# AMERICAN ASSOCIATION FOR THE ADVANCEMENT OF SCIENCE

Science serves its readers as a forum for the presentation and discussion of important issues related to the ad-vancement of science, including the presentation of minority or conflicting points of view, rather than by pub-lishing only material on which a consensus has been reached. Accordingly, all articles published in *Science* views—are signed and reflect the individual views of the authors and not official points of view adopted by the AAAS or the institutions with which the authors are affiliated.

### **Editorial Board**

1979: E. Peter Geiduschek, Ward Goodenough, N. Bruce Hannay, Martin J. Klein, Franklin A. Long, Neal E. Miller, Jeffrey J. Wine

1980: RICHARD E. BALZHISER, WALLACE S. BROECK-ER, CLEMENT L. MARKERT, FRANK W. PUTNAM, BRY-ANT W. ROSSITER, VERA C. RUBIN, MAXINE F. SINGER, PAUL E. WAGGONER, F. KARL WILLENBROCK

### Publisher

## WILLIAM D. CAREY

#### Editor PHILIP H. ABELSON

**Editorial Staff** 

Managing Editor Robert V. Ormes Assistant Managing Editor John E. Ringle

Business Manager HANS NUSSBAUM Production Editor Ellen E. Murphy News Editor: BARBARA J. CULLITON

News Editor: BARBARA J. CULLITON News and Comment: WILLIAM J. BROAD, LUTHER J. CARTER, CONSTANCE HOLDEN, ELIOT MARSHALL, DEBORAH SHAPLEY, R. JEFFREY SMITH, NICHOLAS WADE, JOHN WALSH. Editorial Assistant, SCHERRAINE MACK

MACK Research News: Beverly Karplus Hartline, Frederick F. Hartline, Richard A. Kerr, Gina Bari Kolata, Jean L. Marx, Thomas H. Maugh II, Arthur L. Robinson. *Editorial Assistant*, Fannie GROOM

Consulting Editor: ALLEN L. HAMMOND

Associate Editors: Eleanore Butz, Mary Dorf-an, Sylvia Eberhart, Judith Gottlieb, Ruth KULSTAD

Assistant Editors: CAITILIN GORDON, LOIS SCHMITT Book Reviews: KATHERINE LIVINGSTON, Editor; LINDA HEISERMAN, JANET KEGG Letters: CHRISTINE KARLIK Corry Editory, JORGAN, DOUB DAY

Copy Editor: Isabella Bouldin Production: Nancy Hartnagel, John Baker; Ya I Swigart, Holly Bishop, Eleanor Warner; Mary MCDANIEL, JEAN ROCKWOOD, LEAH RYAN, SHARON RYAN

Covers, Reprints, and Permissions: GRAYCE FINGER, Editor; CORRINE HARRIS, MARGARET LLOYD

Guide to Scientific Instruments: RICHARD SOMMER Assistant to the Editors: RICHARD SEMIKLOSE

Membership Recruitment: GWENDOLYN HUDDLE Member and Subscription Records: ANN RAGLAND EDITORIAL CORRESPONDENCE: 1515 Massachu-setts Ave., NW, Washington, D.C. 20005. Area code 202. General Editorial Office, 467-4350; Book Reviews, 467-4367; Guide to Scientific Instruments, 467-4480; News and Comment, 467-4430; Reprints and Per-missions, 467-4483; Research News, 467-4321. Cable: Advancesci, Washington. For "Instructions for Contrib-utors," write the editorial office or see page xi, *Science*, 30 Moreh 1070. 30 March 1979

BUSINESS CORRESPONDENCE: Area Code 202. Membership and Subscriptions: 467-4417.

#### Advertising Representatives

Director: EARL J. SCHERAGO Production Manager: MARGARET STERLING Advertising Sales Manager: RICHARD L. CHARLES Marketing Manager: HERBERT L. BURKLUND

Marketing Manager: HERBERT L. BURKLUND Sales: NEW YORK, N.Y. 10036: Steve Hamburger, 1515 Broadway (212-730-1050); SCOTCH PLAINS, N.J. 07076: C. Richard Callis, 12 Unami Lane (201-889-4873); CHI-CAGO, ILL. 60611: Jack Ryan, Room 2107, 919 N. Mich-igan Ave. (312-DE-7-4973); BEVERLY HILLS, CALIF. 90211: Winn Nance, 111 N. La Cienega Blvd. (213-657-2772); DORSET, VT. 05251: Fred W. Dieffenbach, Kent Hill Rd. (802-867-5581) ADVERTISING CORRESPONDENCE: Tenth floor, 1515 Broadway New York N Y 10036. Phone 212-

1515 Broadway, New York, N.Y. 10036. Phone: 212-730-1050.

# Venus

The earlier romantic and the newer realistic views of Venus are in sharp contrast. This difference is typical of many situations that scientists deal with. They often find themselves in the position of demolishing illusions. On the other hand, the objectivity of scientists is usually narrowly confined, and even in their professional activities, illusion or self-delusion often surface. This is especially true when the information is limited and the area of possible speculation is large. For example, an ill-based belief in the possibility of life on Venus, the moon, and Mars was sufficiently strong for some scientists to alarm the public and force special precautions in the return of astronauts and samples from the moon.

In ancient times there were few constraints on imagination about Venus, and the very name of the planet reflected this. Later, it became evident that Venus was a body about the same size as Earth, that it had an atmosphere, and that it was cloud-covered. Nobel Laureate Svante Arrhenius believed that the planet supported luxuriant vegetation. His views are shared by others

By 1960 Earth-based astronomers had determined that the atmosphere of Venus consisted principally of CO<sub>2</sub> with little H<sub>2</sub>O evident. Nevertheless, confidence in the possibility of life on Venus persisted in some guarters.

Exploration of the moon and planets has left no room for little green men or microbes elsewhere in the solar system. This is especially true of Venus. Temperatures at the planet's surface range up to 400°C. The dense atmosphere consists mainly of CO<sub>2</sub> with an atmospheric pressure of 90 kilograms per square centimeter (90 times the total on Earth). The second most abundant component is nitrogen (about 3 percent). Water vapor is a minor constituent, being present in about the same concentration as SO<sub>2</sub> (of the order of 1000 parts per million). Other forms of sulfur include elemental sulfur and carbon oxysulfide. The atmosphere is acid and toxic, and the clouds probably consist largely of droplets of H<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub>.

Despite contrasts between their atmospheres, Earth and Venus share some important features. The abundances of nitrogen relative to the masses of the planets are comparable. The same is true of the amounts of  $CO_2$  if one takes into account the amounts present in carbonate rocks on Earth. Within experimental error, the 13C/12C ratios are alike. The amounts of 40Ar derived from decay of <sup>40</sup>K are also comparable, indicating similar contents of potassium in the two bodies.

In the current issue of Science, Pollack and Black discuss some of the compositional features of the atmospheres of Venus, Earth, and Mars. They also examine three hypotheses that have been advanced for the origin and evolution of these atmospheres. The view that best fits the available data is the grain-accretion hypothesis: Grains of material containing potential volatiles such as nitrogen and H<sub>2</sub>O were accumulated into planetesimals that subsequently accreted to form planets. Later, as a result of internal heating, volatiles reached the surface. Since the amounts of CO<sub>2</sub> and N<sub>2</sub> which have reached the surface on Venus and Earth are comparable, it is possible that similar amounts of water likewise were outgassed. But little  $H_{2}O$  is present today in the atmosphere of Venus, and this absence must be explained. In any event, the comparative absence of water on Venus has profoundly affected weathering, the incorporation of CO2 into solid carbonates, and the contrasting greenhouse effects on the two planets.

The results obtained from American and Soviet missions to Venus leave little room for romance. The same is true of missions to the moon and the other planets. Those who have yearned for evidence that forms of life exist on other bodies of the solar system have been disappointed. But their frustration is to a degree balanced by a positive factor. Exploration of our solar system is a triumph of human ingenuity-a triumph shared by all humans. -Philip H. Abelson

SCIENCE