

or 9-day-old cells and, similarly, components from 7- and 9-day-old cells only partially block adhesions among cells of other ages. Thus they conclude that these components may affect temporal changes in the effects of aggregation factors on developing cells.

Stephen Roth of Johns Hopkins University points out that interpretations of studies of adhesion among embryo cells are not only complicated by the use of different assays of aggregation by different investigators and the paucity of results on temporal changes in cell surfaces during development but they are also complicated by the existence of different kinds of cells in a given tissue. According to Roth, specific tissues, such as retina or cerebrum consist of several cell types, and there is no reason to believe that each type of cell behaves the same way.

In attempting to skirt the problems associated with studies of adhesion among embryo cells some investigators are studying slime molds, which are much simpler in structure than embryo cells. Slime molds are useful model systems for studying development because they share with higher organisms many of the features observed during embryogenesis. Slime molds live as individual and identical cells until they no longer have bacteria to ingest, whereupon the cells come together, adhere, and develop into a multicellular organism.

Although students of chick embryo cells have often assumed that these cells synthesize aggregation factors when they adhere, only in slime molds has this phenomenon been conclusively demonstrated. Steven Rosen who works at Samuel Barondes' laboratory at the University of California at San Diego reported at the ICN-UCLA conferences that a carbohydrate-binding protein isolated from aggregating cells of the slime mold *Polysphondylium pallidum* is present on the surfaces of aggregating cells but not on the surfaces of cells living as individuals. When this protein is added to isolated cells that are ready to aggregate, it promotes cell adhesion. This adhesion, as well as the native adhesiveness of developed cells, can be blocked by sugars that react with the aggregation protein and, apparently, prevent it from binding to sugars on the surfaces of slime mold cells. Aggregation factors isolated from several slime mold species are blocked by different sugars. Richard Reiterman, also of Barondes' laboratory reported at the ICN-UCLA conferences that, for two slime mold species, the carbohydrate-binding protein from one species binds to cells from the other species, but binds more strongly to cells from its species of origin.

Investigators who study cell adhesion in

## Fermilab Flexes Its Muscle

The huge proton synchrotron at the Fermi National Accelerator Laboratory near Batavia, Illinois, recently completed an extended run at energies well above its normal level of 300 billion electron volts (Gev). During the month-long run, protons were accelerated to 380 Gev without major problems and without diminution of normal intensity, currently about  $10^{13}$  protons per pulse. The demonstration of improving capability is timely in view of the continuing ferment among high energy physicists over the nature and significance of the psi or J particles (*Science*, 6 December 1974, p. 909). Indeed, a primary purpose for the high energy run was to extend the range of an experiment being conducted by a team of scientists from Columbia University, the University of Illinois, the University of Hawaii, Cornell University, and Fermilab which bears on the new particles. The experimenters studied psi particles produced by photon collisions (photoproduction) with a beryllium target. Early results indicated that the psi is indeed made up of "charmed" quarks or something like them, and by going to higher energies the experimenters hope to observe still other new particles. A second experiment at 380 Gev with neutrinos was also to look for new particles.

The Fermilab accelerator is thus beginning to establish itself as the powerful research tool its designers had hoped and planned for. More than 70 experiments have been completed and another 36 are under way. Improvements for which the hardware has already been built but has not yet been integrated into the operating system are expected to increase the already remarkable intensity by a factor of 2 later this year—to  $2 \times 10^{13}$  protons per pulse. A peak intensity of  $1.5 \times 10^{13}$  has already been produced, but not as a sustained beam. The beam is split among three experimental areas, with about 75 percent of the current normally going to neutrino and muon experiments, for which intensity is an important constraint on the rapidity with which data can be collected.

Routine operation at energy levels near 400 Gev is still some time off, because of problems in procuring the needed transformers. As the recent experiment demonstrates, however, the capability of the Fermilab accelerator is continuing to evolve toward both higher energies and higher intensities.—A. L. H.

higher organisms have not yet approached the question of whether there are preferred sites of adhesion. Gunther Gerisch of the Max-Planck-Gesellschaft in Tubingen, Germany, has, however, found preferred directions of adhesion among slime mold cells; namely, side-to-side and end-to-end adhesion. He has fractionated the antibodies to cell surfaces and obtained two kinds of univalent antibodies, which distinguish between these two directions of adhesion. One kind of antibody blocks side-to-side adhesion and the second antibody blocks end-to-end adhesion. He reports that slime mold cells can adhere side-to-side before they ever begin to aggregate but when they aggregate to form a multicellular organism they also adhere end-to-end. Gerisch has not determined whether this end-to-end adhesion is affected by the protein Rosen and his colleagues isolated from aggregating cells. Rosen notes, however, that the aggregation protein is made at the same time that end-to-end adhesion occurs.

Gerisch's experiments are interesting to developmental biologists because the existence of preferred sites of adhesion may be a basis for pattern formation among aggregating cells, as in the formation of a tubule. Although biologists emphasize aggrega-

tion factors as a means to randomly bind cells together, they find that random aggregations are not sufficient for development in that cells must form specific patterns.

Moscona has noted the importance of pattern formation in his experiments with retinal cells. He finds that cells from retinal tissue, which form distinct patterns, can be induced by hydrocortisone to produce glutamine synthetase. But retinal cells in culture do not respond to hydrocortisone before they aggregate or if they are dispersed after they aggregate. In fact, when Moscona dispersed aggregated cells from retinal tissue and prevented pattern formation by cultivating them in a monolayer, they did not respond to hydrocortisone, even though they made contact with each other and even though they had hydrocortisone receptors on their surfaces.

Pattern formation thus appears to be a key piece in solving the puzzle of embryonic development. Many investigators are now confident that further study of aggregation factors and cell adhesion during development will lead to increased understanding of how patterns are formed and, eventually, of how cell surfaces help to control development.

—GINA BARI KOLATA