

# AMERICAN ASSOCIATION FOR THE ADVANCEMENT OF SCIENCE

Science serves its readers as a forum for the presentation and discussion of important issues related to the advancement of science, including the presentation of minority or conflicting points of view, rather than by publishing only material on which a consensus has been reached. Accordingly, all articles published in Science—including editorials, news and comment, and book reviews—are signed and reflect the individual views of the authors and not official points of view adopted by the AAAS or the institutions with which the authors are affiliated.

#### **Editorial Board**

1976

ALFRED E. BROWN JAMES F. CROW HANS LANDSBERG EDWARD NEY Frank Press Frank W. Putnam Maxine Singer Arthur M. Squires

1977

Ward Goodenough Clifford Grobstein H. S. Gutowsky N. Bruce Hannay Donald Kennedy Neal E. Miller Raymond H. Thompson

#### **Editorial Staff**

Editor Philip H. Abelson

Publisher William D. Carey Business Manager Hans Nussbaum

Managing Editor: ROBERT V. ORMES

Assistant Editors: Ellen E. Murphy, John E. Ringle

Assistant to the Editors: RICHARD SEMIKLOSE

News and Comment: John Walsh, Editor; Philip M. Boffey, Luther J. Carter, Barbara J. Culliton, Constance Holden, Deborah Shapley, Nicholas Wade. Editorial Assistant, Scherraine Mack

Research News: Allen L. Hammond, William D. Metz, Thomas H. Maugh II, Jean L. Marx, Arthur L. Robinson, Gina Bari Kolata, Fannie Groom

Book Reviews: Katherine Livingston, Lynn Manfield, Janet Kegg

Cover Editor: GRAYCE FINGER

Editorial Assistants: John Baker, Isabella Bouldin, Margaret Buresch, Eleanore Butz, Mary Dorfman, Sylvia Eberhart, Judith Givelber, Caitilin Gordon, Corrine Harris, Nancy Hartnagel, Oliver Heatwole, Christine Karlik, Ruth Kulstad, Margaret Lloyd, Jean Rockwood, Leah Ryan, Lois Schmitt, Ya Li Swigart, Eleanor Warner

Guide to Scientific Instruments: RICHARD SOMMER

Membership Recruitment: GWENDOLYN HUDDLE; Subscription Records and Member Records: ANN RAG-

### **Advertising Staff**

Director EARL J. SCHERAGO Production Manager MARGARET STERLING

Advertising Sales Manager: RICHARD L. CHARLES

Sales: New York, N.Y. 10036: Herbert L. Burklund, 11 W. 42 St. (212-PE-6-1858); SCOTCH PLAINS, N.J. 07076: C. Richard Callis, 12 Unami Lane (201-889-4873); CHICAGO, ILL. 60611: Jack Ryan, Room 2107, 919 N. Michigan Ave. (312-DE-7-4973); BEVERLY HILLS, CALIF. 90211: Winn Nance, 11 N. La Cienega Blvd. (213-657-2772); DORSET VT. 05251: Fred W. Dieffenbach, Kent Hill Rd. (802-867-5581)

EDITORIAL CORRESPONDENCE: 1515 Massachusetts Ave., NW, Washington, D.C. 20005. Phones: (Area Code 202) Central Office: 467-4350; Book Reviews: 467-4357; Business Office: 467-4411; Circulation: 467-4417; Guide to Scientific Instruments: 467-4480; News and Comment: 467-4430; Reprints and Permissions: 467-4483; Research News: 467-4321; Reviewing: 467-4443. Cable: Advancesci, Washington. Copies of "Instructions for Contributors" can be obtained from the editorial office. See also page xi, Science, 26 March 1976. ADVERTISING CORRESPONDENCE: Room 1740, 11 W. 42 St., New York, N.Y. 10036. Phone: 212-

## Toward a New Partnership

With the fireworks spent and the tall ships back in home ports, we enter both the nation's third century and the second century of the American university. Unfortunately, after 100 years of coexistence, our universities and the federal government have reached what I believe is a mutually counterproductive stage in their relationship.

Recently, President Harold L. Enarson of Ohio State University addressed the Ohio congressional delegation on this subject. Some of his remarks are particularly appropriate to members of our science community: "A fundamental change is taking place in the relationship between Washington and the nation's colleges and universities, a change which I find deeply disturbing. Once we were partners working together to solve national problems. Now we view each other with suspicion, almost as adversaries. We overregulate on one hand and overreact on the other. We have placed our partnership in peril. And if it is to be restored, it urgently needs our attention and understanding."

These are strong words, but I hear them echoed by my colleagues in universities across the land. From my own campus vantage point, the idea and the substance of our partnership with the federal government are being eroded in two specific ways. First, federal policy is being formulated which, wittingly or unwittingly, undermines the independence that has always been the fundamental strength of American universities. For example, several bills pending in the Congress pertaining to federal funding of medical education contain provisions that may require ill-conceived changes in curriculum as a condition of award. While we are hopeful that these provisions will be omitted in the conference committee, the fact that they survived through both houses of Congress indicates the decline in trust in our relationship with the federal government.

A second factor eroding the partnership is manifested in the administrative procedures being developed to implement federal policy. For example, narrower and narrower interpretations by federal auditors have turned the straightforward principle of overhead or indirect cost recovery on federal grants and contracts into a maze of procedures that work against the very policies they are supposed to implement. The result in this instance is transforming what was once a *joint venture* with joint federal and university contributions into a federal "buyers' market."

The formulation of federal policy is a factor we can deal with much more effectively than we can with increasingly narrow procedural interpretations. Broad policy in fields such as science and health are debated and scrutinized openly in the Congress. I believe we can rebuild the partnership in this open area. However, our task is more difficult when administrative procedures are formulated and interpreted behind closed doors, and then issued without university input and usually without warning. True, many times the procedural changes and new interpretations are narrow in scope. Over time, however, their cumulative effect can change or even destroy fundamental policies that are critical to maintaining a strong science effort.

Having spent some time as a federal agency head myself, I recognize the need for guidelines to carry out the mandates of Congress and to ensure that public funds are expended in a rational and constructive manner. We all recognize that a reasonable level of federal regulation must be tolerated if we are to be the beneficiaries of federal resources. Colleges and universities must be accountable for their use of public funds and an agency has every right to expect such accountability. At the same time, we have every right to expect the independence necessary to carry out the work for which the funds were appropriated in the first place.—WILLIAM D. MCELROY, Chancellor, University of California, San Diego, La Jolla 92093