

AMERICAN ASSOCIATION FOR THE ADVANCEMENT OF SCIENCE

Science serves its readers as a forum for the presenta-tion and discussion of important issues related to the advancement of science, including the presentation of mi-nority or conflicting points of view, rather than by pub-lishing only material on which a consensus has been inshing only inactial on which a consensus has creached. Accordingly, all articles published in Science—including editorials, news and comment, and book reviews—are signed and reflect the individual views of the authors and not official points of view adopted by the AAAS or the institutions with which the authors are af-

Editorial Board

1979: E. Peter Geiduschek, Ward Goodenough, N. Bruce Hannay, Martin J. Klein, Franklin A. Long, Neal E. Miller, Jeffrey J. Wine 1980: Richard E. Balzhiser, Wallace S. Broecker, Clement L. Markert, Frank W. Putnam, Bryant W. Rossiter, Vera C. Rubin, Maxine F. Singer, Paul E. Waggoner, F. Karl Willenbrock

Publisher

WILLIAM D. CAREY

Editor

PHILIP H. ABELSON

Editorial Staff

Managing Editor ROBERT V. ORMES Assistant Managing Editor JOHN E. RINGLE

Business Manager HANS NUSSBAUM Production Editor ELLEN E. MURPHY

News Editor: BARBARA J. CULLITON

News Editor: Barbara J. Culliton
News and Comment: William J. Broad, Luther J.
Carter, Constance Holden, Eliot Marshall,
Deborah Shapley, R. Jeffrey Smith, Nicholas
Wade, John Walsh. Editorial Assistant, Scherraine

Research News: Beverly Karplus Hartline, Frederick F. Hartline, Richard A. Kerr, Gina Bari Kolata, Jean L. Marx, Thomas H. Maugh II, BARI KOLATA, JEAN L. MARX, THOMAS H. MAUGH II, ARTHUR L. ROBINSON. Editorial Assistant, FANNIE

Consulting Editor: ALLEN L. HAMMOND

Associate Editors: Eleanore Butz, Mary Dorf-an, Sylvia Eberhart, Judith Gottlieb, Ruth KULSTAD

Assistant Editors: Caitilin Gordon, Lois Schmitt, DIANE TURKIN

Rook

Reviews: KATHERINE LIVINGSTON, Editor; LINDA HEISERMAN, JANET KEGG Letters: Christine Karlik

Copy Editor: Isabella Bouldin
Production: Nancy Hartnagel, John Baker; Ya Production: Nancy Hartnagel, John Baker, Ya Li Swigart, Holly Bishop, Eleanor Warner; Jean Rockwood, Leah Ryan, Sharon Ryan Covers, Reprints, and Permissions: Grayce Finger, Editor; Corrine Harris, Margaret Lloyd Guide to Scientific Instruments: Richard Sommer Assistant to the Editors: Richard Semiklose Membership Recruitment: Gwendolyn Huddle Mamber and Subscription Recrufs: Ann Ragiand

Membership Recruitment: GWENDOLYN HUDDLE Member and Subscription Records: ANN RAGLAND EDITORIAL CORRESPONDENCE: 1515 Massachusetts Ave., NW, Washington, D.C. 20005. Area code 202. General Editorial Office, 467-4350; Book Reviews, 467-4367; Guide to Scientific Instruments, 467-4480; News and Comment, 467-4430; Reprints and Permissions, 467-4483; Research News, 467-4321. Cable: Advancesci, Washington. For "Instructions for Contributors," write the editorial office or see page xi, Science, 30 March 1979. utors," write the 10 March 1979

BUSINESS CORRESPONDENCE: Area Code 202. Business Office, 467-4411; Circulation, 467-4417.

Advertising Representatives

Director: Earl J. Scherago
Production Manager: Margaret Sterling
Advertising Sales Manager: Richard L. Charles
Marketing Manager: Herbert L. Burklund

Sales: New York, N.Y. 10036: Steve Hamburger, 1515 Broadway (212-730-1050); SCOTCH PLAINS, N.J. 07076: C. Richard Callis, 12 Unami Lane (201-889-4873); CHICAGO, ILL. 60611: Jack Ryan, Room 2107, 919 N. Michigan Ave. (312-DE-7-4973); BEVERLY HILLS, CALIF. 90211: Winn Nance, 111 N. La Cienega Blvd. (213-657-2772); DORSET, VT. 05251: Fred W. Dieffenbach, Kent Hill Rd. (802-867-5581)

ADVERTISING CORRESPONDENCE: Tenth floor, 1515 Broadway, New York, N.Y. 10036. Phone: 212-1515 Broadway, New York, N.Y. 10036. Phone: 212-1515 Broadway, New York, N.Y. 10036. Phone: 212-1515 Broadway, New York, N.Y. 10036.

1515 Broadway, New York, N.Y. 10036. Phone: 212-

Science and Public Understanding

When tens of thousands of troubled Americans swarm into Washington on a magnificent May morning to register a civil protest against nuclear power technology, the First Amendment becomes a powerful factor influencing the future course of science and its applications. Choice is very much alive in the land. This is as it should be, and we can hope that it will not always take a Harrisburg scare to awaken public interest in science and its uses. But the right of choice is not sufficient, standing alone. The quality of the choice is at the heart of the matter, and a media event is not necessarily the best instrument for informing the act of choosing.

The risk is that public opinion may come to equate scientific progress and discovery with "ugly" technology and leave it at that. The matter arises not just from a sense of brooding uncertainty about nuclear power, but just as much from polarized debates about the strategic weapons balance, the rumor of dark doings involving the particle beam weapon, a credibility gap concerning petroleum shortages, alarms accompanied by reassurances regarding the impacts of carbon dioxide buildup in the atmosphere, and the increasing man-machine interface that goes along with advancing telecommunications sophistication. It is a difficult business to project, above this noise level, an awareness of man's leaping understanding of his universeof its behavior, its resources, its possibilities, and its wonders. The joys of research and discovery, the glimpse of creativity, and the meanings of the quickening journey to knowledge take second place to the trumpeting of technological crisis, real or apparent.

For more than a decade, the constitution of the AAAS has mandated the responsibility "to increase public understanding and appreciation of the importance and promise of the methods of science in human progress." That is a large and perplexing assignment, and the AAAS to date has tried to meet it with the tools at its disposal: Science, the Annual Meeting, regional seminars on problems of science and society, Media Fellows and Congressional Fellows, and ad hoc projects. It has not been enough. The universe to be reached is large, and the exuberance of science and technology outpaces the effective reach of these limited initiatives. The time has come to do more.

At its spring meeting, the Board of Directors unanimously approved a major new effort by the AAAS to advance public understanding of science and technology. It takes the form of a new kind of magazine, to be called initially Science 80, for an educated public readership. Grounded on the reputation and credibility of AAAS, and with exacting standards of editorial responsibility, Science 80 will cover important and exciting developments and discoveries in all the sciences as well as medicine and technology, and present them in clear and readable language. It will go beyond the reporting of science and technology to examine impacts on people, nations, and cultures. It will cover the controversies and public policies that involve science, and it will describe the process by which new science is created: how scientists work, and where they get their ideas. At the same time, it will be neither an imitation nor a substitute for Science, which has a very different role in reporting advances at the frontiers of science and in analyzing the trends and directions that affect the scientific enterprise.

This new venture would not be possible if the AAAS were not a nonprofit association with an implicit social responsibility, or if it were not fortified with the editorial and technical strengths to do the job well. The undertaking will not be easy, and there are risks that the AAAS understands and accepts. But the response to a large mail test has been very strong indeed, indicating that a magazine of this kind published by the AAAS will get a very good reception.

The first issue of Science 80, which will initially be issued bimonthly, is planned for the fall of 1979. We hope and believe that the members of the AAAS will have reason to be proud of it and view it as worthy company to Science, which remains the flagship of the AAAS as it approaches its 100th year of publication.—WILLIAM D. CAREY