

Hale, director of the Carnegie Institution of Washington's Mount Wilson Observatory, on plans for a 200-inch telescope, and soon after with Francis G. Pease and John A. Anderson of the Mount Wilson staff (who were not, as Willard suggests, Caltech astronomers). Through the agreement, by which the Rockefeller Foundation gave the initial \$6,000,000 for the 200-inch project, the planning, construction, and operation were to be carried out jointly by the Carnegie Institution of Washington and the California Institute of Technology. (The observatories on Mount Wilson and Palomar Mountain have now been named the Hale Observatories.) Porter's connection with the project, originally expected to last a few months, lasted more than 20 years. His contribution as "Associate in Optics and Instrument Design" is graphically illustrated by his skillful "cut-away" drawings for the various instruments and buildings, including the 200-inch dome. The telescope was dedicated on 3 June 1948. Porter was ill and could not be there. He died on 22 February 1949.

Willard includes a bibliography but, unfortunately, no index. In general the details are accurate. This biography can be recommended to any reader who is interested, as Porter was, in the exploration of remote regions of the earth and distant regions of the sky.

HELEN WRIGHT

579 Forest Lake Drive,
Forest Lakes, Andover, New Jersey

Tumors and Embryogenesis

Teratomas and Differentiation. Proceedings of a symposium, Nutley, N.J., May 1975. MICHAEL I. SHERMAN and DAVOR SOLTER, Eds. Academic Press, New York, 1975. xviii, 324 pp., illus. \$16.50.

Nothing matches the development of an embryo for complexity, beauty, and elegance. Each cell of a very early embryo is like a ball rolling down a mountainside, with time taking the place of gravity. The descendants of each cell end up at the base as one or another irreversibly differentiated part of the organism. A teratoma is a tumor made up of levitating cells, poised at the top of the mountain, sending progeny down without losing the ability to send off more: the totipotent cell forever. Thus the teratoma is not only a tumor, but also a marvelously manipulatable travesty of normal embryogenesis. As such, it has been

discovered by many different sorts of developmental and molecular biologists in the last few years. There are not many groups in modern biology who can summarize their work in less than 300 pages, as the teratoma workers have done in this book. I suspect this was their last chance to do so.

The book comprises the papers presented at a meeting at the Roche Institute. There is an introduction and a paper on terminology. The rest of the papers are assembled by topic: Embryo-Teratoma Relationships, Surface Antigens on Embryos and Teratomas, Teratoma-Host Interactions, Control of Differentiation of Embryonal Carcinoma Cells, Properties of Embryonal Carcinoma Cells, and Properties of Teratomas *in Vitro*. Both *in vitro* and *in vivo* studies are represented.

The laboratories of Boon, Sherman, Martin, Levine, and Ephrussi report on their success in harnessing the process of differentiation of the tumor cells *in vitro*. It has apparently become routine to select cloned teratoma cell lines showing various differentiated states. The biochemical characterization of these cultured descendants of the embryoid body is well under way. Interestingly, most, if not all, cultured differentiated lines of teratoma origin are unable to make tumors when they are injected into susceptible host mice.

Work *in vivo* has been concentrated on the antigenic and morphological similarities between normal early embryos and embryoid bodies. Although there are many such similarities, a major difference between these two cell masses remains: only embryoid bodies have the capacity to form tumors. Therefore the most surprising and novel contributions in the book must be those from Philadelphia. In separate studies, Mintz, Illmensee, and Gearhart, of the Institute for Cancer Research, and Brinster, of the University of Pennsylvania, have apparently shown that a normal embryo can normalize tumorigenic teratoma cells. That is, they have used Stevens's teratoma embryoid body cell populations to demonstrate that a teratoma is a form of cancer that has a totally reversible loss of growth control.

Mintz *et al.* and Brinster dissected embryoid bodies into their core cells and their rind of differentiated endothelial cells. The core cells are the germ cells that give rise to all differentiated types. By mating C57 white mice, they obtained blastocysts, into which they injected clumps of core cells from teratomas from black mice of strain 129. The hybrid em-

bryos were then reimplanted in the uteruses of foster mothers (also white), and the pregnancies were permitted to go to term. Normal mice were born that were mosaic in coat color. Furthermore, the male mice born of these constructed hybrid embryos were fertile, that is, they made normal sperm and produced some F₁ black mice when mated to female hybrids. Since the mice were normal in every way, we must conclude that these descendants of tumor cells were normalized by the environment of the normal mouse embryo.

Beautiful as these results are, their potential importance lies in the possibility of combining them with the sort of studies that fill the rest of the book, those on the culture *in vitro* of the differentiated descendants of teratomas. If the implantation results are confirmed and other studies are carried out with cultured teratoma cells, one would expect future implantations to be carried out with cultured diploid cells that have received DNA sequences obtained by cloning in prokaryotic organisms. That would, it seems to me, be the technology for carrying a known DNA sequence directly through a prokaryote vector for amplification, then through a mammalian cultured cell for integration into the mammalian genome, and then through blastocyst injection and reimplantation of an embryo for stabilization in a whole mammalian organism. Although this bypass of evolution has not yet been accomplished, the book provides an experimental context for carrying out such work. I suspect that this context will become increasingly important to all biologists.

ROBERT POLLACK

Department of Microbiology,
Health Sciences Center,
State University of New York,
Stony Brook

Statistical Induction

Perspectives in Probability and Statistics. Papers in Honour of M. S. Bartlett on the Occasion of His Sixty-Fifth Birthday. J. GANI, Ed. Applied Probability Trust, Sheffield, England, 1976 (U.S. distributor, Academic Press, New York). viii, 424 pp. \$21.

The first half of this century witnessed the major developments in the theory and methods of statistical induction. The pioneering contributions made in England by Karl Pearson, Ronald Fisher, Jerzy Neyman, and Egon Pearson are widely recognized and revered. One