AMERICAN ASSOCIATION FOR THE ADVANCEMENT OF SCIENCE

Science serves its readers as a forum for the pre-sentation and discussion of important issues related to the advancement of science, including the presentation of minority or conflicting points of view, rather than by publishing only material on which a consensus has been reached. Accordingly, all articles published in *Science*— including editorials, news and comment, and book reviews—are signed and reflect the individual views of the authors and not official points of view adopted by the AAAS or the institutions with which the authors are affiliated.

Editorial Board

1977: WARD GOODENOUGH, CLIFFORD GROBSTEIN, H. S. GUTOWSKY, N. BRUCE HANNAY, DONALD KEN-NEDY, NEAL E. MILLER, RAYMOND H. THOMPSON 1978: RICHARD E. BALZHISER, JAMES F. CROW, HANS LANDSBERG, EDWARD NEY, FRANK W. PUTNAM, MAXINE SINGER, PAUL E. WAGGONER, F. KARL WIL-IENBROCK LENBROCK

Publisher

WILLIAM D. CAREY

Editor

PHILIP H. ABELSON

Editoral Staff

Managing Editor Robert V. Ormes Assistant Managing Editor JOHN E. RINGLE

Business Manager HANS NUSSBAUM Production Editor ELLEN E. MURPHY

News and Comment: JOHN WALSH, Editor; PHILIP M. BOFFEY, LUTHER J. CARTER, BARBARA J. CULLITON, CONSTANCE HOLDEN, DEBORAH SHAPLEY, NICHOLAS NICHOLAS WADE. Editorial Assistant, SCHERRAINE MACK

Research News: ALLEN L. HAMMOND, Editor: GINA BARI KOLATA, JEAN L. MARX, THOMAS H. MAUGH II, WILLIAM D. METZ, ARTHUR L. ROBINSON. *Editorial* Assistant, FANNIE GROOM

Associate Editors: Eleanore Butz, Mary Dorf-man, Sylvia Eberhart, Judith Gottlieb Assistant Editors: CAITILIN GORDON, RUTH KUL-

STAD, LOIS SCHMITT Book Reviews: KATHERINE LIVINGSTON, Editor; LIN-

DA HEISERMAN, JANET KEGG Letters: CHRISTINE KARLIK

Copy Editors: ISABELLA BOULDIN, OLIVER HEAT-WOLE

Production: Nancy Hartnagel, John Baker; Ya Li Swigart, Eleanor Warner; Jean Rockwood, Leah Ryan, Sharon Ryan

Covers, Reprints, and Permissions: GRAYCE FINGER, Editor; CORRINE HARRIS, MARGARET LLOYE

Guide to Scientific Instruments: RICHARD SOMMER Assistant to the Editors: RICHARD SEMIKLOSE Membership Recruitment: GWENDOLYN HUDDLE

Member and Subscription Records: ANN RAGLAND Member and Subscription Records: ANN RAGLAND EDITORIAL CORRESPONDENCE: 1515 Massachu-setts Ave., NW, Washington, D.C. 20005. Area code 202. General Editorial Office, 467-4350; Book Reviews, 467-4367; Guide to Scientific Instruments, 567-4480; News and Comment, 467-4430; Reprints and Per-missions, 467-4483; Research News, 467-4321; Cable: Advancesci, Washington. For "Instructions for Contrib-utors," write the editorial office or see page xi, *Science*, 26 March 1976. 26 March 1976

BUSINESS CORRESPONDENCE: Area Code 202. Business Office, 467-4411; Circulation, 467-4417.

Advertising Representatives

Director: EARL J. SCHERAGO

Production Manager: MARGARET STERLING Advertising Sales Manager: RICHARD L. CHARLES

Advertising Sales Manager: RICHARD L. CHARLES Sales: NEW YORK, N.Y. 10036: Herbert L. Burklund, 11 W. 42 St. (212-PE-6-1858); SCOTCH PLAINS, N.J. 07076; C. Richard Callis, 12 Unami Lane (201-889-4873); CHI-CAGO, ILL. 60611: Jack Ryan, Room 2107, 919 N. Mich-igan Ave. (312-DE-7-4973); BEVERLY HILLS, CALIF. 90211: Winn Nance, 111 N. La Cienega Blvd. (213-657-2772); DORSET, VT. 05251: Fred W. Dieffenbach, Kent Hill Rd. (802-867-5581) ADVERTISING CORRESPONDENCE: Room 1740, 11W 42 St. New York N V 10036 Phone: 212-PE-6.

11 W. 42 St., New York, N.Y. 10036. Phone: 212-PE-6-

International Conferences and Tax Reform

A provision of the Tax Reform Act of 1976 inflicts an unfair penalty on self-employed inventors, consulting engineers, and scholars without government grants or travel support from tax-exempt organizations. It may also severely limit the willingness of some industrial employers of scientists and engineers to sponsor their attendance at international scientific conferences.

The new provision seeks to close a "loophole"; it prevents deducting as a business expense the costs of travel to more than two foreign "conventions" per year. When a purely U.S. organization holds a convention in one of the spas of Europe or the Caribbean, and serious business is restricted to an hour or two of frivolous programming each day, there is no reason why the taxpayers of America should share the conventioneer's expense. But the language of the new law, and the interpretation of it provided by the congressional staff report, fails to distinguish such a tax-subsidized vacation from an international conference on arid zone agriculture, a general assembly of the International Union of Pure and Applied Chemistry, a seminar at Trieste on many-body theory, or a conference on tropical medicine sponsored by the World Health Organization. The rules apply equally to foreign "conventions or seminars" attended by people from many nations and to those attended exclusively by Americans. Sales conventions, trade association conventions, professional society meetings, and internationally sponsored scientific meetings are caught in the same act.

Even for the two conferences per year that can be treated as a business expense, only deductions for tourist class travel and daily total costs not exceeding the allowances of U.S. government civil servants are permitted. Paper documents must be obtained to verify that the conference met at least six hours per day and that the individual was in his seat at least four of these hours. Even so, industrial employers' tax departments may have reason to worry about how narrow a test of relevance to business success the Internal Revenue Service may demand, when a scientist or engineer from the company's research laboratory has been authorized to attend an international conference.

Well-managed companies will continue to send their scientists to the meetings they need to attend, even if it means paying a tax premium for the privilege. But we all know how inexorable are the pressures of a congressional determination that more than a minimum of trafficking in international science is not a legitimate activity for businesses. Some business people may respond to the government's new rules by turning off conference participation by their people altogether.

Who will be the loser? The U.S. economy may be affected, as American companies find it harder to keep up with the scientific base on which their overseas competitors are building. (Can you imagine the Japanese government discouraging companies from learning about foreign technical advances?) Industries will be just a little less sensitive to nature's warnings about environmental, health, and resource implications as corporate awareness of world science contracts. For the poor nations of the world, international scientific activity represents a thin thread of access to the industrial world's technical knowledge. They are unlikely to look on new governmental restraints in sharing that knowledge as compatible with the high ideals of global development often espoused in this country.

The damage could easily be repaired; for example, by excluding from the restrictive provision foreign conferences where the location is reasonable in light of the number of foreign participants and their geographical dispersion. Some kind of change is needed, as Congress examines repair of technical defects in the new law this spring. We should make every effort to see that Congress acts. A good start would be to notify our congressmen, and I urge those of us who have a stake in this to do so.-LEWIS M. BRANSCOMB, Vice President, Office of the Chief Scientist, IBM Corporation, Armonk, New York 10504