, and two species far exceeded existing penguins in size. In life, the largest Japanese plotopterid (see cover) probably measured more than 2 m from bill tip to tail tip and may have been larger (4) than any of the giant fossil penguins from the Tertiary of the Southern Hemisphere (5).

The most striking feature of the Plotopteridae is the wing, which had lost all resemblance to that in other Pelecaniformes and was modified as a rigid paddle, unsuitable for flight. The wing bones show numerous parallels with those of penguins and the flightless Alcidae (Fig. 1). The head of the humerus is heavy, nearly spherical, and penguinlike, whereas the distal end of the humerus and the elongated first metacarpal more closely resemble those of flightless auks. A row of distinct pits on the dorsal surface of the ulna is unique; in most birds the secondaries attach to raised papillae. The articulating surfaces of the wrist bones indicate that the hand was capable of very little flexion (3).

As in penguins, the scapula was greatly expanded to provide increased attachment for the muscles used to raise the wing against water, a much denser medium than air. Also as in penguins, the limb bones were extremely dense and heavy, serving to reduce buoyancy.

Despite the great modification of the wing and scapula, the remainder of the skeleton in the Plotopteridae shows close affinity with the Pelecaniformes. The carina of the sternum articulates solidly with the furcula, there is a very large acromion process of the scapula, and the skull has a deep, transverse nasofrontal hinge and lacks supraorbital impressions for salt glands. These characteristic features of the Pelecaniformes are not found in penguins or auks. The tarsometatarsus, although quite robust, is most like that of anhingas and has little resemblance to that of penguins, in which, for example, the metatarsals are incompletely fused (Fig. 2).

In much of the skeleton of the Plotopteridae, the greatest similarity is to anhingas, which otherwise differ considerably in being freshwater, foot-propelled diving birds with the head and neck highly modified as a spearing device. Such specializations of the head and neck are absent in the Plotopteridae, however, and the skull is more like that in the Sulidae (gannets and boobies).

Adaptations for the use of the wings rather than the feet in underwater propulsion have evolved in several groups SCIENCE, VOL. 206, 9 NOVEMBER 1979

of birds. The penguins are so modified to this end that their ancestry is no longer readily discernible. Nevertheless, despite earlier opinions to the contrary, they are now generally regarded as having descended from volant ancestors (6). The convergent adaptations of the Plotopteridae offer significant proof that almost any group of water birds could evolve an essentially penguinlike morphology in becoming specialized for wing-propelled diving.

Storer (6) remarked on the absence in the Northern Hemisphere of wing-propelled diving birds of the size of the larger Recent penguins or their giant fossil relatives. We now know, however, that the Plotopteridae occupied such niches in the North Pacific for an undetermined period prior to the end of the early Miocene. Plotopterids are not known from the more intensively studied younger deposits around the North Pacific, and it seems likely that they became extinct at the same time as the giant penguins in the Southern Hemisphere. Simpson (5) has suggested that niches for pelagic endotherms the size of giant penguins may have been preempted by seals and porpoises, which underwent their greatest diversification during the Miocene. Because plotopterids occupied niches similar to those of giant penguins but are unrelated and occurred in a different hemisphere, their simultaneous disappearance adds considerable support to Simpson's hypothesis. In addition, the Plotopteridae provide one of the more impressive instances of convergent evolution in the fossil record. STORRS L. OLSON

Department of Vertebrate Zoology, National Museum of Natural History, Smithsonian Institution, Washington, D.C. 20560

YOSHIKAZU HASEGAWA National Science Museum, Tokyo 160, Japan

References and Notes

- 1. H. Howard, Condor 71, 68 (1969). H. Howard, Condor 71, 68 (1969). The Washington specimen is from the Pysht Formation, Twin River Group. Japanese speci-mens are from the Asagai, Ashiya, Hatazu, and Nishisonogi formations [see Y. Hasegawa, Proc. 1st Int. Congr. Pac. Neogene Stratigr. (1977), p. 340; Kagaku Asahi 3, 71 (1978); Bull. Kita Kyushu Mus. Nat. Hist. 1, 41 (1979)]. S. Olson Nat. Hit. Mus. Los Araclas Cit.
- S. Olson, Nat. Hist. Mus. Los Angeles Cty. Contrib. Sci., in press.
- Contrib. Sci., in press.
 The length of a recently collected synsacrum of Anthropornis nordenskjoeldii, the largest or next to largest of the giant fossil penguins, is 240 mm, whereas that in the largest Japanese plo-topterid is approximately 330 mm.
 G. Simpson, in The Biology of Penguins, B. Stonehouse, Ed. (Macmillan, London, 1974), np. 30-32.
- p. 30-32. Storer, Proc. 12th Int. Ornithol. Congr. 6. Ŕ (1960), p. 694.
- 7. For collecting, preparing, or providing informaror conecung, preparing, or providing informa-tion on specimens we thank U. Akagi, H. Endo, D. Emlong, S. Isotani, K. Isozaki, Kita Kyusyu Natural History Museum, H. Kodama, K. Mat-suo, J. Mori, S. Ota, H. Otsuka, C. Ray, K. Seki, G. Sullivan, Y. Suyana, T. Suzuki, and W. Zinsmeister. Illustrations are by B. Dalzell, J. Farrand, C. Ray, and D. Steadman commented on the manuscript.

13 April 1979; revised 14 May 1979

Angular Invariants in Developing Human Mandibles

Abstract. Recent studies of lateral cephalograms based on symmetric-axis analyses of the mandibular border yield angles that appear to be uninfluenced by gross changes in mandibular shape over age and between individuals.

The symmetric axis of an outline form is a curve passing down a precisely defined middle of an extended structure. This curve plus an expression of its distance from the boundary is sufficient to completely describe the shape of the structure. Rigorous definitions and theorems have been published (1, 2). These axes can provide stick figures for com-



plex biological forms, which serve as a means for registering slow changes in curvature, relative position of parts, and so forth, for organs assembled out of poorly delimited parts. In this report we show that symmetric axes derived from lateral projections of the human mandible obtained serially from cephalograms of normal and aberrant individuals define remarkably stable angles.

Figure 1 shows the symmetric axis defined by a mandibular shape. It may be considered the locus of centers of "max-

Fig. 1. Lateral projection of human mandibular border showing representative maximal disks whose loci of centers determine the symmetric axis. Radii of disks are expressed as functions of distance along the symmetric axis and determine the radius function, which, along with the loci of centers, provides a complete description of the shape of the mandibular border.

0036-8075/79/1109-0689\$00.50/0 Copyright © 1979 AAAS