


# WE HAVE THE SECRET OF LONG LIFE FOR SHORT- LIFE TESTS.

An instant, long-lasting record made with a Polaroid instant photographic system is all it takes. We have several laboratory cameras and 15 different professional instant films — a color or black and white film for virtually any application. For details, or for immediate help with any instant photographic application, call our Technical Assistance Service. It's free.

Polaroid®



**POLAROID'S  
TOLL FREE  
"HOTLINE"  
800-225-1618**

(In Massachusetts, call collect:  
617-547-5177)

## LETTERS

### Cover Caption: April Fools'?

I stared for some time at your 31 March (1 April minus 1?) cover trying to perceive the blue catecholamine varicosities contacting the orange neurophysin-containing neurons. After a while I concluded that you had really published the tracks of a pair of yetis crossing a snow-covered lava flow, but were embarrassed to admit it. It was with a sense of disappointment that I turned to page 1461 and discovered that the tracks were those of a doped-up rat with inky feet. Pity.

ALAN T. MOFFET

Owens Valley Radio Observatory,  
California Institute of Technology,  
Pasadena 91125

The *Science* cover of 31 March has black marks in patterns resembling the tracks of a plantigrade animal. On the other hand, the cover explanation (on page 1389) mentions blue varicosities and an orange neuron and ascribes the picture to McNeill and Slakek, referring the reader to page 1461. Since the only orange I could detect was in the orange-red *Science* title, and since there was no blue that I could see, I was afraid my eyes were deteriorating rapidly. Fortunately, page 1461 has illustrations of patterns like those on the cover, and these are labeled "hind footprints."

I am still worrying about McNeill and Slakek. Are they trapped on some sort of a Möbius strip with those blue varicosities and that orange neuron? Schallert *et al.*, who wrote the report on page 1461, do not mention these poor souls and their colorful companions.

W. J. MATHEY

1944 Nicholson Drive,  
Baton Rouge, Louisiana 70802

See the erratum on page 286 of the 21 April issue.—EDITOR

### Committee on National Statistics

I write to elaborate on Constance Holden's brief reference to the Committee on National Statistics in her article "ABASS [Assembly for Behavioral and Social Sciences]: Social sciences carving a niche at the Academy" (News and Comment, 17 Mar., p. 1183).

First, the Committee's purposes are far broader than looking at "which numbers to count," although even that is a far from simple matter. What to count?

What to measure? How to count and measure? How to analyze the resulting counts and measures? How to make the numbers and analyses clear and available to heterogeneous audiences? Those are just some of the general rubrics for the Committee's activities.

Second, the Committee is by no means confined to statistical questions related to social policies—unless you define "social policy" so broadly as to include all matters of public policy. The Committee's charter is to study statistical issues arising anywhere in the public policy domain, whether the context be social, physical, biological, or whatever. The Committee has already published the studies *Setting Statistical Priorities*, *Surveying Crime*, and (jointly with other units in the Academy) *Environmental Monitoring*. It expects shortly to publish a report of an experiment in survey techniques under the provisional title *Privacy and Confidentiality as Factors in Survey Response*. It is currently working on such diverse topics as statistics on productivity, the effect of changes in the atmospheric ozone on the incidence of skin cancer, and procedures for dealing with undercount in population censuses.

The Committee has thus been busy carrying out the activities projected in my 1973 *Science* article (1), written soon after the Committee was formed.

WILLIAM H. KRUSKAL

Committee on National Statistics,  
National Academy of Sciences-National  
Research Council,  
Washington, D.C. 20418

### References

1. W. Kruskal, *Science* **180**, 1256 (1973).

### Benzene: Consumer and Occupational Exposure

I was greatly interested in the letter "Benzene in consumer products" from R. J. Young *et al.* (28 Jan, p. 248) both for the technical information contained and for the insight provided into the approach to such problems that is now common in many regulatory agencies. The letter mentions in the same breath the proposal for the Occupational Safety and Health Administration to lower occupational exposure limits to average concentrations in air of 1 part per million and a petition to remove all benzene products from the market. The implication of the letter appears to be that the high atmospheric benzene concentrations observed in a simulated domestic consumer exposure, well above the pro-