AMERICAN ASSOCIATION FOR THE ADVANCEMENT OF SCIENCE

Science serves its readers as a forum for the presentation and discussion of important issues related to the advancement of science, including the presentation of minority or conflicting points of view, rather than by publishing only material on which a consensus has been reached. Accordingly, all articles published in *Science*—including editorials, news and comment, and book reviews —are signed and reflect the individual views of the authors and not official points of view adopted by the AAAS or the institutions with which the authors are affiliated.

Editorial Board

1973 H. S. GUTOWSKY GARDNER LINDZEY ARTHUR D. HASLER RAYMOND H. THOMPSON RUDOLF KOMPFNER EDWARD O. WILSON DANIEL E. KOSHLAND, JR.

1974

FRANK W. PUTNAM

MAXINE SINGER GORDON WOLMAN

Alfred Brown James F. Crow Seymour S. Kety Frank Press

Editorial Staff

Editor

PHILIP H. ABELSON

PublisherBusiness ManagerWILLIAM BEVANHANS NUSSBAUM

Managing Editor: Robert V. Ormes Assistant Editors: Ellen E. Murphy, John E.

Ringle

Assistant to the Editor: NANCY TEIMOURIAN

News and Comment: JOHN WALSH, LUTHER J. CARTER, DEBORAH SHAPLEY, ROBERT GILLETTE, NICHO-LAS WADE, CONSTANCE HOLDEN, BARBARA J. CULLITON, SCHERRAINE MACK

Research News: Allen L. HAMMOND, WILLIAM D. METZ, THOMAS H. MAUGH II, JEAN L. MARX, ARTHUR L. ROBINSON

Book Reviews: Sylvia Eberhart, Katherine Liv-Ingston, Ann O'Brien

Cover Editor: GRAYCE FINGER

Editorial Assistants: MARGARET ALLEN, ISABELLA BOULDIN, BLAIR BURNS, ELEANORE BUTZ, MARY DORF-MAN, JUDITH GIVELBER, CORRINE HARRIS, NANCY HARITNAGEL, OLIVER HEATWOLE, CHRISTINE KARLIK, GINA BARI KOLATA, MARGARET LLOYD, JEAN ROCK-WOOD, PATRICIA ROWE, LEAH RYAN, LOIS SCHMITT, MICHAEL SCHWARTZ, RICHARD SEMIKLOSE, YA LI SWIGART

Guide to Scientific Instruments: RICHARD SOMMER

Membership Recruitment: GWENDOLYN HUDDLE; Subscription Records and Member Records: ANN RAGLAND

Advertising Staff

DirectorProductionManagerEARL J. SCHERAGOMARGARETSTERLING

Advertising Sales Manager: RICHARD L. CHARLES

Sales: New YORK, N.Y. 10036: Herbert L. Burklund, 11 W. 42 St. (212-PE-6-1858); SCOTCH PLAINS, N.J. 07076: C. Richard Callis, 12 Unami Lane (201-889-4873); CHICAGO, ILL. 60611: John P. Cahill, Room 2107, 919 N. Michigan Ave. (312-DE-7-4973); BE-RLY HILLS, CALIF. 90211: Winn Nance, 111 N. La Cienega Blvd. (213-657-2772)

EDITORIAL CORRESPONDENCE: 1515 Massachusetts Ave., NW, Washington, D.C. 20005. Phones: (Area code 202) Central Office: 467-4350; Book Reviews: 467-4367; Business Office: 467-4411; Circulation: 467-4417; Guide to Scientific Instruments: 467-4480; News and Comment: 467-4430; Reprints and Permissions: 467-4483; Research News: 467-4321; Reviewing: 467-4440. Cable: Advancesci, Washington. Copies of "Instructions for Contributors" can be obtained from the editorial office. See also page xv, *Science*, 28 September 1973. ADVERTISING COR-**RESPONDENCE:** Room 1740, 11 W. 42 St., New York, N.Y. 10036. Phone: 212-PE-6-1858.

Increasing World Energy Supplies

Even were the Middle East conflict to end tomorrow, even were Israel to vanish, the world would not resume the relationships of early 1973. The oil-consuming nations have become aware of their vulnerability. The oil-producing and exporting countries have learned that they have the power to drive up the price for oil while making erstwhile great nations subservient.

SCIENCE

Up to a point, the producing countries have justice on their side. Until recently, they received about \$2 a barrel (42 gallons, or about 159 liters) for their nonrenewable resources. Western Europe and Japan enjoyed great prosperity, in part at the expense of the oil producers. A readjustment in relationships was inevitable. The price increases, which began to quicken 2 years ago, then had a doubling time of about 2 years. Recently, the doubling time has been on the order of weeks, and a news account says that the Russians sold a shipment of oil to the Danes for \$30 a barrel. How far will the oil producers go? A price adjustment and more sparing use of energy is one thing. Economic chaos for Western Europe and Japan and hardship for other consuming nations is another. The situation could deteriorate to the kind of disaster that the Russians have longed for.

Prospects are grave enough to make it desirable for the United States urgently to consider how it might exercise world leadership.

For its part, the United States has enormous resources of industrial, technological and scientific capability. It also has oil shale and coal whose total potential is more than ten times that of the Middle East oil fields. Conventional U.S. sources of petroleum on land and on the continental shelves are substantial and could be expanded rather quickly if the social and financial climate were favorable. However, we should not assume the burden alone. The Canadians possess the great Athabasca Tar Sands, with oil potential perhaps twice that of the Arabs. The Japanese and the Western Europeans could contribute hardware and technological skills toward development of the Tar Sands.

A combination of rigorous conservation and additional sources of hydrocarbons could free the world of the threat of chaos and place limits on the price the oil-producing countries could exact. Even the prospect that the United States and others were moving decisively together would have a moderating effect on Arab behavior.

Such an effort would require the expenditure of \$100 billion or more by industry and governments as well as superb organization. Given a performance comparable to our best in World War II, large additional amounts of hydrocarbons could be available in 2 or 3 years.

The technology for producing oil from the Athabasca Tar Sands is already tested. Costs are about \$4 per barrel. Conventional earth-moving equipment is used to mine the oil sands. The crude oil is separated from sand by treatment with hot water. A vast increase in production would require leadership by the Canadians and suitable arrangements for providing the production equipment.

The development of the potential for very large additional hydrocarbon production in the United States would entail innovation. Underground retorting of the Green River Shale could permit large-scale production of oil with minimal environmental impact at costs of \$4 a barrel or less.

To date, our approach to the energy problem has been self-centered and weak. We have talked of energy independence in 1980, and the Atomic Energy Commission has produced a report that is not even a blueprint for such a limited objective. We are capable of greatness, and we should demonstrate it.—PHILIP H. ABELSON