AMERICAN ASSOCIATION FOR THE ADVANCEMENT OF SCIENCE

Science serves its readers as a forum for the presentation and discussion of important issues related to the advancement of science, including the presentation of minority or conflicting points of view, rather than by publishing only material on which a consensus has been reached. Accordingly, all articles published in *Science*—including editorials, news and comment, and book reviews —are signed and reflect the individual views of the authors and not official points of view adopted by the AAAS or the institutions with which the authors are affiliated.

Editorial Board 1974

FRANK W. PUTNAM MAXINE F. SINGER

GORDON WOLMAN

Alfred Brown James F. Crow Seymour S. Kety Frank Press

1975

HERBERT S. GUTOWSKY DONALD LINDSLEY N. BRUCE HANNAY RUTH PATRICK DONALD KENNEDY RAYMOND H. THOMPSON DANIEL E. KOSHLAND, JR.

Editorial Staff

Editor

PHILIP H. ABELSON

 Publisher
 Business
 Manager

 WILLIAM
 BEVAN
 HANS
 NUSSBAUM

 Managing
 Editor:
 ROBERT
 V. ORMES

Assistant Editors: ELLEN E. MURPHY, JOHN E. RINGLE

Assistants to the Editors: NANCY TEIMOURIAN, PATRICIA ROWE

News and Comment: JOHN WALSH, LUTHER J. CARTER, DEBORAH SHAPLEY, ROBERT GILLETTE, NICHO-LAS WADE, CONSTANCE HOLDEN, BARBARA J. CULLITON, SCHERRAINE MACK

Research News: Allen L. Hammond, William D. Metz, Thomas H. Maugh II, Jean L. Marx, Arthur L. Robinson, Gina Bari Kolata

Book Reviews: Sylvia Eberhart, Katherine Livingston, Ann O'Brien

Cover Editor: GRAYCE FINGER

Editorial Assistants: MARGARET ALLEN, ISABELLA BOULDIN, ELEANORE BUTZ, MARY DORFMAN, JUDITH GIVELBER, CORRINE HARRIS, NANCY HARTNAGEL, OLIVER HEATWOLE, CHRISTINE KARLIK, MARGARET LLOYD, ERIC POGGENPOHL, JEAN ROCKWOOD, LEAH RYAN, LOIS SCHMITT, MICHAEL SCHWARTZ, RICHARD SEMIKLOSE, YA LI SWIGART, ELEANOR WARNER

Guide to Scientific Instruments: RICHARD SOMMER

Membership Recruitment: GWENDOLYN HUDDLE; Subscription Records and Member Records: ANN RAGLAND

Advertising Staff

 Director
 Production Manager

 EARL J. SCHERAGO
 MARGARET STERLING

Advertising Sales Manager: RICHARD L. CHARLES Sales: NEW YORK, N.Y. 10036: Herbert L. Burklund, 11 W. 42 St. (212-PE-6-1858); SCOTCH PLAINS, N.J. 07076: C. Richard Callis, 12 Unami Lane (201-889-4873); CHICAGO, ILL. 60611: Jack Ryan, Room 2107, 919 N. Michigan Ave. (312-DE-7-4973); BEV-ERLY HILLS, CALIF. 90211: Winn Nance, 111 N. La Cienega Blvd. (213-657-2772)

Clenega BIVG. (213-037-2772)
EDITORIAL CORRESPONDENCE: 1515 Massachusetts Ave., NW, Washington, D.C. 20005. Phones: (Area code 202) Central Office: 467-4350; Book Reviews: 467-4367; Business Office: 467-4411; Circulation: 467-4417; Guide to Scientific Instruments: 467-4480; News and Comment: 467-4430; Reprints and Permissions: 467-4443; Research News: 467-4321; Reviewing: 467-4440, Cable: Advancesci, Washington. Copies of "Instructions for Contributors" can be obtained from the editorial office. See also page xv. Science, 29 March 1974. ADVERTISING CORRE-SPONDENCE: Room 1740, 11 W. 42 St., New York, N.Y. 10036. Phone: 212-PE-6-1858.

A Lost Opportunity

The moment of the oil embargo provided a great opportunity for giant strides toward energy self-sufficiency, but that opportunity has been lost. The American public is resuming its earlier habits of energy wastage. Sales of the heavier automobiles are moving up. Gasoline consumption is already about even with that of a year ago. The signs point to a resumption of the trends of last September when we were sharply increasing our dependence on imports of oil.

Visible motion in government of a useful kind is not now apparent. On the contrary, the replacement of Mr. Simon as Energy Czar by Mr. Sawhill marks a resumption of a debilitating pattern of rapid change in the top administration of energy matters (*Science*, 28 December 1973). Useful political action on energy is unlikely as long as impeachment looms. But even after that matter is disposed of, decisive action may be long in coming.

There are many impediments to moving toward long-term solutions of our energy problems. One of these is inability to arrive at a judicious means of balancing environmental concerns and energy needs. Most everyone would agree that it is necessary to have food, to keep warm in winter, to have convenient means of transportation, and to have electricity in the home. To provide these requires the use of large amounts of energy and accordingly some disruption of the environment. But most people still seem to feel that they can have unlimited energy while enjoying a perfect environment.

Another handicap in moving toward a solution to energy problems is that we seem to have innumerable options.

According to the ancient fable, there was once a jackass who found himself exactly midway between two haystacks. The animal looked first one way and then the other, but could not make up his mind which way to go. Alas, he starved to death.

This country is in something of the same situation with respect to its choices regarding energy. Only this time there are many more options. It's as if we were the animal in the center of a field surrounded by a circle of haystacks. They are marked, for example, geothermal energy, solar energy, thermonuclear energy, breeder reactors, energy from the Gulf Stream, energy from coal, oil from the continental shelves, boiling water reactors. But the rules of the game for us are complicated. Whenever we start thinking of moving toward one of the options, someone yells "Environmental Impact." Whereupon, we must return to our starting point and reevaluate all the possibilities.

Given innumerable options, how do we proceed? First, we can simplify the choice somewhat if we recognize that there are different solutions for different time periods. For the long run, we should move vigorously to utilize solar energy in its direct and indirect forms. For the next decade or more, the key problem is hydrocarbons.

Our government functioned rather well in meeting the emergency, but the steps taken so far toward solving the hydrocarbon problems of the next decade have not been effective. The increase in the price of domestic oil has not brought forth additional supplies. Indeed, production of crude oil is about 2 percent below that of a year ago. Moreover, higher prices for gasoline are enriching someone, but they have had almost no effect on consumption. It would probably require a tax of about \$1 a gallon to bring about the kind of conservation we must have if we are to move toward a true energy independence within the next decade.—PHILIP H. ABELSON

This editorial was in part derived from a talk delivered to the American Geophysical Union in Washington, D.C., 10 April 1974.

SCIENCE