tions, and they provide a useful and interesting perspective on technologically desirable properties and the development of industrial capacity for producing different polymers. There is, however, virtually no material that directly concerns biologically significant macromolecules, which are understandably if regrettably the almost exclusive preoccupation of academic polymer specialists.

The half-dozen chapters on particular classes of polymers and catalysts are probably of special interest to organic or synthetic polymer chemists, that is "real" chemists. The other eight chapters should reach a broad audience of physical chemists and physicists concerned with physical properties and their molecular interpretation.

MARSHALL FIXMAN Department of Chemistry, Yale University, New Haven, Connecticut

## **Dinosaur Biology**

**The Hot-Blooded Dinosaurs**. A Revolution in Palaeontology. ADRIAN J. DESMOND. Dial, New York, 1976. 238 pp., illus. \$12.95.

When I first saw the death of the dinosaurs in the Walt Disney movie *Fantasia*, I was greatly saddened. The poor creatures seemed so human. Years later I realized that Disney had taken some artistic liberties in his animated classic, for his reptiles demonstrated all sorts of mammalian behavior, including parental care, for which there was no paleontological evidence. Well, it now turns out that Disney may have presented a fairly accurate picture of dinosaurs, given what Adrian Desmond tells us about the wondrous archosaurs in *The Hot-Blooded Dinosaurs*.

The Hot-Blooded Dinosaurs is about both dinosaurs and the study of dinosaurs. The style is light, one of suspense and discovery. The book contains much historical material, often presented as asides rather than in tight chronological order. The historical notes range from informative and entertaining to somewhat distracting.

The main theme of the book is that the advanced archosaurs, that is, dinosaurs, pterosaurs, and their pseudosuchian ancestors, were warm-blooded or endothermic. Relying primarily on the work of John Ostrom, Robert Bakker, and Armand de Ricqlès on dinosaur ecology, energetics, posture, gait, and bone histology, Desmond presents the case that the dinosaurs were active, terrestrial creatures with a mammal-like physiology. As part of this scenario, a dinosaurian ancestor for the birds is seen as warm-blooded and intelligent long before its lineage acquired feathers or flight.

The author links endothermy with high intelligence and this in turn with complex behavior. The dinosaurs are perceived to have complex group behavior and parental care presumably denied cold-blooded ectotherms. The author asserts that "if an adult [reptile] remains near the young, its limited intelligence cannot overcome the temptation to eat them" (p. 171) and that "unlike solitary lizards, many dinosaurs were gregarious" (p. 130).

Although Desmond's perceptions of dinosaurs as vigorous, dynamic creatures seem reasonable, his major thesis, that they were therefore necessarily endothermic, is more difficult to accept. New data on crocodiles, which are ectothermic archosaurs and, with the birds, the closest living relatives to the dinosaurs, undermine Desmond's position. It is now known that crocodiles have a behavioral repertoire that rivals in complexity that of birds (see A. C. Pooley and C. Gans, Sci. Am. 234, No. 4, 114 [1976]). Adult crocodiles cooperate in feeding activities. Parents help their hatchlings escape the egg and nest; a mother collects hatchlings and transports them to the water in her mouth. Complex behavior of the type posited for dinosaurs evidently does not require endothermy in the archosaurs.

Desmond's thesis is a victim not so much of the information explosion as of a dogmatic adherence to an extremist view. Vertebrates are presented as either strict ectotherms or strict endotherms. We are told, "The dinosaur adopted a mammal-like pose because it had a mammal-like physiology. It could not have been otherwise'' (p. 121). But it could have been otherwise, and Ricalès, whose work on bone histology is used by Desmond to support his thesis, has presented a more tempered and open view. In his recent review on the evolution of endothermy (Evol. Theory 1, 51 [1974]) Ricqlès states, "If the origins of perfect warm-bloodedness' (endo- and homeothermy) are looked for among the primitive representatives of lineages of warmblooded modern vertebrates, one cannot ask for a sudden appearance among them of all the associated features that one can find among living, modern warm-blooded animals." In Ricqlès's opinion "the big dinosaurs had a peculiar physiology by any standard, one which can hardly be regarded as 'typically reptilian' but must be better understood as something of its own."

A catchy title, coffee-table format, and simple glossary (where words like "fossil" and "physiology" are defined) suggest that *The Hot-Blooded Dinosaurs* was designed to be read by the nonpaleontologist. On the other hand, well over 100 authors are cited and almost 100 genera are mentioned in less than 200 pages of text. This documentation will interest the amateur or professional paleontologist with some familiarity with the names. It may overwhelm the layman.

While Desmond's assertiveness sometimes exceeds the data, his enthusiasm does succeed in vitalizing the dinosaurs. I'll believe a ceratopsian hen cared for her chicks!

RICHARD J. WASSERSUG Department of Anatomy and Committee on Evolutionary Biology, University of Chicago, Chicago, Illinois

## **Haploid Transcription**

Gamete Competition in Plants and Animals. Proceedings of a symposium, Lake Como, Italy, Aug. 1975. D. L. MULCAHY, Ed. North-Holland, Amsterdam, and Elsevier, New York, 1975. x, 288 pp., illus. \$27.50.

The source of the interest in the subject of this volume can best be described by quoting from the paper by J. Cohen: "A man ejaculates some 350,000,000 sperms and a bull 1,000,000,000; of these multitudes one may be used for fertilisation, occasionally two or even, on occasions so rare as to make world headlines, six. It is usual among animals to produce very many more sperms than could ever be used in fertilisation and biologists have come to accept this monstrous overproduction as normal." Overproduction, especially of male gametes, is normal for plants, too. That only one of many million sperm finally fertilizes the egg raises the question of whether this is an accident or the result of some selection process, which would necessarily entail a high degree of competition among gametes.

The majority of the 28 papers in the book concern botanical systems, which seems to reflect the fact that haploid transcription is a relatively rare phenomenon among animals, whereas there is extensive evidence for haploid transcription in plants. The evidence for and against the existence of a haploid effect in animal spermatozoa is well reviewed by R. A. Beatty, who concludes that "there is perhaps no absolutely certain example of a haploid effect in animals." Cohen, on the