plicitly once and attempting a preliminary link in several places. The linkage is strongest in his discussion of disproportionate predator searching on aggregated prey; it is less strong in his discussion of how variation in the amount of time allocated to feeding causes a sigmoidal functional response. Improvement here should also result in improvement in the functions used in the population models, some of which seem to have been chosen for descriptive prowess rather than mechanistical derivation.

Finally, the difference-equation models Hassell uses throughout have some nasty mathematical properties in the "unstable" region. Limit cycles having long periods and even "chaotic" population dynamics (random numbers within an interval) are possible with single-species models, once certain parameter bounds are exceeded. Such properties become more frequent and more varied in several-species models, such as those for predator and prey (Guckenheimer *et al., J. Math. Biol.* **4**, 101 [1977]). For a particular single-species model, Hassell shows that most real populations have parameters that imply stable dynamics. This optimistic result is tempered by the fact that another model results in substantially more unstable cases; moreover, this kind of analysis is not made for predator-prey models at all. An important current issue in ecology is the extent to which chaotic or infrequently repeating limit-cycle dynamics, which characterize difference-equation models, equivalently characterize nature. Certain models that assume less pulselike processes than do pure difference equations (E. Poulsen, cited in F. Christiansen and T. Fenchel, *Theories of Population in Biological Communities*, Springer-Verlag, 1977) and certain difference-equation models that restrict density dependence to particular portions of the life cycle (R. Deriso, Ph.D. thesis in biomathematics, University of Washington, 1978) are less unstable than the sort of model Hassell uses; the issue even in theory is still very much open. A major task will be to investigate the probable biological importance of the unstable region for those models that produce one.

In my opinion, none of these shortcomings, except possibly to a limited extent the first, could easily have been avoided in a book written now. Among other issues raised but not settled in Hassell's excellent book, they provide a wealth of departure points for future research in this galloping area of ecology.

THOMAS W. SCHOENER
Department of Zoology,
University of Washington, Seattle 98195

Marsupial Model

Opossum Neurobiology. (Neurobiologia do Gambá.) The Opossum as an Experimental Model for the Study of the Mammalian Nervous System. Proceedings of a symposium, Rio de Janeiro, May 1978. C. E. ROCHA-MIRANDA and ROBERTO LENT, Eds. Academia Brasileira de Ciências, Rio de Janeiro, 1978. vi, 292 pp., illus. Paper, $10.

Opossum Neurobiology is a collection of 15 research reports from experiments utilizing the opossum as an experimental animal. The volume is an outgrowth of a symposium held at the Brazilian Academy of Sciences that was organized to advertise the opossum as an experimental model for studies of the mammalian nervous system. In the foreword the editors describe the advantages offered by the opossum, which include its phylogenetic significance as well as its usefulness for developmental studies. The latter is particularly emphasized because of recent interest in mammalian neurogenesis. The opossum is born after a gestation period of only $13^1/_2$ days and immediately climbs into an external pouch (marsupium), where it remains attached to a teat for two months or more. In this immature but externalized state, the opossum young are available for direct observation and experimental manipulation.

Except for a paper by Ford Ebner from the United States, the volume is limited to contributions from Brazilian investigators and heavily weighted toward the work of the group from the Universidade Federal do Rio de Janeiro. The Brazilian workers present several good papers on specifics of the opossum's eye, retina, optic nerve, visual cortex, and superior colliculus, as well as one that deals with the development of certain areas related to vision. The paper by Lent and Rocha-Miranda on aberrant projections after eye removal and tectal lesions is particularly interesting because it shows by example how the opossum's embryology can be used to advantage in an experimental situation. Although most of the papers deal with the opossum's visual system and cortex, there is one that reports the results of body mapping experiments within the dorsal column nuclei and another that describes the pattern of monoaminergic innervation of the opossum brainstem.

The quality of the papers is somewhat variable, but the data presented appear to be reliable. Much of the information contained in the volume will be interesting to investigators who might consider using the opossum as an experimental animal or who want to compare its visual system with that of more commonly used laboratory animals. In my view, the major contribution of the book is its attempt to emphasize the potential importance of marsupials for developmental studies.

GEORGE F. MARTIN, JR.
Department of Anatomy,
Ohio State University
College of Medicine, Columbus 43210

A Cephalopod

Octopus. Physiology and Behaviour of an Advanced Invertebrate. M. J. WELLS. Chapman and Hall, London, and Halsted (Wiley), New York, 1978. xiv, 418 pp., illus. + plates. $42.50.

Wells has long been known for his work on cephalopod behavior and physiology. The present book, which follows a previous one (*Brain and Behaviour in Cephalopods*, Stanford University Press, 1962) by Wells, is testimony to his steadfast interest in cephalopods. The goal of the new book is "to produce a comprehensive physiology of a single invertebrate species. Here it should be possible, for once, to get a feel for the workings of an animal as a whole, rather than as a series of isolated organ systems." This rather ambitious goal is tempered, however, by another statement: "A monograph is, inevitably, a rather personal thing and the account is bound to be skewed by too much print squandered on things that interest the author, and too little on the things that may interest others."

The author has collected in every chapter a wealth of information, through which he leads the reader with a sure hand. The literature is adequately reviewed, and the observations of the author do not overshadow other people's data.

The book begins with an anatomical overview of the octopus and continues with accounts of respiration, circulation, feeding, digestion, reproduction, and endocrinology. Each of these subjects is treated comprehensively and well, notwithstanding the author's claims that on matters not close to his interest he is reluctant to do the necessary homework. The last portion of the book, constituting more than half of it, deals with the nervous system and brings together work done mostly by J. Z. Young and by the author. As is customary, a major portion of the account is devoted to visual system physiology and behavior. Mechanoreception is also extensively treated,