

Bon apatite!



The youngest member of the growing LKB family of Ultragel® chromatography and gel filtration media is a unique beaded form of hydroxyapatite microcrystals trapped in a highly cross-linked agarose network. Tradenamed HA-Ultragel®, the medium is recommended for adsorption chromatography when it is important to have high flow rates, resistance to pH as high as 13 and to SDS, urea and other denaturing agents.

Another new member is Ultragel AcA-202, an agarose-polyacrylamide type very high in acrylamide, very low in exclusion range. It is ideal for desalting and for separation of peptides, oligosaccharides and other low MW compounds. Uniform, rigid beads provide much sharper peaks than previously available commercial products.

Still three other new additions to the LKB line are Ultragels A-2, A-4 and A-6. Straight agarose types, they give excellent results with affinity chromatography as well as gel filtration. The very high exclusion limit of Ultragel A-2 makes it a fine tool for gel filtration of large nuclear fragments and viruses.

Each of the new Ultragel grades — and each of the four existing members of the LKB family — is characterized by a narrow, well-defined particle size distribution curve. You have no fines to contend with. And each grade comes pre-swollen, ready to use direct from the bottle. You can run your column the same day you prepare it.

If you have not examined LKB Ultragel media lately, you are in for a pleasant surprise. Contact LKB today for full information on this growing family.

Ultragel	% Acrylamide	% Agarose	MW fractionation range (linear)	MW exclusion limit (globular proteins)
AcA-202	20	2	1,000 to 15,000	22,000
AcA-54	5	4	5,000 to 70,000	90,000
AcA-44	4	4	10,000 to 130,000	200,000
AcA-34	3	4	20,000 to 350,000	750,000
AcA-22	2	2	100,000 to 1,200,000	3,000,000
A-6	0	6	25,000 to 2,400,000	4,000,000
A-4	0	4	55,000 to 9,000,000	20,000,000
A-2	0	2	120,000 to 25,000,000	50,000,000
HA	*	*	<5,000,000	5,000,000

*hydroxyapatite



LKB Instruments Inc.
12221 Parklawn Drive Rockville, MD 20852
301: 881-2510 Telex: 8-9682

Circle No. 145 on Readers' Service Card

29A-309

whether he did so. The evidence for the fabrication was presented in my original article, which I ended thusly (p. 1184):

The final judgment on Burt's honesty as a scientist will rest with future historians of behavioral science. It is hoped that the foregoing analyses will contribute to a fair and reasoned judgment in this matter.

Finally, I believe that Hearnshaw has provided us with a fair and reasoned judgment in this matter.

DONALD D. DORFMAN

Department of Psychology,
University of Iowa, Iowa City 52242

References and Notes

1. Some other corrections to the letter from Rubin and Stigler are the following: (i) I did show that rescaling the IQ assessments to fit a normal curve and then reweighting along rows does not imply that the column totals will fit a normal curve; (ii) since Burt did not rescale his assessments of social class to fit a normal curve, there is no a priori reason why his tables should be "approximately multivariate normal." Moreover, marginal normals do not imply linear regression.
2. P. E. Vernon, *Intelligence: Heredity and Environment* (Freeman, San Francisco, 1979).
3. Indeed, Quetelet presented that distribution of Scottish chest-circumferences as a striking example of a normal curve in his *Lettre XX* of the work cited by Rubin and Stigler [A. Quetelet, *Lettres . . . sur la Théorie des Probabilités Appliquée aux Sciences, Morales et Politiques* (Bruxelles, 1846)] and fitted it to the normal curve. The fit was striking.
4. According to Hearnshaw, the Conway of 1959 was an invention of Burt's [L. S. Hearnshaw, *Cyril Burt: Psychologist* (Hodder and Stoughton, London, 1979)].
5. J. Conway, *Brit. J. Stat. Psychol.* 12, 5 (1959).
6. K. Pearson, Ed., *Tables for Statisticians and Biometricians*, Part II, (Biometric Laboratory, University College, London, 1931).
7. I am deeply indebted to Roger Milkman for invaluable assistance and to Robert Hogg for some statistical assistance.

The Future of Education

In his editorial, "Education for the 21st century" (14 Sept., p. 1087), Philip H. Abelson addresses one of the most fundamental issues of our time—how to reshape and relate our educational system to the future condition and needs of American society and "somehow manage to avoid enormous trauma during the transitions that lie ahead." He singles out for discussion the threat of "a shortage of trained people [scientists and engineers] capable of meeting society's physical needs" and shows how the educational system suffers from mediocre performance "in counseling the young." He highlights various aspects of this particular educational problem and suggests appropriate solutions.

This is excellent as far as it goes; but the title of the editorial calls for much more. The tremendous changes that lie ahead for our society will be traumatic and, as Abelson says, "Education stands out as the best basis for hope. . . ."

In addition to the future physical needs of society that will require more

adequate training of scientists and engineers, there will be increasing and more traumatic social, psychological, economic, and political needs that must be met. To provide adequate training of personnel to meet such needs, the educational system must undergo basic changes, in substance and method, at various levels. Another aspect of the problem is the lag between the national educational establishment and the rapidly expanding field of future studies. A wealth of knowledge about probable future trends and alternatives, in all aspects of society, has been accumulating rapidly in recent years, by which training institutions could be guided in measuring up to changing conditions and demands.

A third feature that must be addressed relates to the inner space of man. The startling findings of scientific research in recent years, at the frontiers of physics, chemistry, biology, psychology, physiology, neurology, and other fields, have been converging in such a manner as to create a revolutionary concept of human nature and its world. The traditional image of man and his perception of reality, to which the educational system has been anchored, is steadily fading away. A new image is arising with outstanding components, such as human potential for creative unfolding is infinite; the child is born with an innate biological pattern that should be the primary guide for its education and development; the structure and functions of the brain (or rather brains) are revealed and understood as never before, opening the door for new insights into human perception, motivation, emotion, memory, learning, consciousness, and self-awareness; and new and baffling dimensions of reality are encountered in the realm of subatomic physics.

The crucial question that concerned leaders of thought and policy must answer is how to bring this emergent, revolutionary image of man and his world into the heart of the educational method at all levels.

AFIF I. TANNOUS

6912 Oak Court,
Annandale, Virginia 22003

In his editorial of 14 September, Abelson calls for better career guidance of able youths. In the Study of Mathematically Precocious Youth (SMPY) at the Johns Hopkins University, we have worked out and tried what is called the 4D (Discovery, Description, Development, and Dissemination) model with more than 10,000 mathematically gifted junior high school students (chiefly seventh graders). We believe it provides the guidance and educational flexibility needed.

The first step is to locate intellectually talented youths who attend school in a seven-state area (Maryland, the political entities that touch it, and New Jersey), chiefly by means of an annual talent search. Any seventh grader, or a youth in a higher grade who is of seventh-grade age, who scores in the top 3 percent on national norms for the mathematical, verbal, or total composite score of a school-administered standardized achievement-test battery such as the Iowa Test of Basic Skills may register for the talent search with our Office of Talent Identification and Development (OTID) and take the College Board's Scholastic Aptitude Test (SAT) in the nationwide January administration. The key concept is that this three-part (verbal, mathematical, and English composition) SAT is difficult enough to assess the mental power and precocity of these youths, most of whom are four or five school grades below the last 2 years of senior high school, when the test is usually given. We consider that a participant in the talent search reasons exceptionally well mathematically if he or she scores at least 500 on the SAT, which is above the average of college-bound 12th-grade males and roughly the upper 1 percent of 11- to 12-year-old boys. About one-fourth of the males and one-eighth of the females in the talent search do this well. Comparable criteria are 430 for the verbal SAT and 43 for the Test of Standard Written English, on the latter of which SMPY's girls exceed the boys.

Although the SAT is invaluable for identifying highly able youths, even with three scores it has little *diagnostic* power. The high scorers need to be further studied with tests difficult enough to determine their specific knowledge and aptitudes. For example, how well do they score on the College Board's Mathematics I and II achievement tests or the mathematics test of the American College Testing (ACT) Program? How much general science do they know, as evaluated by the ACT-Natural Science Reading test, the college level of Educational Testing Service's Sequential Tests of Educational Progress (STEP) in Science, or the College Board's Physics achievement test? How much Algebra I can they do, even before taking the course? How apt are they in mechanical reasoning (for example, as measured by one of the Psychological Corporation's tests), spatial relationships, nonverbal reasoning (we like the 36-item, difficult Raven Progressive Matrices Test), and so forth? What are their vocational interests, attitudes, and values? How extroverted or introverted is

(Continued on page 238)

New!

A continuously variable length chromatography column



For gel filtration, ion exchange, affinity and adsorption chromatography—the new LKB 2137 column adjusts to any length from 5 to 95 cm. No longer do you need different length columns for each technique. Now, simplify inventory, cut costs dramatically!

In minutes you can have a completely jacketed high-resolution column of optimum length. For either descending or ascending flow. For sharper, more symmetrical peaks than ever before.

This versatile column comes complete with flow adaptors. The price? Less than you pay for other suppliers' flow adaptors alone.

Contact LKB today
for full details.

LKB


LKB Instruments Inc.
12221 Parklawn Drive
Rockville, Maryland 20852
301: 881-2510

Circle No. 146 on Readers' Service Card

13A-301

command positioners!

Manual and Electronically Controlled Positioning Modules
for Research, Industry & Production



A complete range of high precision, linear and rotary positioning stages . . . designed to meet your specific systems requirements. Manually operable or motor driven, stepped, each is pre-programmable for computer control. For modern R&D and production. Available with the sophisticated Model 9825A Desk Top computer by Hewlett Packard and a wide choice of high accuracy Translators and Indexers.

Send for FREE Catalog #409 and Supplement

KLINGER

SCIENTIFIC CORP.

110-20 Jamaica Ave., Richmond Hills, N.Y. 11418 (212) 846-3700

Circle No. 800 on Readers' Service Card

COMBATING THE #1 KILLER

The SCIENCE
Report on
Heart
Research

JEAN L. MARX and
GINA BARI KOLATA

— a direct, unbiased report with information for all
investigators in the field, makers of public policy, scientists
and the general public.

\$17.00 casebound \$7.50 paperbound
10% discount to AAAS members

Send name, address and remittance to

AMERICAN ASSOCIATION FOR THE ADVANCEMENT OF SCIENCE



Department B-3
1515 Massachusetts Avenue, NW
Washington, D.C. 20005

LETTERS

(Continued from page 145)

each of them? How neurotic? (We do not, however, probe deeply into personalities or seek personal problems unless already obvious.) What factors in their homes, including the educational attitudes of parents, are likely to affect the use of their intellectual talents? Also, of course, talents for the various performing arts are important, though SMPY and OTID are not well equipped to assess them.

Identifying the youths and studying their characteristics will usually be non-productive, however, unless from that point on they are helped educationally in major ways. SMPY's specialty is the area of mathematics; students are instructed in fast-paced special classes from Algebra I through the first year of college calculus. Virtual miracles of acceleration can be accomplished in this individualized manner, such as an 11-year-old youth's scoring extremely high on the college-level Advanced Placement Program examinations in Calculus BC, Physics C1 (Mechanics), and Physics C2 (Electricity and Magnetism). Quite a few eighth and ninth graders can readily complete the first year of college calculus well, as judged by this calculus exam. Some youths we have helped went on to receive their baccalaureates at ages as young as 15 and their master's degrees at 17. Within a few years a number of them seem likely to earn Ph.D.'s from top-level universities many years sooner than the age 30 or so that is average for most scientific fields. The increase in person-years of expertise should be invaluable to the individuals themselves and also to society.

Further information about SMPY's pioneering can be found in five books already published by the Johns Hopkins University Press or in press there: *Mathematical Talent* (1974), *Intellectual Talent* (1976), *The Gifted and the Creative* (1977), *Educating the Gifted: Acceleration and Enrichment* (1979), and *Women and the Mathematical Mystique* (in press). Also, reprints of certain relevant articles are available from SMPY. We do not discuss here the newly created OTID role in verbal areas. Inquiries about that and the 1980 talent search should be addressed to OTID director George.

JULIAN C. STANLEY

Study of Mathematically Precocious Youth, Johns Hopkins University, Baltimore, Maryland 21218

WILLIAM C. GEORGE

Office of Talent Identification and Development, Johns Hopkins University