

HeLa Cultures Defined

Abstract. A list is presented of references to all known publications on properties which have served to relate strains of HeLa cells to each other as well as to indict other purported human cell lines as HeLa cell contaminants. Eleven additional cell lines not previously indicted are described. When they exhibit (i) type A (fast) mobility for glucose-6-phosphate dehydrogenase, (ii) phosphoglucomutase type I at locus 1 and locus 3, (iii) absence of a Y chromosome by fluorescent staining, and (iv) possession of a complex of trypsin-Giemsa banded marker chromosomes present in known HeLa cells, then cell substrates regardless of designation should be considered *de facto* strains of HeLa.

Since our report last year (1) about HeLa cell contamination among some human tumor cells, we have examined many cultures sent to our laboratory by investigators in the United States and abroad who were interested in knowing whether their cells had the HeLa cell characteristics that we discussed. Many did turn out to have them.

In addition, most of these investigators, as well as other members of the scientific

community, expressed the desire to have clearly publicized an up-to-date list of all "cell lines" which by various methods, but particularly by newer techniques, have been indicted as HeLa cell contaminants (that is, they exhibit type A mobility for glucose-6-phosphate dehydrogenase, lack a Y chromosome, have, in addition to normal chromosomes, a complex of rearranged chromosomes or markers described for HeLa cell cultures).

We have assembled and tabulated all information available and published relating to this matter (Table 1) and have added to the list those newer "cell lines" examined by us (26) which we consider to be of HeLa origin. We have not added earlier records which indicated only that some of these cell cultures were of human (or primate) origin and not of other animal origin on the basis of their susceptibility to poliovirus nor have we compiled serologic or immunologic data which only defines the species of origin. We have added, where appropriate, the important information, largely ignored, on the genetically determined phosphoglucomutase activity of HeLa cells (4-6, 19, 20) as well as some data on HL-A antigen reactivity (7) of these particular human cells.

The source of each culture is given, when known, because as indicated by Franks and Rigby (2) and one of us, it is possible that there do exist bona fide cell cultures

Table 1. Cell lines with characteristics peculiar to HeLa cells including previously published data and more recent results by newer techniques. Abbreviations: G6PD, glucose-6-phosphate dehydrogenase; ATCC, American Type Culture Collection; MBA, Microbiological Associates.

Designation	Reference	Source	G6PD type A*	PGM†		Lack of Y chromosome by banding	Banded marker chromosomes (1,8)
				Type I	Type II		
HeLa (adenocarcinoma cervix)‡	9,10	ATCC	1,5,11,21, 22,23	5		1,12,21,22,24	1,15,22,24
HeLa (=CCL2)§		ATCC via A. Deitch A. Mukerjee V. Klement from Flow Labs., Inc. G. Gey Unlisted Four individuals, unlisted Grand Island Biological Co. N. Differante				8 8 21 17 18 20 64	8 8 17 18,19 20 64
HeLa 229 (=CCL2.1)		ATCC	23				
HeLa S ₃		G. Nette from E. Robbins L. Levintow	26			8 26	8 26
HeLa S ₃ g		M. Griffin via G. Melnykovich	26			26	26
HeLa S ₃ k		K. Kajievara via G. Melnykovich	26			26	26
KB (carcinoma, oral)	14	Unlisted	19	19	6	19	19
KB (=CCL17)‡		ATCC	5,11,21,23	5		12,21,24	15,24
		S. Mak	20	20		20	20
		V. Klement from MBA	21			21	
		H. Sussman	26			26	26
		E. Priori	26			26	26
		Commercial, unlisted	4	4			
H.Ep.-2 (carcinoma, larynx)	16	Unlisted	19	19	6	12,21	
H.Ep.-2(=CCL23)‡		ATCC	5,11,21,23	5			
		Individual, unlisted	4	4			
		P. Dent	20	20		20	20
		V. Klement from MBA	21			21	
		M. Webber	26			26	26
		K. McCormick				64	64
		K. V. Ilyin	22			22	22
H.Ep.-2 (clone)	25	Unlisted			6		
AV3 (amnion)		ATCC	4,5,11,23	4,5	6	24	15,24
AV3 (=CCL21)		I. Keydar from ATCC	26			26	26
AV3 (103)		P. Peebles from ATCC	26			26	26
AV3 (F-49-1)		ATCC	5,23	5		24	24
L132 (=CCL5) (lung)	28	P. Peebles from ATCC	26			26	26
L132 (G-38-7)		Unlisted	19	19	6	24	24
Chang liver (liver)	29	ATCC	4,5,23	4,5		26	26
Chang liver (=CCL13)		R. Chang	26			26	26
		Individual, unlisted	4	4			
HBT3 (carcinoma, breast)	30	P. Arnstein from R. Bassin	1			1	1
HBT-E (16c, clone of HBT-3)		R. Bassin	1			1	1
HBT-39b (carcinoma, breast) (clone 6)	31	P. Arnstein from E. Plata	1			1	1

Designation	Reference	Source	G6PD type A*	PGM‡		Lack of Y chromosome by banding	Banded marker chromosomes (1,8)
				Type I	Type II		
HEK (kidney)	32	Commercial, unlisted J. Rhim from C. Pfizer, Inc. C. Pfizer, Inc.	4 1 1	4		1 1 1	1
HEK/HRV (HEK, virus transformed)	33	S. Aaronson	26			1	26
MA160 (prostate)	34	The originators (see 34) P. Price, MBA				34¶	
MA160		M. Vincent, MBA	12			1 12	1 27
Prostate (=MA160)		Unlisted	5		5		
SA4(TxS-HuSa ₁) (liposarcoma)	35	C. Pfizer, Inc.	1			1	1
SA4‡		D. Morton					
RT4 (carcinoma, bladder)	2,36	J. Leighton via N. Abaza**	1			1	1
Detroit 30A (carcinoma, ascitic fluid)	11	W. D. Peterson, Jr.	11			26	26
Detroit 98 (=CCL18) (ster nal marrow)	23,37	ATCC	4,5,23	4,5		24	24
Detroit 98s (=CCL18.1)	23	ATCC	23				
Detroit 98/AG (=CCL18.2)	23	ATCC	23			8	8
Detroit 98/AT-2 (=CCL18.3)	23	ATCC	23			13	13
Detroit 98/AHR (=CCL18.4)	23	ATCC	23				
FL (=CCL62) (amnion)	38	ATCC	11,23			24	24
CaOV (carcinoma, ovary)	39	N. P. Mazurenko	22			22	22
J96 (leukemic blood)	40	T. A. Bektemirov	22			22	22
J111 (monocytic leukemia) (=CCL24)	41	Commercial, unlisted Unlisted	4	4		6	
T-9 (transformed normal diploid)	42	O. G. Andzaparidze	22			22	22
DAPT (astrocytoma, piloid)	43	A. O. Bykovsky	22			22	22
AO (amnion)	44	A. O. Bykovsky	22			22	22
KP-P ₁ (carcinoma, prostate)	45	P. Lee via M. Glosky	26			26	26
EICo (carcinoma, breast)	46	R. Patillo	26			26	26
HCE (carcinoma, cervix)	47	D. Brown	26			26	26
CMP (adenocarcinoma, rectum)††.	48	Unlisted	5	5			
CMP II C2	48	D. Rounds via J. Kim	26			26	26
JHT (placenta)	49	J. Cho via J. W.-Peng					26†‡
OE (endometrium)	50	The originators (see 51)	51				
SH-2 (carcinoma, breast)	52	P. Di Saia via L. Milewicz	26			26	26
SH-3 (carcinoma, breast)	52	The originators (see 52)	52				26†‡
ESP ₁ (Burkitt lymphoma, American)	53	G. Seman via R. Miller					
EB33 (carcinoma, prostate)	54	P. Price, from E. Priori	26			26	26
D18T (synovial cell)	55	E. Priori	26			26	26
M10T (synovial cell)	55	F. Schroeder	26			26	26
Detroit 6 (sternal marrow)	56	D. A. Peterson	26			26	26
Detroit 6 (=CCL3)		Unlisted				6	
Detroit 6 (clone 12) (=CCL3.1)		Commercial, unlisted	4	4			
Detroit 6 (=CCL3)		ATCC	5,23	5		24	24
Minnesota EE (esophageal epithelium)	57	Individual, unlisted	23			12	
Intestine 407 (jejunum, ileum) (=CCL6)		ATCC	11				
Intestine 407	58	Commercial, unlisted	4	4			
Intestine 407 (=HEI =CCL6)		G. Spahn from ATCC	26			26	26
NCTC2544 (=CCL19)(skin) (epithelium)	59	ATCC	4,5,11,23	4,5		12,24	24
NCTC3075 (=CCL19.1)	59	ATCC	23				
WISH (amnion)	60	Individual, unlisted	4	4			
WISH (=CCL25)		ATCC	4,5,11,23	4,5		24	24
Girardi heart (heart) (=CCL27)	61	ATCC	4,5,23	4,5	6		
TuWi (=CCL31)	62	ATCC	23				
Wong-Kilbourne (conjunctiva) (=CCL20.2)	63	ATCC	23				

*Type B mobility for glucose-6-phosphate dehydrogenase has been reported, to our knowledge, only once (3) for cells in this list, and that for cultures designated Detroit 6, Detroit 6 (clone 12), and Chang liver, all obtained from the ATCC. Otherwise, all of these cultures have exhibited type A mobility. †Phosphoglucomutase electrophoretic variants determined by alleles at locus PGM₁ (=type I) and the separate, unlinked locus PGM₃ (type II) (4-6,9,19,20). ‡Like HeLa, no reactivity when tested by the human lymphocyte antigen cytotoxic reaction (7). §CCL = certified cell line number of the ATCC. ||As discussed in (65), the work of Sinha and Pathak (64) on HeLa and H.Ep.-2 cells does not make it clear whether the authors consider these cells to be of separate origin or whether they are both derived from HeLa. Nevertheless, this work is unique in that 4.5 percent of H.Ep.-2 cells exhibited a Y chromosome. No other record of presence of Y is known in these cells except as mentioned for MA160 ([34] and ¶). ¶The original publication stated that a Y chromosome was observed in cells at passage 10, but was not seen banded (12,27) at earlier or later passages. **As indicated (2), bona fide RT4 cells exist. ††F. Kasten, personal communication (1975) indicated that CMP had been derived from adenocarcinoma of the colon. ‡‡Karyotypes of banded chromosomes sent to us by the investigator (Source) for study.

with their proper designations as well as HeLa contaminants which masquerade under the same name.

It is, no doubt, true that the different bona fide strains of HeLa perform in different ways and exhibit many distinct characteristics; the same is true of cultures of HeLa that are known by different designations, but are de facto HeLa strains themselves. Nevertheless, these strains have retained the characteristics that we have tabulated here, in spite of different passage levels under different growth conditions and in different laboratories. It is this group which we specifically addressed here and the one which has led many investigators to believe that "HeLa by many other names can spell trouble."

WALTER A. NELSON-REES

ROBERT R. FLANDERMEYER

Cell Culture Laboratory, University of California, Naval Biomedical Research Laboratory, Oakland 94625

References and Notes

1. W. A. Nelson-Rees, R. R. Flandermeier, P. K. Hawthorne, *Science* **184**, 1093 (1974).
2. L. M. Franks and C. Rigby, *ibid.* **188**, 168 (1974); W. A. Nelson-Rees, *ibid.*, p. 168.
3. P. J. Melnick, *Prog. Histochem. Cytochem.* **2** (No. 1), 1 (1971).
4. S. M. Gartler, *Natl. Cancer Inst. Monogr.* **26**, 167 (1967).
5. ———, *Nature (London)* **217**, 750 (1968).
6. N. Auersperg and S. M. Gartler, *Exp. Cell Res.* **61**, 465 (1970).
7. J. H. Kersey, E. J. Yunis, G. J. Todaro, S. A. Aaronson, *Proc. Soc. Exp. Biol. Med.* **143**, 453 (1973).
8. O. J. Miller, D. A. Miller, P. W. Allderdice, V. G. Dev, M. S. Grewal, *Cytogenetics* **10**, 338 (1971).
9. G. O. Gey, W. D. Coffman, M. T. Kubicek, *Cancer Res.* **12**, 264 (1952).
10. H. W. Jones, Jr., V. M. McKusick, P. S. Harper, K.-D. Wu, *Obstet. Gynecol.* **38**, 945 (1971).
11. W. D. Peterson, Jr., C. S. Stulberg, N. K. Swanson, A. R. Robinson, *Proc. Soc. Exp. Biol. Med.* **128**, 772 (1968).
12. W. D. Peterson, Jr., W. F. Simpson, P. S. Ecklund, *Nature (London) New Biol.* **242**, 22 (1973).
13. U. Francke, D. S. Hammond, J. A. Schneider, *Chromosoma* **41**, 111 (1973).
14. H. Eagle, *Proc. Soc. Exp. Biol. Med.* **89**, 369 (1955).
15. H. C. Wang, S. Fedoroff, S. Dickinson, *In Vitro* **8**, 443 (1973).
16. A. Fjelde, *Cancer (Philadelphia)* **8**, 845 (1955).
17. R. Czaker, *Humangenetik* **19**, 135 (1973).
18. J. R. Walker, *J. Natl. Cancer Inst.* **51**, 1113 (1973).
19. C. C. Lin, *Cytogenet. Cell Genet.* **13**, 117 (1974).
20. ——— and S. Goldstein, *J. Natl. Cancer Inst.* **53**, 298 (1974).
21. W. A. Nelson-Rees, V. K. Peterson, Jr., J. F. Weaver, *ibid.* **50**, 1129 (1973).
22. W. A. Nelson-Rees, V. M. Zhdanov, P. K. Hawthorne, R. R. Flandermeier, *ibid.* **53**, 751 (1974).
23. F. Montes de Oca, M. L. Macy, J. E. Shannon, *Proc. Soc. Exp. Biol. Med.* **132**, 462 (1969); C. S. Stulberg, L. L. Coriell, A. J. Kniazeff, J. E. Shannon, *In Vitro* **5**, 1 (1970); J. E. Shannon, Ed., *Registry of Animal Cell Lines* (American Type Culture Collection, Rockville, Md. ed. 2, 1972).
24. K. S. Lavappa, M. L. Macy, J. E. Shannon, *In Vitro* **10**, 374 (1974); *Nature (London)*, in press.
25. F. C. Robbins and M. I. Lepow (1956), no original publication; see (23).
26. W. A. Nelson-Rees and R. R. Flandermeier, unpublished observations presented here.
27. A. Zalta, K. Maruyama, L. Dmochowski, H. Bultmann, paper presented at Southwest section of the American Association for Cancer Research Annual Meeting, New Orleans, La., 8 to 9 Nov. 1974.
28. E. V. Davis and V. S. Bolin, *Fed. Proc.* **19**, 386 (1960).
29. R. S. Chang, *Proc. Soc. Exp. Biol. Med.* **87**, 440 (1954).
30. R. H. Bassin, E. S. Plata, B. I. Gerwin, C. F. Mattern, D. K. Haapala, E. W. Chu, *ibid.* **141**, 673 (1972).
31. E. J. Plata, T. Aoki, D. D. Robertson, E. W. Chu, B. I. Gerwin, *J. Natl. Cancer Inst.* **50**, 849 (1973).
32. S. A. Mayyasi, K. A. Traul, C. Garon, *Proc. Am. Assoc. Cancer Res.* **11**, 54 (1970).
33. D. V. Ablashi, W. Turner, G. R. Armstrong, L. R. Bass, *J. Natl. Cancer Inst.* **48**, 615 (1972).
34. E. E. Fraley, S. Ecker, M. M. Vincent, *Science* **170**, 540 (1970).
35. D. C. Morton, W. T. Hall, R. Malmgren, *ibid.* **165**, 813 (1970).
36. C. C. Rigby and L. M. Franks, *Br. J. Cancer* **24**, 746 (1970).
37. L. Berman and C. S. Stulberg, *Proc. Soc. Exp. Biol. Med.* **92**, 730 (1956).
38. J. Fogh and R. Lund, *ibid.* **94**, 532 (1957).
39. V. M. Zhdanov, V. D. Soloviev, T. A. Bektemirov, K. Bekt, V. Ilyin, A. F. Bykovsky, N. P. Mazurenko, I. S. Iplin, F. I. Yershov, *Intervirology* **1**, 19 (1973).
40. V. M. Zhdanov et al., *Arch. Gesamte Virusforsch.* **39**, 309 (1972).
41. E. E. Osgood, *Blood* **10**, 1010 (1955).
42. O. G. Andzharidze, A. L. Liozner, L. G. Stepanova, N. W. Shukmina, B. I. Shevelev, *Vopr. Virusol.* **6**, 686 (1972).
43. A. F. Bykovsky, G. G. Miller, M. V. Klitsunova, L. V. Gorokhova, V. R. Zakhaleva, V. B. Martynenko, *ibid.* **18**, 215 (1973).
44. K. V. Ilyin, A. F. Bykovsky, V. M. Zhdanov, *Cancer (Philadelphia)* **32**, 89 (1973).
45. P. Chan (1973), no original publication; personal communication (1975).
46. M. T. Story, R. A. Patillo, A. C. F. Ruckert, M. R. Shalaby, *In Vitro* **10**, 388, (1975).
47. D. Brown (1973), no original publication; personal communication (1974).
48. F. H. Kasten, F. F. Strasser, M. Turner, *Nature (London)* **207**, 161 (1965). The derivation of CMP II appeared originally in Y. Ohnuki, T. Okigaki, F. H. Kasten, *In Vitro* **5**, 153 (1969).
49. J. Cho, no original publication; J. W.-Peng, personal communication (1975).
50. T. Arata, Y. Nakazuma, I. Ogawa, Y. Tanaka, K. Hashimoto, *Gann* **62**, 549 (1971).
51. T. Arata, P. J. DiSaia, D. E. Townsend, J. F. Nolan, *In Vitro* **7** (Abstr. No. 17), 242 (1972).
52. G. Seman, S. J. Hunter, L. Dmochowski, *Proc. Am. Assoc. Cancer Res.* **16**, 59 (1975).
53. E. S. Priori, L. Dmochowski, B. Myers, J. R. Wilbur, *Nature (London) New Biol.* **233**, 61 (1971).
54. K. Okada and F. H. Schroeder, *Urol. Res.* **2**, 111 (1974).
55. D. A. Peterson (1970), no original publication; personal communication (1975).
56. L. Berman, C. S. Stulberg, F. H. Ruddle, *Blood* **10**, 896 (1955).
57. J. T. Syvertson and L. C. McLaren, *Cancer Res.* **17**, 23 (1957).
58. G. Henle and F. Deinhardt, *J. Immunol.* **79**, 54 (1957).
59. See (23) for the long history of this derivative.
60. L. Hayflick, *Exp. Cell Res.* **23**, 14 (1961).
61. A. Girardi (1956), no original publication; see (23).
62. Y. V. Dobrinin, *J. Natl. Cancer Inst.* **31**, 1173 (1963).
63. This is a derivative of Chang conjunctiva (29) adapted for growth in different medium (23).
64. A. K. Sinha and S. Pathak, *Humangenetik* **18**, 47 (1973).
65. W. A. Nelson-Rees, R. R. Flandermeier, P. K. Hawthorne, *Int. J. Cancer* **16**, 74 (1975).
66. We thank W. D. Peterson, Jr., for the glucose-6-phosphate dehydrogenase mobility determinations on the cell cultures examined by us (1, 21, 22, 26) and I. A. Madin for assistance in karyology. Work performed under NIH contract E 73-2001-NO1-CP-3-3237 within the Virus Cancer Program, National Cancer Institute.

15 September 1975

Phytoestrogens: Adverse Effects on Reproduction in California Quail

Abstract. *Phytoestrogens, largely formononetin and genistein, are produced in the leaves of stunted desert annuals in a dry year. When ingested by California quail, these compounds apparently inhibit reproduction and prevent the production of young that will not have adequate food. In a wet year, forbs grow vigorously and phytoestrogenic substances are largely absent. Quail then breed prolifically and the abundant seed crop carries the enlarged population through the winter.*

The California quail, *Lophortyx californicus*, breeds irregularly in the more arid portions of its range, depending on the amount of winter rainfall preceding the spring nesting season. When rainfall is generous, a rich carpet of forbs which supplies both greens and seeds for quail consumption is produced. Under this circumstance the birds breed vigorously. In a relatively dry year, the ground may be sparsely covered with stunted forbs and annual grasses; then quail breeding is desultory, and few or no young are produced. There seems to be some direct connection between forb growth and the breeding suc-

cess of quail (1-3), and it has long been presumed that the control is nutritional.

The breeding success of arid-land quail has been linked to storage in their livers of vitamin A obtained from green foods (4, 5). Whereas vitamin A is necessary for quail, there is no clear relation between the availability of this food supplement and the reproductive vigor in the birds (6). We surmise that there are other nutritional components of green food that regulate reproduction.

Phytoestrogens in subterranean clover inhibit breeding in domestic sheep (7). Thus, this group of compounds might reg-

Table 1. Effect of diet on egg production in three pairs of California quails.

Diet	Feeding period (month/day)	Onset of egg laying	Eggs per pair (No.)
Turkey starter*	10 March to 6 June	8 April	62
Low energy, low protein†	3 March to 30 June	8 May	28
Turkey starter plus subclover extract‡	3 March to 30 June	2 June	12

*Turkey starter contained 26 percent crude protein.
†Low protein diet contained 15 percent crude protein.

‡Subclover extract contained biochanin A, genistein, and formononetin.