

AMERICAN ASSOCIATION FOR THE ADVANCEMENT OF SCIENCE

Science serves its readers as a forum for the presentation and discussion of important issues related to the advancement of science, including the presentation of minority or conflicting points of view, rather than by publishing only material on which a consensus has been reached. Accordingly, all articles published in *Science*—including editorials, news and comment, and book reviews—are signed and reflect the individual views of the authors and not official points of view adopted by the AAAS or the institutions with which the authors are affiliated.

Editorial Board

1979: E. PETER GEIDUSCHEK, WARD GOODENOUGH, N. BRUCE HANNAY, MARTIN J. KLEIN, FRANKLIN A. LONG, NEAL E. MILLER, JEFFREY J. WINE

1980: RICHARD E. BALZHISER, WALLACE S. BROECKER, CLEMENT L. MARKERT, FRANK W. PUTNAM, BRYANT W. ROSSITER, VERA C. RUBIN, MAXINE F. SINGER, PAUL E. WAGGONER, F. KARL WILLENBROCK

Publisher

WILLIAM D. CAREY

Editor

PHILIP H. ABELSON

Editorial Staff

<i>Managing Editor</i> ROBERT V. ORMES	<i>Business Manager</i> HANS NUSSBAUM
<i>Assistant Managing Editor</i> JOHN E. RINGLE	<i>Production Editor</i> ELLEN E. MURPHY

News Editor: BARBARA J. CULLITON

News and Comment: WILLIAM J. BROAD, LUTHER J. CARTER, CONSTANCE HOLDEN, ELIOT MARSHALL, DEBORAH SHAPLEY, R. JEFFREY SMITH, NICHOLAS WADE, JOHN WALSH. *Editorial Assistant:* SCHERRAINE MACK

Research News: BEVERLY KARPLUS HARTLINE, FREDERICK F. HARTLINE, RICHARD A. KERR, GINA BARI KOLATA, JEAN L. MARX, THOMAS H. MAUGH II, ARTHUR L. ROBINSON. *Editorial Assistant:* FANNIE GROOM

Consulting Editor: ALLEN L. HAMMOND

Associate Editors: ELEANORE BUTZ, MARY DORFMAN, SYLVIA EBERHART, JUDITH GOTTLIEB, RUTH KULSTAD

Assistant Editors: CAITILIN GORDON, LOIS SCHMITT, DIANE TURKIN

Book Reviews: KATHERINE LIVINGSTON, *Editor:* LINDA HEISERMAN, JANET KEGG

Letters: CHRISTINE KARLIK

Copy Editor: ISABELLA BOULDIN

Production: NANCY HARTNAGEL, JOHN BAKER; YA LI SWIGART, HOLLY BISHOP, ELEANOR WARNER; JEAN ROCKWOOD, LEAH RYAN, SHARON RYAN

Covers, Reprints, and Permissions: GRAYCE FINGER, *Editor:* CORRINE HARRIS, MARGARET LLOYD

Guide to Scientific Instruments: RICHARD SOMMER

Assistant to the Editors: RICHARD SEMIKLOSE

Membership Recruitment: GWENDOLYN HUDDLE

Member and Subscription Records: ANN RAGLAND

EDITORIAL CORRESPONDENCE: 1515 Massachusetts Ave., NW, Washington, D.C. 20005. Area code 202. General Editorial Office, 467-4350; Book Reviews, 467-4367; Guide to Scientific Instruments, 467-4480; News and Comment, 467-4430; Reprints and Permissions, 467-4483; Research News, 467-4321; Cable: *Advances*, Washington. For "Instructions for Contributors," write the editorial office or see page xi, *Science*, 29 September 1978.

BUSINESS CORRESPONDENCE: Area Code 202. Business Office, 467-4411; Circulation, 467-4417.

Advertising Representatives

Director: EARL J. SCHERAGO

Production Manager: MARGARET STERLING

Advertising Sales Manager: RICHARD L. CHARLES

Marketing Manager: HERBERT L. BURKLUND

Sales: NEW YORK, N.Y. 10036: Steve Hamburger, 1515 Broadway (212-730-1050); SCOTCH PLAINS, N.J. 07076: C. Richard Callis, 12 Unami Lane (201-889-4873); CHICAGO, ILL. 60611: Jack Ryan, Room 2107, 919 N. Michigan Ave. (312-DE-7-4973); BEVERLY HILLS, CALIF. 90211: Winn Nance, 111 N. La Cienega Blvd. (213-657-2772); DORSET, VT. 05251: Fred W. Dieffenbach, Kent Hill Rd. (802-867-5581)

ADVERTISING CORRESPONDENCE: Tenth floor, 1515 Broadway, New York, N.Y. 10036. Phone: 212-730-1050.

Counseling the Young

Young people have access to two networks of counselors to provide the information they need for career choices. The first is the formal network of professional teachers and counselors at school. This group is frequently considered to influence the allocation of students to courses and tracks in high school. Ironically, it considers itself helpless in the face of peer pressure, parental pressure, and societal pressure to merely "get by."

The second network of counselors is the informal one of peers, parents, relatives, neighbors, and other people who serve as role models for students. This group is a constant source of influence and information in a context of greater legitimacy and credibility than that of the professionals. Ironically, it suffers from lack of accurate information on supply and demand in the labor force, the kinds of courses needed to meet current demand, and the curriculum best fitted for the particular student's needs, aspirations, and abilities.

In the past, the two counseling networks have dissipated their energies in an exchange of recriminations for failure to meet the needs of students. Professionals have blamed the home and society for the students' lack of motivation. Parents and families have shifted blame back to the schools for providing information that is inaccurate, out-of-date, or delivered too late to rescue high school students from the noncollege track, and college-bound students from the nonscience track.

Readers of *Science* have a special opportunity to contribute to the effectiveness of counseling of the young, both as informal counselors to our own relatives and friends and as formal or informal counselors to educators and school board members. We know the importance of high school algebra and geometry for technical jobs that do not require a college degree. We know the importance of four full years of high school mathematics if one is to survive in freshman calculus. And we know the importance of the "hard" calculus sequence in college for careers in the sciences and engineering. Less well known is the requirement for the "soft" calculus sequence at the undergraduate level for careers in business and management and in economics. For instance, a recent survey of companies planning to recruit employees at a University of Maryland campus showed that only 16 percent were looking for new employees without a calculus background; one-third were looking for at least a soft calculus sequence and one-half were looking for a hard calculus sequence in the undergraduate major.*

Further, the high school mathematics filter has important implications for equalizing employment opportunities. A study of entering freshmen at the University of Maryland in the fall of 1977 showed that 63 percent of white men had 3.5 years of precalculus high school mathematics, compared to only 31 percent of white women, 27 percent of black men, and 19 percent of black women. Increasing employment opportunities for minorities and women clearly depends on providing them with maximal high school mathematics skills so that they will have access to the calculus sequence. Differences in achievement in mathematics represent a loss of human potential as well as ineffective utilization of scarce educational tax dollars.

Equalizing access to high school mathematics skills will create a situation in which everyone wins: students and their families seeking to expand career opportunities, colleges and universities seeking to expand opportunities for minorities and women, and employers seeking to meet the needs for more scientists and technicians.

The critical message for formal and informal counselors to share with the young is that minimal competence means minimal job opportunities: keep your options open by maximizing your competence in mathematics.—LUCY W. SELLS, *Consultant in Educational Research and Affirmative Action, 1181 Euclid Avenue, Berkeley, California 94708*

**Placement Manual, Spring 1978* (Office of Career Development, University of Maryland, College Park, 1978).