

AMERICAN ASSOCIATION FOR THE ADVANCEMENT OF SCIENCE

Science serves its readers as a forum for the presentation and discussion of important issues related to the advancement of science, including the presentation of minority or conflicting points of view, rather than by publishing only material on which a consensus has been reached. Accordingly, all articles published in Science—including editorials, news and comment, and book reviews—are signed and reflect the individual views of the authors and not official points of view adopted by the AAAS or the institutions with which the authors are affliated

Editorial Board

1976

Alfred E. Brown James F. Crow Hans Landsberg Edward Ney Frank Press Frank W. Putnam Maxine Singer Arthur M. Squires

1977

Ward Goodenough Clifford Grobstein H. S. Gutowsky N. Bruce Hannay Donald Kennedy Neal E. Miller Raymond H. Thompson

Editorial Staff

Editor PHILIP H. ABELSON

Publisher William D. Carey Business Manager Hans Nussbaum

Managing Editor: ROBERT V. ORMES

Assistant Editors: Ellen E. Murphy, John E. Ringle

Assistant to the Editors: RICHARD SEMIKLOSE

News and Comment: John Walsh, Editor; Philip M. Boffey, Luther J. Carter, Barbara J. Culliton, Constance Holden, Deborah Shapley, Nicholas Wade. Editorial Assistant, Scherraine Mack

Research News: Allen L. Hammond, Editor; Gina Bari Kolata, Jean L. Marx, Thomas H. Maugh II, William D. Metz, Arthur L. Robinson. Editorial Assistant. Fannie Groom

 $Book\ Reviews$: Katherine Livingston, Lynn Manfield, Janet Kegg

Cover Editor: GRAYCE FINGER

Editorial Assistants: John Baker, Isabella Bouldin, Margaret Buresch, Eleanore Butz, Mary Dorfman, Sylvia Eberhart, Judith Givelber, Caitilin Gordon, Corrine Harris, Nancy Hartnagel, Oliver Heatwole, Christine Karlik, Ruth Kulstad, Margaret Lloyd, Jean Rockwood, Leah Ryan, Lois Schmitt, Ya Li Swigart, Eleanor Warner

Guide to Scientific Instruments: RICHARD SOMMER

Membership Recruitment: GWENDOLYN HUDDLE; Subscription Records and Member Records: ANN RAG-

Advertising Staff

Director Earl J. Scherago Production Manager MARGARET STERLING

Advertising Sales Manager: RICHARD L. CHARLES Sales: NEW YORK, N.Y. 10036: Herbert L. Burklund, 11 W. 42 St. (212-PE-6-1858); SCOTCH PLAINS, N.J. 07076: C. Richard Callis, 12 Unami Lane (201-889-4873); CHICAGO, ILL. 60611: Jack Ryan, Room 2107, 919 N. Michigan Ave. (312-DE-7-4973); BEVERLY HILLS, CALIF. 90211: Winn Nance, 11 N. La Cienega Blvd. (213-657-2772); DORSET VT. 05251: Fred W. Dieffenbach, Kenthill Rd. (802-867-5581)

EDITORIAL CORRESPONDENCE: 1515 Massachusetts Ave. NW. Workington D. C. 20005 Beaugust.

Hill Rd. (802-807-5381)
EDITORIAL CORRESPONDENCE: 1515 Massachusetts Ave., NW, Washington, D.C. 20005. Phones: (Area Code 202) Central Office: 467-4350; Book Reviews: 467-4367; Business Office: 467-4411; Circulation: 467-4411; Guide to Scientific Instruments: 467-4480; News and Comment: 467-4430; Reprints and Pernissions: 467-4443. Research News: 467-4321; Reviewing: 467-4443. Cable: Advancesci, Washington. Copies of "Instructions for Contributors" can be obtained from the editorial office. See also page xi, Science, 26 March 1976. ADVERTISING CORRESPONDENCE: Room 1740, 11 W. 42 St., New York, N.Y. 10036. Phone: 212-PE-6-1858.

Oil and the World's Future

During the period 1960 to 1973 oil became the principal energy source of the developed and many of the less developed countries. Unlimited amounts of inexpensive energy permitted more than a decade of unprecedented rapid annual increases in gross national products (GNP's). This era ended abruptly in 1973 and 1974 with the quadrupling of the price of oil. The world faces a long period of adjustment, including relative economic stagnation and unpleasant developments affecting the world's financial structure. Thus far the United States has been relatively unscathed and has lulled others into inactivity while preparing the way for further price increases by expanding its imports of oil.

After World War II imported oil came to have an increasing role in the energy balances of major countries. The trend accelerated after 1960. From 1960 to 1973, oil imports of many countries rose at fast exponential rates; some of the average annual increases were: France, 12.8 percent; Germany, 13.2; Italy, 12.5; Japan, 18.1; and Spain, 15.1. Between 1960 and 1972, Europe's dependence on energy imports of all kinds grew from 33 to 65 percent; Japan's from 43 to 90 percent.

Annual increases of this magnitude could not be sustained even if the oil producers were complacent. Sooner or later a sharp slowing of rate of expansion was inevitable; the arithmetic of exponentials dictated it. Given full exploitation, the maximum output attainable by the Organization of Petroleum Exporting Countries (OPEC)—and that for only a short period—is of the order of 90 million barrels (13 million tons) a day. In 1973, output was about 30 million barrels a day. Nine years of a 12 percent or 11 years of a 10 percent increase would have brought the Western world to the downhill road with respect to oil.

The 1973–1974 and subsequent price increases for oil will probably prove most deleterious to a group of developing countries having a total population of 900 million and GNP's of \$200 and more per capita. Some of these countries, such as Brazil, were rapidly increasing their use of cheap oil and experiencing annual growth of GNP in the neighborhood of 10 percent. During the past 3 years growth has slowed in some and stopped in others, while their total current account deficits have increased from \$6 billion in 1973 to \$31 billion in 1975. Much of the debt is owed to private investors, including U.S. banks. How long can such deficits be increased before credit worthiness is destroyed? What happens then?

The advanced countries are engaged in tough competition to sell enough goods to pay for their oil. In the competition, France, Italy, and the United Kingdom have not fared well. The two champions have been Germany and Japan. The United States, which has been losing its position in high-technology goods, has stayed in the game by selling agricultural products and military weapons. But even so, this year it has incurred a big deficit in its balance of payments.

All in all, the performance of the United States has been mediocre and its credibility in energy matters is questionable. The highest government officials have made great promises to the world that have proved repeatedly to be only idle words. A conspicuous example was "Project Independence." In addition, there was talk of the impending collapse of the OPEC cartel, statements about the enormous amounts of oil to be discovered and produced by 1985, a goal of reducing oil imports by 1 million barrels per day (in the past year they have increased by more than that). Instead of working for conservation, Congress encouraged consumption by rolling back the price of oil. The United States has engineered an international agreement to share oil in an emergency, but it is the one major country with the smallest ratio of inventory over daily consumption. Legislation was passed to establish a strategic reserve of oil, but not one barrel has been stored. Neither by deed nor by example has the United States provided the world with any alternative but to go nuclear.—Philip H. Abelson