

# Javelin Night Viewing Devices bring photographs out of the dark.



## No infrared to taint studies.

More and more, physical and social scientists, technical photographers and others are turning to Javelin Night Viewing Devices (NVDs) for photographing and seeing in the dark. For those performing experiments, the elimination of infrared light subtracts one more variable in their research data.

Javelin NVDs are presently being used for emission or "smokestack" research; studies of the nocturnal habits of mammals, reptiles and insects; and sleep patterns of humans. A major TV network exposed drug use of American soldiers in Germany. Another network verified Highway Patrol complaints of night-time driver abuses.

Whatever you're studying or photographing—don't be kept in the dark. Let a Javelin NVD open your eyes. A range of models is available to fit on any camera—still, movie or TV.

For details, contact:

**javelin electronics**

6357 Arizona Circle  
Los Angeles, CA 90045  
Phone (213) 641-4490  
Telex 69-8204

Circle No. 406 on Readers' Service Card

Györgyi's theory] in the scientific literature other than one or two papers in the *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*.<sup>1</sup> Some 30 years ago Szent-Györgyi published in *Nature* his prediction that proteins are semiconductors (1). Since then, a number of papers published in scientific journals indicate that charge transfer reactions occur in biological systems. Semiconductor properties have been demonstrated for collagen (2), deoxyribonucleic acid (3), and certain metalloproteins (4). Bone and tendon have been shown to exhibit photoconductivity, reflecting their electronic properties (5). Moreover, amide bond, the backbone of proteins, is a transmitter of electronic effect (6). Finally, most biopolymers, including proteins, nucleic acids, and mucopolysaccharides, are capable of mechanoelectrical transduction (piezoelectricity) (7).

In view of these facts indicating the potential importance of bioelectricity for controlling the growth of cells, to state that "... there are no data indicating that it [Szent-Györgyi's theory] might have validity" seems difficult to justify.

BOGUSLAW LIPINSKI

St. Elizabeth's Hospital,  
Tufts University School of Medicine,  
Boston, Massachusetts 02135

## References

1. A. Szent-Györgyi, *Nature (London)* **148**, 157 (1941).
2. V. P. Tomaselli and M. H. Shamos, *Biopolymers* **13**, 2423 (1974).
3. D. D. Eley, E. Metcalfe, M. P. White, *J. Chem. Soc. Faraday Trans. 1* **71**, 955 (1975).
4. A. S. Brill, *Biophys. J.* **22**, 139 (1978).
5. R. G. Fuller, A. A. Marino, R. O. Becker, *ibid.* **16**, 845 (1976).
6. J. P. Indoux and R. Zarrillo, *J. Org. Chem.* **40**, 1519 (1975).
7. C. A. L. Bassett, *Calcif. Tissue Res.* **1**, 252 (1968); E. Fukada, *Adv. Biophys.* **6**, 121 (1974).

Constance Holden's article on Albert Szent-Györgyi contains almost as an aside a statement of much that is wrong with American science. She says, "scientists connected with the NFCR [National Foundation for Cancer Research] agree with others that this is not a theory that is exactly ripe for government support. It is exceedingly unconventional, a leap into the unknown."

I could not disagree with these sentiments more strongly. Great discoveries in all fields from the sciences to the humanities have nearly always been the result of imaginative and innovative approaches to old problems. In the process of becoming the principal source of research funding, the federal government has exercised a subtle but pervasive control over the kinds of research that are performed. As one member of a funding agency remarked to me, "We admire innovation, but we don't trust it. And we fund what we trust."

American preeminence in science has historically been based on the willingness of past researchers to take imaginative leaps. Today, support for this kind of thinking is extraordinarily difficult to come by, even for persons with strong reputations (witness Linus Pauling and Albert Szent-Györgyi). For those with equally fertile imaginations but of less repute, the truly creative art of scientific research is an unreachable dream. Federal funding agencies would do well to mark out a portion of their budgets specifically for the researching of a few wild ideas. Otherwise, we may find ourselves lagging progressively farther behind those places "further East" which Holden says are more in sympathy with fantastic ideas.

THOMAS M. VOGT

Health Services Research Center,  
Kaiser Foundation Hospitals,  
Portland, Oregon 97266

## Cancer Incidence

Luther J. Carter, in his excellent article on cancer policy (News and Comment, 9 Feb., p. 525), misses an important consequence of assuming a proportional relationship between cancer incidence and dose—that the total number of cancers tend to stay constant if the pollutant is spread more widely in the population. Carter says, "With the current popularity of self-service pumps at gasoline stations, exposure to this chemical [the additive ethylene dibromide] is presumed to have been increasing." This is probably wrong.

Replacement of gas station attendants by self-service pumps will increase the number of persons exposed, but if the exposure per car filling remains the same, so will the total exposure—it is merely spread over more persons. There will be fewer cancers among the attendants but more among the customers. The decrease in one will be matched by an increase in the other to within statistical error.

If, as some people believe, there is a threshold exposure below which no cancer appears, then the customer may get no cancers at all, and the total number of cancers will go down.

RICHARD WILSON

Energy and Environmental Policy  
Center, Harvard University,  
Cambridge, Massachusetts 02138

*Erratum:* Because of a printer's error, a line was dropped from the letter by Robert W. Berliner (16 Mar., p. 1066). The third sentence in the third paragraph should have read, "Schools of medicine have large fixed costs that do not vary with the number of students. . . ."