References and Notes

- H. Merskey and F. G. Spear, Pain: Psychological and Psychiatric Aspects (Balliere, Tindall and Cassell, London, 1967), pp. 71-87; R. A. Sternbach, Pain: A Psychophysiological Analysis (Academic Press, New York, 1968), pp. 59-77.
- R. A. Sternbach, S. R. Wolf, R. W. Murphy, W. H. Akeson, Psychosomatics 14, 52 (1973).
- R. A. Sternbach, R. W. Murphy, G. Timmermans, J. H. Greenhoot, W. H. Akeson, in Advances in Neurology, vol. 4, Pain, J. J. Bonica, Ed. (Raven, New York, 1974), pp. 281-288.
- 201-200.
 4. G. M. Smith, L. D. Egbert, R. A. Markowitz, F. Mosteller, H. K. Beecher, J. Pharmacol. Exp. Ther. 154, 324 (1966); G. M. Smith, E. Lowenstein, J. H. Hubbard, H. K. Beecher, *ibid.* 163, 468 (1968); G. M. Smith and H. K. Beecher, Clin. Pharmacol. Ther. 10, 213 (1969).
- N. H. Nie, D. H. Bent, C. H. Hull, Statistical Package for the Social Sciences (McGraw-Hill, New York, 1970), pp. 208-244.

- T. S. Szasz, in *Pain*, A. Soulairac, J. Cahn, J. Charpentier, Eds. (Academic Press, New York, 1968), pp. 93-113; R. A. Sternbach, in *Advances* in *Neurology*, vol. 4, *Pain*, J. J. Bonica, Ed. (Raven, New York, 1974), pp. 423-430.
 B. B. Wolff, J. Abnorm. Psychol. 78, 291 (1971). This pair pairs of the pairs of the
- B. B. Wolff, J. Abnorm. Psychol. 78, 291 (1971). This pain endurance factor was significantly correlated with successful outcome of painful physical rehabilitation in chronic arthritis patients.
- 8. Factor 4 includes the highest pain estimate loadings, is associated with the social introversion scale of the MMPI with scores in the direction of extraversion, and to a lesser extent with the depression scale. It is thus clearly a pain complaint factor [see M. R. Bond, Br. J. Psychiat. 119, 671 (1971)]. Factor 5 is specific to the scores on the Health Index, factor 6 is a sex-related factor, and factor 7 is a general neuroticism factor from the MMPI scales.
- We thank Dr. Lowell H. Storms for his helpful comments and suggestions.
- 11 January 1974; revised 22 February 1974

Gravity Anomalies in the Galápagos Islands Area

In a recent report Case *et al.* (1) presented a free-air gravity anomaly map of the Galápagos Islands based on 32 gravity stations on the islands. On the basis of their data they stated that the Galápagos Islands are associated with an east-west trending "residual negative anomaly" which is superimposed on a "broader positive anomaly of unknown amplitude and extent." They concluded that "the gravity data can be most readily interpreted in terms of a low-density region related to a hot spot or plume" beneath the islands.

We believe, however, that the data of Case *et al.* in no way support this interpretation. Their observations can, in fact, be explained simply if the Galápagos Islands are in some form of



isostatic equilibrium. Any form of isostatic compensation will result in an "edge effect" in the free-air anomaly at the location of a large change in relief. For a relatively narrow feature, the edge effect anomalies over the two "edges" merge, resulting in a large positive anomaly. For a wider feature, the two edge effects become separated, resulting in an area of less positive anomalies over the center of the feature.

The major difficulty with the interpretation of Case *et al.* is that they did not quantitatively consider that the observed gravity anomalies could arise, at least in part, from the topography of the islands and its compensation.

A number of studies (2, 3) have

Fig. 1. Comparison of observed free-air gravity anomalies (data points) in the vicinity of the Galápagos platform with the computed gravity effect (dashed line) of a simple model of deformation due to the load of the platform. Observed gravity data are from (\odot) Case et al. (1) and (\bullet) the R.V. Vema (unpublished data); bathymetric contours are from Chase (6). The gravity effect of the simple model predicts less positive anomalies over the center than over the edges of the platform.

shown that gravity anomalies in the vicinity of volcanic islands can be in large part explained by a downwarping model in which the strong outer layer of the earth (lithosphere) is treated as a loaded elastic beam (or plate) overlying a weak fluid substratum (asthenosphere). This model has also been used in studies of the deformation of the lithosphere due to ice sheets (4) and sediments (5).

We show (Fig. 1) a north-south profile across the Galápagos platform at longitude 90°30'W and the deformation which would result if the platform represents a two-dimensional load on a lithosphere treated as an elastic beam overlying a weak fluid. The topography is taken from the bathymetry maps of Chase (6), and the effective flexural rigidity assumed in the computations is 1.0×10^{30} dyne-cm. This value is similar to generally accepted values obtained in other studies (3-5).

We also show the gravity effect of the deformation model in Fig. 1. The undeformed crustal structure, assumed in computing the gravity anomalies, is representative of the mean crustal structure of the Pacific basins deduced by Shor et al. (7). The model results in large positive anomalies over the Galápagos platform with amplitudes of about 80 mgal over the outer islands of Floreana and Marchena and about 45 mgal near the islands of San Salvador and Santa Cruz. There are also large negative anomalies associated with the edge of the platform and the trough between Marchena and San Salvador.

We have included in Fig. 1 observed free-air anomalies obtained from Case et al. (1) and from the R.V. Vema which are located within 5 km of the profile. The computed curve is in good agreement with the observed values. It is of particular interest that the crustal deformation model predicts a decrease of about 40 mgal between the gravity anomalies measured on the outer and inner islands. The predicted decrease occurs in the region of the residual negative anomaly of Case et al. The decrease in the amplitude of the positive anomalies toward the center of the platform is, in fact, characteristic of wide loads. It arises because the deformation, and therefore its negative gravity contribution, increases toward the center of the load, while the positive gravity effect of the load has a nearly constant value over that region. In contrast, relatively narrow loads, such as islands comprising the Hawaiian

Ridge, are characterized by a largeamplitude positive anomaly over the center.

The argument (1) that "the residual negative free-air anomaly indicates an isostatic imbalance that should tend in the long run to raise the crust rather than bend it down" is invalid. The section shown in Fig. 1 is in isostatic equilibrium. The principle of isostasy states that there is a surface within the earth on which the pressure due to overlying structure is equal. Part of the pressure may be due to the mass of the section, but part may also be due to bending stresses in the lithosphere (8). Thus, large gravity anomalies may exist even though a region is in isostatic equilibrium.

We have made no attempt in Fig. 1 to match the computed gravity effect of the deformation model to the contours of the free-air anomaly map of Case et al. We consider their contours largely invalid. Short-wavelength free-air gravity anomalies in oceanic regions generally correlate most closely with changes in topography (9). In spite of this, the map in Case et al. shows a steady gentle decrease in free-air anomalies between Marchena and San Salvador, ignoring the gravity effect which would arise from a channel 1800 m deep between these islands (Fig. 1).

We are not attempting to prove that a hot spot or mantle plume does not underlie the Galápagos Islands, or that Fig. 1 necessarily represents the actual crustal structure beneath the islands. We have used a simple deformation model, which has been applied to other volcanic islands, to explain the observed data in a quantitative manner. Thus, it is not valid to interpret gravity data in terms of a hot spot or mantle plume beneath volcanic islands until the gravity effect of the topography and the manner in which it is supported is quantitatively accounted for.

A. B. WATTS Lamont-Doherty Geological Observatory of Columbia University,

Palisades, New York 10964

J. R. COCHRAN Lamont-Doherty Geological Observatory and Department of Geology, Columbia University, New York 10027

References and Notes

- J. E. Case, S. L. Ryland, T. Simkin, K. A. Howard, Science 181, 1040 (1973).
 F. A. Vening Meinesz, Proc. Kon. Ned. Akad. Wetensch. 42, 1 (1941); R. Gunn, J. Franklin Inst. 236, 373 (1943).
 R. I. Walcott, Tectonophysics 9, 435 (1970); A. B. Watts and J. R. Cochran, Trans. Am. Geophys. Union 54, 331 (1973).

- 4. R. I. Walcott, Can. J. Earth Sci. 7, 716 (1970).
- 5. J. R. Cochran, *ibid.* 84, 3249 (1973). 6. T. E. Chase, U.S. Dep. Inter. Circ. No. 291
- (1968).
- 7. G. G. Shor, H. W. Menard, R. W. Raitt, in *The Sea*, A. E. Maxwell, Ed. (Wiley-Inter-science, New York, 1970), vol. 4, pt. 2, pp. -28
- 3-28.
 R. Gunn, J. Geol. 57, 263 (1949).
 M. Talwani, X. Le Pichon, M. Ewing, J. Geophys. Res. 70, 341 (1965); M. Talwani, H. R.
 Poppe, P. D. Rabinowitz, NOAA Tech. Rep. ERL-228-AOML7-2 (National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration, Rockville, Md., 1972)
- Lamont-Doherty Geological Observatory Con-tribution No. 2045. Supported by NSF grant GA-27281 and ONR contract N00014-67-A-0108-004. The manuscript was critically re-viewed by M. Talwani and E. Herron. 10.

21 December 1973

Watts and Cochran have reiterated the main point of our report on the Galápagos gravity anomaly-namely, that a low-density mass underlies the Galápagos platform.

As outlined in our report, at least three causes of the anomaly may be geologically plausible: (i) A block of low-density continental crust may underlie the platform. (ii) Thermal expansion related to a plume or hot spot may lower the density of the crust and upper mantle. (iii) Weight of the volcanic pile may have caused crustal downwarping. None of these possibilities can be excluded on the basis of the gravity anomaly field, and the possible causes may overlap. Each of these three possibilities has been modeled (1), and the observed gravity anomalies can be fitted by any of the three. Because of the scanty data, we did not publish the models in our report.

Model (iii) is the one discussed by Watts and Cochran, and they maintain that the site of the crustal downwarp is in isostatic equilibrium. We agree that this model may well be correct, but, because gravity potential fields have nonunique solutions, independent data are required for confirmation. The critical test is in the lithologic (velocity) structure of the crust and upper mantle. If seismic refraction data indi-

Conceptual Deficits in Women

Thomas et al. (1) report that many college women, unlike men, do not know the principle that the surface of still water is invariably horizontal and that, as a result, they perform poorly when required to estimate the surface angle by adjusting an artificial water level. Second, they claim to demoncate that the M-discontinuity dips beneath the archipelago to form a "root" of approximately 6 km, as suggested by their model, then crustal loading or a block of continental crust may be suspected. It then remains to explain the origin of the volcanic material that is loading the crust to form the downwarp or to explain the origin of the block of continental crust.

Watts and Cochran stated that they believe our data in no way support the conclusion that "the gravity data can be most readily interpreted in terms of a low-density region related to a hot spot or plume" beneath the islands. They failed to note our geologic reason for the interpretation, namely: "This preference is based on the direct evidence of the widespread active Holocene volcanism of the islands themselves and the topographic expression of past volcanism leading away from the islands along the Cocos and Carnegie ridges."

We are pleased that Watts and Cochran pointed out errors in our report and that they have focused attention on the Galápagos problem. We hope this discussion will stimulate the refraction studies that are crucial to solution of the problem.

J. E. CASE

U.S. Geological Survey,

P.O. Box 6732,

Corpus Christi, Texas 78411

S. L. RYLAND

California Institute of Technology, Pasadena 91109

TOM SIMKIN

Smithsonian Institution,

Washington, D.C. 20560

K. A. HOWARD

U.S. Geological Survey, Menlo Park, California 94025

References

1. S. L. Ryland, thesis, University of Missouri, Columbia (1971). 21 March 1974

strate that ". . . college women who do not know the principle do not readily learn it in tasks designed to optimize self-discovery of the concept." Both conclusions seem unwarranted by their data.

The study has two potentially serious experimental flaws. First, their proce-