

AMERICAN ASSOCIATION FOR THE ADVANCEMENT OF SCIENCE

Science serves its readers as a forum for the presentation and discussion of important issues related to the advancement of science, including the presentation of minority or conflicting points of view, rather than by publishing only material on which a consensus has been reached. Accordingly, all articles published in *Science*—including editorials, news and comment, and book reviews—are signed and reflect the individual views of the authors and not official points of view adopted by the AAAS or the institutions with which the authors are affiliated.

Editorial Board

1975

H. S. GUTOWSKY	DONALD LINDSLEY
N. BRUCE HANNAY	RUTH PATRICK
DONALD KENNEDY	RAYMOND H. THOMPSON
DANIEL E. KOSHLAND, JR.	

1976

ALFRED E. BROWN	FRANK PRESS
JAMES F. CROW	FRANK W. PUTNAM
HANS LANDSBERG	MAXINE SINGER
EDWARD NEY	ARTHUR M. SQUIRES

Editorial Staff

Editor

PHILIP H. ABELSON

Publisher

WILLIAM D. CAREY

Business Manager

HANS NUSSBAUM

Managing Editor: ROBERT V. ORMES

Assistant Editors: ELLEN E. MURPHY, JOHN E. RINGLE

Assistant to the Editors: PATRICIA ROWE

News and Comment: JOHN WALSH, Editor; PHILIP M. BOFFEY, LUTHER J. CARTER, BARBARA J. CULLITON, CONSTANCE HOLDEN, DEBORAH SHAPLEY, NICHOLAS WADE. Editorial Assistant, SCHERRAINE MACK

Research News: ALLEN L. HAMMOND, WILLIAM D. METZ, THOMAS H. MAUGH II, JEAN L. MARX, ARTHUR L. ROBINSON, GINA BARI KOLATA, FANNIE GROOM

Book Reviews: KATHERINE LIVINGSTON, LYNN MANFIELD, JANET KEGG

Cover Editor: GRAYCE FINGER

Editorial Assistants: JOHN BAKER, ISABELLA BOULDIN, MARGARET BURESCH, ELEANORE BUTZ, MARY DOREMAN, SYLVIA EBERHART, JUDITH GIVELBER, CAITILIN GORDON, CORRINE HARRIS, NANCY HARTNAGEL, OLIVER HEATWOLE, CHRISTINE KARLIK, MARGARET LLOYD, JEAN ROCKWOOD, LEAH RYAN, LOIS SCHMITT, RICHARD SEMIKLOSE, YA LI SWIGART, ELEANOR WARNER

Guide to Scientific Instruments: RICHARD SOMMER

Membership Recruitment: GWENDOLYN HUDDLE; Subscription Records and Member Records: ANN RAGLAND

Advertising Staff

Director

EARL J. SCHERAGO

Production Manager

MARGARET STERLING

Advertising Sales Manager: RICHARD L. CHARLES

Sales: NEW YORK, N.Y. 10036: Herbert L. Burklund, 11 W. 42 St. (212-PE-6-1858); SCOTCH PLAINS, N.J. 07076: C. Richard Callis, 12 Unami Lane (201-889-4873); CHICAGO, ILL. 60611: Jack Ryan, Room 2107, 919 N. Michigan Ave. (312-DE-7-4973); BEVERLY HILLS, CALIF. 90211: Winn Nance, 11 N. La Cienega Blvd. (213-657-2772); DORSET, VT. 05251: Fred W. Dieffenbach, Kent Hill Rd. (802-867-5581)

EDITORIAL CORRESPONDENCE: 1515 Massachusetts Ave., NW, Washington, D.C. 20005. Phones: (Area code 202) Central Office: 467-4350; Book Reviews: 467-4367; Business Office: 467-4411; Circulation: 467-4417; Guide to Scientific Instruments: 467-4480; News and Comment: 467-4430; Reprints and Permissions: 467-4483; Research News: 467-4321; Reviewing: 467-4443. Cable: Advancesci. Washington. Copies of "Instructions for Contributors" can be obtained from the editorial office. See also page xi, *Science*, 26 September 1975. ADVERTISING CORRESPONDENCE: Room 1740, 11 W. 42 St., New York, N.Y. 10036. Phone: 212-PE-6-1858.

Higher Education: Who Needs It?

In the general run of human activity currently there seems to be a pervasive idea, adhered to by many but perhaps not really accepted, that given time and effort anyone can master anything of an intellectual nature. Thus, the concept of elitism in education has become almost obsolete. It has come to be associated with a high position in society, being better born, rich, and so on. The idea that one individual may be better endowed than another for a particular function or pursuit has remained respectable only in some less essential, although not less interesting, areas such as sports and entertainment.

Few would disagree that, for some, no amount of training would enable them to perform some physical feat such as lifting a 500-pound weight off the floor. Why then is there a general belief that equal mastery of educational course material by all is possible? This is not to say that there are not differences in learning rates. Such differences are certainly the basis for the self-paced method of instruction, which has proved reasonably successful in some areas. Nevertheless, it is recognizing the obvious to say that we do not all develop the same capacity in all endeavors, even though we may be given the opportunity to do so.

Given that there is a natural range of intellectual as well as physical abilities, why do we persist in trying to diminish or even eliminate elitism in education? Why persist in the fiction that exposure to certain types of developed understanding beneficial to a few is of more than passing interest to most? Indeed in some areas like quantum electrodynamics we do not subscribe to this idea, but in many more common ones we do and insist that all of us can attain uniform intellectual skills.

Perhaps this current obsession, the taint of elitism, stems from two attitudes based on experiences of the past. One of these is that the mere acquisition of a certificate of exposure to education has made some feel superior, wrongly of course. The other is generated by the previously demonstrable fact that white collar skills were more highly paid than blue-collar skills, which is no longer true.

If the experiences of the past no longer hold true, why do we cling to the beliefs which sprang from them? It does not follow that making maximum use of those most talented in intellectual pursuits demeans the rest of us. If we applaud the identification and careful nurturing of the talented performer, why not that of the talented mind? The difficulty may be that our dissonant musical notes are readily recognized and accepted, but our inability to master mathematics is not. It is too easy to ascribe limited intellectual ability in one or another area to lack of interest.

This position does not lead to a negative attitude toward equal educational opportunity nor to the attitude that the intellectually able in one field are socially better or generally wiser. It does say that talented individuals are not too plentiful and that talent should be nourished where it appears. In other words, it is unwise to disregard the real differences in intellectual capabilities, both for the individual and for society.

This argument leads inevitably to the conclusion that not all are equally educable. But it also leads to the proposition that that form of training does not necessarily produce wiser or nobler individuals.

So recognize elitism in education for what it is: the opportunity for creative individuals to pursue intellectual goals and ideals somewhat beyond the boundaries confining many of us. If we destroy this environment of creative endeavor, whether it be scientific, artistic, or literary, we will have lost a great gift for humanity.—NORMAN HACKERMAN, *President, Rice University, Houston, Texas 77001*