

AMERICAN ASSOCIATION FOR THE ADVANCEMENT OF SCIENCE

Science serves its readers as a forum for the presentation and discussion of important issues related to the advancement of science, including the presentation of minority or conflicting points of view, rather than by publishing only material on which a consensus has been reached. Accordingly, all articles published in *Science*—including editorials, news and comment, and book reviews—are signed and reflect the individual views of the authors and not official points of view adopted by the AAAS or the institutions with which the authors are affiliated.

Editorial Board

1977: WARD GODDENOUGH, CLIFFORD GROBSTEIN, H. S. GUTOWSKY, N. BRUCE HANNAY, DONALD KENNEDY, NEAL E. MILLER, RAYMOND H. THOMPSON

1978: RICHARD E. BALZHISER, JAMES F. CROW, HANS LANDSBERG, EDWARD NEY, FRANK W. PUTNAM, MAXINE SINGER, PAUL E. WAGGONER, F. KARL WILLENBROCK

Publisher

WILLIAM D. CAREY

Editor

PHILIP H. ABELSON

Editorial Staff

Managing Editor ROBERT V. ORMES
Business Manager HANS NUSSBAUM
Assistant Managing Editor JOHN E. RINGLE
Production Editor ELLEN E. MURPHY

News and Comment: BARBARA J. CULLITON, *Editor*; LUTHER J. CARTER, CONSTANCE HOLDEN, DEBORAH SHAPLEY, NICHOLAS WADE, JOHN WALSH. *Editorial Assistant*, SCHERRAINE MACK

Research News: ALLEN L. HAMMOND, *Editor*; GINA BARI KOLATA, JEAN L. MARX, THOMAS H. MAUGH II, WILLIAM D. METZ, ARTHUR L. ROBINSON. *Editorial Assistant*, FANNIE GROOM

Associate Editors: ELEANORE BUTZ, MARY DORFMAN, SYLVIA EBERHART, JUDITH GOTTLIEB

Assistant Editors: CAITILIN GORDON, RUTH KULSTAD, LOIS SCHMITT

Book Reviews: KATHERINE LIVINGSTON, *Editor*; LINDA HEISERMAN, JANET KEGG

Letters: CHRISTINE KARLIK

Copy Editors: ISABELLA BOULDIN, OLIVER HEATWOLE

Production: NANCY HARTNAGEL, JOHN BAKER; YA LI SWIGART, ELEANOR WARNER; JEAN ROCKWOOD, LEAH RYAN, SHARON RYAN

Covers, Reprints, and Permissions: GRAYCE FINGER, *Editor*; CORRINE HARRIS, MARGARET LLOYD

Guide to Scientific Instruments: RICHARD SOMMER

Assistant to the Editors: RICHARD SEMIKLOSE

Membership Recruitment: GWENDOLYN HUDDLE

Member and Subscription Records: ANN RAGLAND

EDITORIAL CORRESPONDENCE: 1515 Massachusetts Ave., NW, Washington, D.C. 20005. Area code 202. General Editorial Office, 467-4350; Book Reviews, 467-4367; Guide to Scientific Instrument, 467-4480; News and Comment, 467-4430; Reprints and Permissions, 467-4483; Research News, 467-4321; Cable: Advancesci, Washington. For "Instructions for Contributors," write the editorial office or see page xi, *Science*, 26 March 1976.

BUSINESS CORRESPONDENCE: Area Code 202. Business Office, 467-4411; Circulation, 467-4417.

Advertising Representatives

Director: EARL J. SCHERAGO

Production Manager: MARGARET STERLING

Advertising Sales Manager: RICHARD L. CHARLES

Sales: NEW YORK, N.Y. 10036: Herbert L. Burkland, 11 W. 42 St. (212-PE-6-1858); SCOTCH PLAINS, N.J. 07076: C. Richard Callis, 12 Unami Lane (201-889-4873); CHICAGO, ILL. 60611: Jack Ryan, Room 2107, 919 N. Michigan Ave. (312-DE-7-4973); BEVERLY HILLS, CALIF. 90211: Winn Nance, 111 N. La Cienega Blvd. (213-657-2772); DORSET, VT. 05251: Fred W. Dieffenbach, Kent Hill Rd. (802-867-5581)

ADVERTISING CORRESPONDENCE: Room 1740, 11 W. 42 St., New York, N.Y. 10036. Phone: 212-PE-6-1858.

An International Partnership for Solar Power

In the near future solar energy systems for generating small amounts of on-site mechanical or electrical power could play a substantial role in world energy affairs. The technologies of photovoltaic cells, solar collectors coupled with heat engines, and wind generators are poised for major cost reductions. A comprehensive study* by the congressional Office of Technology Assessment says that on-site solar power systems have the potential to be competitive with central utility power in the United States within 10 to 15 years. But solar power is at present too expensive in the industrial countries that have the expertise for immediate exploitation. What is lacking is the prospect of a market large enough to justify mass production, which in turn would accelerate cost reductions.

In many parts of the developing world, however, on-site solar power systems are competitive today and the potential is enormous. They could have an immediate impact on unelectrified rural areas, where most of the world's people live (96 percent in Africa). Shaft power for pumping water or grinding grain is fundamental to extending the human ability to do work, and electricity for refrigeration or educational television sets could markedly improve the quality of village life. Developing countries are desperately seeking independent, nonpetroleum energy sources, but they do not yet have the capability to implement solar power technologies by themselves.

The economics are instructive. The cost of utility power in the United States averages 3 to 10 cents per kilowatt hour. It runs as high as 45 cents/kwh in urban areas of developing countries. In rural areas, however, power is available only from diesel generator sets at \$1/kwh or more, or from primary batteries at about \$12/kwh. Complete solar-thermal power systems costing about \$4 per peak watt and capable of providing electricity at less than \$4/kwh are already available. Photovoltaic systems costing \$1 to \$2 per peak watt are expected by 1980. The OTA report says that solar devices capable of providing on-site power at much less than \$1/kwh could be produced in the next few years.

Solar energy devices have long been proposed as appropriate technology for the developing world. What is less widely appreciated is that they constitute an appropriate, and important, technology for the developed world too. Both stand to gain from a rapid reduction in the costs of solar power, and a cooperative effort would facilitate the process. The analogy that suggests itself is the worldwide effort that led to the high-yield grains of the Green Revolution.

Initially, for example, industrial countries could stimulate their fledgling solar industries by making solar power systems available to developing countries on liberal terms, comparable to those provided in the past for nuclear power systems. France is already marketing a subsidized solar-powered irrigation pump to a dozen countries, and the Mexican government is considering purchase of 1000 units or more. If developing countries are to be the test-bed for solar power, however, they must be actively involved in setting up the programs and choosing the technologies.

As solar technologies begin to mature, developing countries might well choose to set up their own manufacturing plants. Such countries would have complete control over an inexhaustible energy source and a considerable measure of energy self-sufficiency, for perhaps one-tenth the cost in capital and skilled human resources required to establish an indigenous nuclear industry and extend urban power grids into the countryside. The existence of the solar alternative might also provide the best means of limiting nuclear proliferation.

The opportunity is here. But a solar partnership would be more credible to developing countries if the United States were to endorse on-site solar power as an important element in its own energy future and to play an active role in making the technology available to others.—ALLEN L. HAMMOND

*Application of Solar Technology to Today's Energy Needs (Office of Technology Assessment, Washington, D.C., June 1977).