

Book Reviews

Arrangement of the Planets

The Titius-Bode Law of Planetary Distances. Its History and Theory. MICHAEL MARTIN NIETO. Pergamon, New York, 1973. xii, 162 pp., illus. \$11. International Series of Monographs in Natural Philosophy, vol. 47.

In 1766, Johann Daniel Titius inserted into his German translation of Bonnet's *Contemplation de la Nature* his own rule of planetary distances. In 1772, in the second edition of the translation, Titius removed the rule from the text and reinserted it as a translator's footnote, thus claiming authorship. That same year, there appeared in a footnote in the second edition of Bode's *Anleitung zur Kenntniss des gestirnten Himmels* the same rule described in much the same language. In this edition there was no reference to Titius, but in later works and certainly by the ninth edition (published in 1823), Bode acknowledged that he first saw the law in Titius's translation. Thus, Nieto assigns priority for discovery of the law to Titius.

In its original and still most widely quoted form, the Titius-Bode law states that the distance (r_n) of the n th planet from the Sun is given by $r_n = 0.4 + 0.3 \times 2^n$, where the unit of distance is the astronomical unit (A.U.). To apply this mnemonic, set $n = 0$ for Venus, 1 for Earth, 2 for Mars, 4 for Jupiter, and 5 for Saturn. For Mercury, one must take $n = -\infty$, an unesthetic complication. In 1766, the third "orbital" was vacant and no planets were known beyond Saturn. In 1781, William Herschel discovered Uranus at 19.2 A.U., remarkably close to the $n = 6$ value of 19.6. In 1801, the vacant orbital at 2.8 A.U. was filled by the discovery of the asteroid Ceres at 2.77 A.U. At that point, with two predictions to its credit, it would have been hard to deny the validity of this law. Since then it has not fared so well. Neptune was discovered at 30.1 instead of 38.8 A.U.; Pluto came in at 39.5 instead of 77.2 A.U. Thousands of asteroids were discovered in a wide belt between 2.1 and 3.3 A.U. The picture became untidy.

In the first 50 pages of his monograph, Nieto gives us a lively and broad summary of the history of the Titius-Bode law, supplemented with 131 references. The remainder of the book is devoted to the theory.

Most modern cosmogonists consider the approximately constant spacing ratio of the planets to be one datum, which, together with the planetary masses, angular momenta, chemical composition, and isotopic abundances, provides boundary conditions for theories of the origin of the solar system. The numerous variants of the Titius-Bode law, when they pretend to be an exact law governing planetary distances, are dismissed as exercises in numerology. I share this view.

Nieto is a member of an active minority who ascribe a fundamental significance to the law. He favors a variant developed by M. A. Blagg in 1913 and again by D. E. Richardson in 1945, which amends the constant spacing ratio by multiplying it by a periodic correction term. This does improve the fit and, to my mind, is a tribute to the ingenuity of the curve fitters. Nieto, on the other hand, takes the position that the planets were born in accordance with a constant spacing ratio and evolved to the periodic correction term. This notion stands by itself. It is neither supported nor rejected by the dozen theories of the origin of the solar system that he summarizes in the theory section of the book. His summaries are succinct and readable, and again he supplies a liberal number of references (93). His selection of theories is highly biased, as he admits, but he does provide references to more comprehensive reviews.

Nieto joins a continuing line of astronomers who have been absorbed by the Titius-Bode law for more than two centuries. Its history is fascinating. Its theory is weak.

MYRON LECAR

Center for Astrophysics,
Harvard College Observatory and
Smithsonian Astrophysical Observatory,
Cambridge, Massachusetts

Tropical River

Limnology of a Small Malayan River Sungai Gombak. JOHN E. BISHOP. Junk, The Hague, 1973. viii, 486 pp., illus. Dfl. 120. Monographiae Biologicae, vol. 22.

This is essentially a doctoral thesis in published form, and inevitably it has both the merits and the defects of such a document. The merits are its inclusiveness and careful documentation and referencing; the defect is that it is not easy for a reader to skim through it in search of points that are of interest to him. A good index to some extent offsets that problem.

The author made an intensive study of a small river near Kuala Lumpur, from its headwaters in the rain forest, through zones of rubber plantations and intensive cultivation, into a heavily populated area. This work thus covers a wide range of conditions in a part of the world where, as almost everywhere in the tropics, very little was known of stream limnology.

There is information on the climate, geography, geology, soils, and land use of the valley, and great amounts of data, in graphs and tables, on the light regime and rainfall in the valley, water chemistry, temperature, substrate types and erosional load of the river, and lithology and organic matter of the sediments. Most of these data were gathered monthly for at least a year, and they represent a prodigious amount of work.

The algae of the river and the invertebrate fauna, which were also sampled each month, and the fishes, which were sampled less often, are similarly dealt with in a somewhat massive manner, with long lists of names, indices of abundance, and tabulations of data. It is a pity that some of the peculiar creatures in this fauna were not depicted, as this would have added interest and made the book far more informative.

In addition to all this mass of facts there are sections on primary production, benthic sampling, zonation, drift, vertical distribution of the benthos, longitudinal migration, and pollution, which are accompanied by excellent and well-documented discussions. Moreover, these are undertaken from the unusual viewpoint of the tropics, and this greatly enhances their value.

While this is not a book for the general reader, it will be of particular interest to the biologists of Southeast Asia and of value to all serious students of running water. It provides

one of the very few accounts of the limnology of a river in the tropics, and a very complete and up-to-date bibliography. I hope that it will serve as an example to biologists in other tropical lands where streams are just as threatened by man's activities as are temperate ones. May they also be inspired to go out and find out about their own rivers and from what they need protection.

H. B. N. HYNES

*Department of Biology,
University of Waterloo,
Waterloo, Ontario*

Determination of Sex

Genetics of Sex Differentiation. URSULA MITTWOCH. Academic Press, New York, 1973. xiv, 254 pp., illus. \$16.50.

While there are numerous theories on the mechanism of sex differentiation, particularly in mammals, no single hypothesis has gained general acceptance. Ursula Mittwoch, who has made important contributions in the past in her studies of nuclear sexing, here presents a distillation of her current ideas on the role of the sex chromosomes in development. She suggests that it may be the mitotic regulatory effects of the X and Y chromosomes that determine gonadal differentiation. She further argues that the differential growth of XX and XY cells is mediated by specific chromosomal regions as well as by intra-uterine environmental factors. Mittwoch has obviously come to the conclusion that simple genic determination of the sexual phenotype is invalid.

Fortunately, Mittwoch has developed her arguments in a way that gives the book merit well beyond that of its underlying thesis. She has, for example, provided an incisive review of the classical concepts of sex determination and discussed the possible role of heterochromatin in sex differentiation. She points out that the sex chromosomes contain an inordinate amount of facultative, as opposed to constitutive (or structural), heterochromatin, with the best example of facultative heterochromatinization being the genetic inactivation of one of the two homologous X chromosomes in the mammalian female cell. The critical nature of the largely heterochromatic Y chromosome in sexual differentiation has long been recognized, but the mechanism of Y chromosome action is still not clear.

Mittwoch argues against several hypotheses that have been proposed in recent years as alternatives to the classical idea of large numbers of male- and female-determining genes. For example, Hamerton has hypothesized that the Y bears a controlling center that activates a specific gene located on the X chromosome. This X-linked gene is said to produce an inducer which stimulates the medulla of the primitive gonad with subsequent differentiation of that gonad into a testis. As Mittwoch suggests, while the Y is indeed the primary sex determiner, there is no evidence for an inducer gene, let alone for its location on the X chromosome.

The sex differentiation hypotheses of Boczkowski and Ohno are also discussed—Boczkowski's idea requiring hypothetical genes on the X (a gonadal inhibitor gene) and Y (a repressor-producing gene which is specific for the X-linked inhibitor gene), and Ohno's requiring an X-linked regulator of testosterone production.

The reader interested in mechanisms of sex determination will find this a superb review not only of the traditional concepts of sexual differentiation but also of several of the more recent hypotheses. As Mittwoch admits, however, her own hypothesis is formulated as yet only in broad terms. While her hypothesis is thought-provoking, it, like the hypotheses she criticizes, is not totally acceptable. In support of the idea of chromosomal regulation of growth, Mittwoch cites the relationship of chromosomal volume to mitotic activity and the relationship of chromosomal size to the duration of the cell cycle. These observations are generally valid, but it is difficult, indeed, to see how the longer generation time of triploid and tetraploid nuclei may be compared with possible XX and XY replication differences. One can readily concur with Mittwoch's assessment of the limitation of viewing sex determination as the result of simple Mendelian gene control; but it is difficult to accept the notion that sex determination takes place on the basis of preferential growth of XY cells. Mittwoch's fundamental argument is that, in males, the testicular gonadal tissue grows faster than the primitive ovarian tissue because of the presence of a Y chromosome. She may be correct in this, but further proof is needed. With formation of the testis, androgens are secreted and masculinization of the

reproductive tract is the result. The normal female reproductive tract is viewed as being produced simply in the absence of the masculinizing effect of fetal androgens.

Mittwoch's book is valuable, then, in two ways: First, it presents an excellent, updated review of fundamental aspects of sex determination, with the discussions of the formation and the function of X and Y heterochromatin being especially well done. Mittwoch ranges over a wide portion of the evolutionary tree in her discussion, which enhances the appeal of this book to biological scientists. Second, she reviews the major theories of sex determination and adds her own. While no one theory seems able as yet to explain sex determination in a totally satisfactory way, the ideas that are presented here are clearly among the best attempts.

ARTHUR D. BLOOM

*Department of Human Genetics,
University of Michigan Medical School,
Ann Arbor*

Activity of the Thymus

Thymic Hormones. T. D. LUCKEY, Ed. University Park Press, Baltimore, 1973. xviii, 376 pp., illus. \$19.50.

Scientific interest in the thymus was awakened anew in 1960 when Good, Miller, and soon thereafter a host of other investigators demonstrated the critical role the thymus played in the development of the immune system. As so often happens when such a breakthrough occurs, the past is temporarily forgotten as the new concept coupled with new technology leads to a cascade of data and insights.

Thymic Hormones, edited by T. D. Luckey and authored by many of the old-timers in the thymus business, brings together a past and present perspective that should now be again incorporated into our thoughts regarding the role of the thymus. The subject of thymic hormones was, and in some respects remains, a very controversial one. Early work in this area was conceptually sound and fascinating, but the data resulting from much of the experimental work were thin and often failed to support the hypotheses convincingly. *Thymic Hormones* presents a thorough review of past as well as new work in this area. Much of the information is not readily available else-