

AMERICAN ASSOCIATION FOR THE ADVANCEMENT OF SCIENCE

Science serves its readers as a forum for the presentation and discussion of important issues related to the advancement of science, including the presentation of minority or conflicting points of view, rather than by publishing only material on which a consensus has been reached. Accordingly, all articles published in *Science*—including editorials, news and comment, and book reviews—are signed and reflect the individual views of the authors and not official points of view adopted by the AAAS or the institutions with which the authors are affiliated.

Editorial Board

1973

H. S. GUTOWSKY	GARDNER LINDZEY
ARTHUR D. HASLER	RAYMOND H. THOMPSON
RUDOLF KOMPNER	EDWARD O. WILSON
DANIEL E. KOSHLAND, JR.	

1974

ALFRED BROWN	FRANK W. PUTNAM
JAMES F. CROW	MAXINE SINGER
SEYMOUR S. KETY	GORDON WOLMAN
FRANK PRESS	

Editorial Staff

Editor

PHILIP H. ABELSON

Publisher

WILLIAM BEVAN

Business Manager

HANS NUSSBAUM

Managing Editor: ROBERT V. ORMES

Assistant Editors: ELLEN E. MURPHY, JOHN E. RINGLE

Assistant to the Editor: NANCY TEIMOURIAN

News and Comment: JOHN WALSH, LUTHER J. CARTER, DEBORAH SHAPLEY, ROBERT GILLETTE, NICOLAS WADE, CONSTANCE HOLDEN, BARBARA J. CULLITON, SCHERRAINE MACK

Research News: ALLEN L. HAMMOND, WILLIAM D. METZ, THOMAS H. MAUGH II, JEAN L. MARK, ARTHUR L. ROBINSON

Book Reviews: SYLVIA EBERHART, KATHERINE LIVINGSTON, ANN SELTZ-PETRASH

Cover Editor: GRAYCE FINGER

Editorial Assistants: MARGARET ALLEN, ISABELLA BOULDIN, BLAIR BURNS, ELEANORE BUTZ, MARY DORFMAN, JUDITH GIVELBER, CORRINE HARRIS, NANCY HARTNAGEL, OLIVER HEATWOLE, CHRISTINE KARLIK, GINA BARI KOLATA, MARGARET LLOYD, JEAN ROCKWOOD, PATRICIA ROWE, LEAH RYAN, JOHN SCHAUER, LOIS SCHMITT, MICHAEL SCHWARTZ, RICHARD SEMIKLOSE, YA LI SWIGART

Guide to Scientific Instruments: RICHARD SOMMER

Membership Recruitment: GWENDOLYN HUDDLE; Subscription Records and Member Records: ANN RAGLAND

Advertising Staff

Director

EARL J. SCHERAGO

Production Manager

MARGARET STERLING

Advertising Sales Manager: RICHARD L. CHARLES

Sales: NEW YORK, N.Y. 10036: Herbert L. Burkland, 11 W. 42 St. (212-PE-6-1858); SCOTCH PLAINS, N.J. 07076: C. Richard Callis, 12 Unami Lane (201-889-4873); CHICAGO, ILL. 60611: John P. Cahill, Room 2107, 919 N. Michigan Ave. (312-DE-7-4973); BEVERLY HILLS, CALIF. 90211: Winn Nance, 111 N. La Cienega Blvd. (213-657-2772)

EDITORIAL CORRESPONDENCE: 1515 Massachusetts Ave., NW, Washington, D.C. 20005. Phones: (Area code 202) Central Office: 467-4350; Book Reviews: 467-4367; Business Office: 467-4411; Circulation: 467-4417; Guide to Scientific Instruments: 467-4480; News and Comment: 467-4430; Reprints and Permissions: 467-4483; Research News: 467-4321; Reviewing: 467-4440. Cable: Advancesci, Washington. Copies of "Instructions for Contributors" can be obtained from the editorial office. See also page xv, *Science*, 28 September 1973. ADVERTISING CORRESPONDENCE: Room 1740, 11 W. 42 St., New York, N.Y. 10036. Phone: 212-PE-6-1858.

Environmental Priorities

Billions of dollars are being spent on construction of facilities designed to stem the flow of pollutants into our continental waters. Tens of millions are being spent on research aimed at matching existing technical knowledge with these national needs. Almost nothing is being spent on research devoted to understanding the ecosystems we seek to save. The reason that such monies are not available to the scientific community is as follows. The research aspect of the National Science Foundation has almost no constituency in this area and is hesitant in times of tight appropriations to divert any of its precious funds to this new area—especially since many millions are being devoted to water research by the Environmental Protection Agency, the National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration, the Atomic Energy Commission, and other groups, including the National Science Foundation's own new division, RANN (Research Applied to National Needs). Unfortunately, these groups are virtually prevented by legislation from funding anything that has the smell of basic research.

Since we cannot afford the cost of totally stemming the flow of all pollutants, we must go the route of partial control of selected pollutants. Which should we select? By how much should their flow be cut? For example, recent research on phosphorus makes it clear that any cut in the rate at which it enters a eutrophied lake will produce a corresponding cut in the standing crop of algae. This does not mean, however, that by eliminating the flow of phosphorus into the lake we can be sure of solving its algae problem. The reason is that almost all the phosphorus previously added to the lake lies buried in sediment. The degree to which this phosphorus will be recycled is not predictable because we lack sufficient knowledge of the geochemistry and biochemistry of sedimentary phosphorus. There is little point in cutting the flow of new phosphorus much below the rate of return of the old.

By contrast, in many rivers and estuaries, phosphorus and its fellow nutrients go unused by plants. For some reason, plants do not respond to the presence of these basic building blocks in rivers and estuaries as they do in lakes and oceans. Is it the high silt load of rivers, their toxicity, or their rapid rate of flow that prevents response? Until we have the answer, it is not clear whether the steps we are taking to clean up these ecosystems will succeed.

The fate of toxic metals, reactor radioactivities, intestinal bacteria, and organic wastes are even less understood. For every 100 questions that could be asked about the fate of any of these substances, answers exist for only one or two. Even the techniques needed for studies are yet to be developed. Means of measuring the rates of turbulent mixing are yet to be perfected, means of reconstructing chemical histories from the sedimentary record must be devised, and means of monitoring the key dissolved and particular constituents still must be engineered. Computer modeling of these ecosystems is largely without any factual base. Until we know much more about the processes taking place in these ecosystems, the predictive power of these models is at best questionable. A little basic research would bear considerable fruit.

Much could be done if even a modest fraction of the vast research expenditures on these problems were allocated on the basis of the investigator's skill as a scientist rather than on the basis of his willingness to put aside his natural instincts and devote his attention to the immediate needs of some government agency. It is to be hoped that the scientific community will work to correct this problem lest another decade pass and we only then begin to discover how naive our approach has been to the very difficult problem of managing the quality of our waters.—W. S. BROECKER, *Lamont-Doherty Geological Observatory, Columbia University, Palisades, New York 10964*