SCIENCE

AMERICAN ASSOCIATION FOR THE ADVANCEMENT OF SCIENCE

Science serves its readers as a forum for the presentation and discussion of important issues related to the advancement of science, including the presentation of minority or conflicting points of view, rather than by publishing only material on which a consensus has been reached. Accordingly, all articles published in Science—including editorials, news and comment, and book reviews—are signed and reflect the individual views of the authors and not official points of view adopted by the AAAS or the institutions with which the authors are affiliated.

Editorial Board

1976

ALFRED E. BROWN JAMES F. CROW HANS LANDSBERG EDWARD NEY

Frank Press Frank W. Putnam Maxine Singer Arthur M. Squires

1977

WARD GOODENOUGH CLIFFORD GROBSTEIN H. S. GUTOWSKY N. BRUCE HANNAY Donald Kennedy Neal E. Miller Raymond H. Thompson

Editorial Staff

Editor Philip H. Abelson

Publisher William D. Carey Business Manager Hans Nussbaum

Managing Editor: ROBERT V. ORMES

Assistant Editors: Ellen E. Murphy, John E. Ringle

Assistant to the Editors: RICHARD SEMIKLOSE

News and Comment: JOHN WALSH, Editor; PHILIP M. BOFFEY, LUTHER J. CARTER, BARBARA J. CULLITON, CONSTANCE HOLDEN, DEBORAH SHAPLEY, NICHOLAS WADE. Editorial Assistant, SCHERRAINE MACK

Research News: Allen L. Hammond, Editor; Gina Bari Kolata, Jean L. Marx, Thomas H. Maugh II, William D. Metz, Arthur L. Robinson. Editorial Assistant, Fannie Groom

Book Reviews: KATHERINE LIVINGSTON, JANET KEGG

Cover Editor: GRAYCE FINGER

Editorial Assistants: John Baker, Isabella Bouldin, Eleanore Butz, Mary Dorfman, Sylvia Eberhart, Judith Givelber, Cattlin Gordon, Corrine Harris, Nancy Hartnagel, Oliver Heatwole, Christine Karlik, Ruth Kulstad, Margaret Lloyd, Jean Rockwood, Leah Ryan, Lois Schmitt, Ya Li Swigart, Eleanor Warner

Guide to Scientific Instruments: RICHARD SOMMER

Membership Recruitment: GWENDOLYN HUDDLE; Subscription Records and Member Records: ANN RAG-

Advertising Representatives

Director Earl J. Scherago Production Manager
Margaret Sterling

Advertising Sales Manager: RICHARD L. CHARLES

Sales: New York, N.Y. 10036: Herbert L. Burklund, 11 W. 42 St. (212-PE-6-1858); Scotch Plains, N.J. 07076: C. Richard Callis, 12 Unami Lane (201-889-4873); Chicago, Ill. 60611: Jack Ryan, Room 2107, 919 N. Michigan Ave. (312-DE-7-4973); Beverly Hills, Calif. 90211: Winn Nance, 11 N. La Cienega Blvd. (213-657-2772); Dorset, Vt. 05251: Fred W. Dieffenbach, Kent Hill Rd. (802-867-5581)

EDITORIAL CORRESPONDENCE: 1515 Massachusetts Ave., NW, Washington, D.C. 20005. Phones: (Area Code 202) Central Office: 467-4350; Book Reviews: 467-4367; Business Office: 467-4411; Circulation: 467-4417; Guide to Scientific Instruments: 467-4480; News and Comment: 467-4430; Reprints and Permissions: 467-4483; Research News: 467-4321; Reviewing: 467-4443. Cable: Advancesci, Washington. Copies of "Instructions for Contributors" can be obtained from the editorial office. See also page xi, Science, 26 March 1976. ADVERTISING CORRESPONDENCE: Room 1740, 11 W. 42 St., New York, N.Y. 10036. Phone: 212-PE-6-1858.

Curtailing Federal Growth

The average citizen is only dimly aware of the pervasive power and the dimensions of the federal government. The annual encounter with income tax forms provides only a tiny reminder. Many scientists having administrative responsibilities are exposed to a broader sample, but even they have comparatively little contact with a monster that continues to grow. Two examples may serve to outline its magnitude. The estimated annual cost of federally mandated paper work is \$40 billion. The latest catalog of Federal Domestic Assistance programs* lists 1024 different programs.

Government agencies print about 10 billion sheets of paper a year just to be filled out by U.S. businesses. The government spends \$15 billion a year just to process its own paper work, \$1 billion for forms, another \$1 billion for directives to accompany these forms and explain how to fill them out, and \$1.7 billion to file and store the forms.† Apparently the government's analysis of the flood of paper that it receives is spotty at best. A recent disquieting straw in the wind was a revelation that the Internal Revenue Service had not correlated information return slips with reported incomes.

The Federal Domestic Assistance programs are a prolific source of paper work and effort. Administration of the present-day 1024 assistance programs necessarily entails a very large federal establishment. In addition, the federal programs induce states and municipalities to create expanded bureaucracies. About 800 different programs are designed to give assistance to the states. Different sets of rules, procedures, and forms are applicable to these programs. It is as if scientists, in seeking funds, dealt with 800 different categories of grants that were provided by more than 100 different agencies. For a state to maintain full awareness of opportunities requires a large staff.

Recognition of the complexity of the structure of the federal government is not new. The 1968 Democratic party platform included a call for reform: "The executive branch of the Federal Government is the largest and most complicated enterprise in the world, with programs distributed among 150 separate departments, agencies, bureaus and boards. This massive operation contributes to and often results in duplication, administrative confusion, and delay."

But although Democrats subsequently controlled the Congress and President Nixon sought reorganization, little was done to simplify governmental organizations or procedures. Perhaps Mr. Carter will have more success.

But it will not be easy. There are too many entrenched special interests. Mr. Carter has stated that federal employees would not lose jobs as a result of reorganization. That is, the signs on the offices will be different, but the names will be the same. If Mr. Carter wants real attention and cooperation he must fire some people.

The real cure, however, is not a reshuffling. What needs to be done is to stop the cancerous proliferation at its origin—the Congress.

Each year more laws are passed, new agencies are created, and more regulations promulgated. Only rarely is a law repealed, an agency abolished, or a regulation canceled. What is needed is a reversal of the trend so that gradually the complexity might diminish. Thus Congress should adopt the procedure that before a new law could be enacted two existing ones must be repealed.

When Mr. Carter campaigned against Washington he touched on deep and well-founded resentments. Unless he and his party can find means of making the government more effective and less complex, they will bear the full burden of resentments on a later occasion.—Philip H. Abelson

^{*1976} Catalog of Federal Domestic Assistance (Government Printing Office, Washington, D.C., 1976). †A Federal Paperwork Commission is seeking to reduce the torrent of paper. Its efforts should be encouraged.