

Slicing It Pretty Thin

It's a safe bet you won't find one in every household. Or in every laboratory. But if you're moving in the sort of specialized area of <u>electrophoretic</u> <u>analysis of RNA</u>, for example, and you have to serve up slices of polyacrylamide gels, a lot of laboratory types think the MICKLE GEL SLICER is the best thing since

delicatessens. It figures.

How else can you cut a frozen gel column up to 10 cm long and 1 cm thick into flaw-



less slices of less than 1.0 mm, in increments of 0.1 mm, and leave the rest of the column undisturbed?

Cutting force and blade angle are adjustable for hard-frozen dilute gels, or softer, concentrated cylinders. Slices are easily collected for processing and scintillation counting.

Twenty cuts per minute. Foot switch leaves hands free. Electromagnetic counter keeps score on slices. Write for complete details.

How To Look Good, Fast.

Costs being what they are today, the guy (or gal) who can save a few dollars gets the hero medal. Here's a way to look good while you're looking good and fast (while you're rap-



idly scanning polyacrylamide gel columns optically, that is). Be the first to recommend purchase of the

VICON LINEAR GEL SCANNER -the attachment that fits right into your Zeiss PMQ II Spec. cell compartment without modification (and avoids costly instrument duplication).

It scans at 6 mm/min-even faster (25 mm/min) for coarser separations --in either direction. Resolution? Slit aperture is 100 u thin to catch those narrow bands. Columns to 10×100 mm can be handled. Wavelength is variable from 200 to 750 mu. And there are a host of options available to meet your specific needs. Want to scan fast? Want to look good? Get the details. Write:



Dept. B.G.C. Brinkmann Instruments, Inc. Cantiague Road, Westbury, N.Y. 11590 (516/334-7500) Brinkmann Instruments (Canada). I dd.

Brinkmann Instruments (Canada), Ltd. 50 Galaxy Boulevard, Rexdale (Toronto), Ontario

LETTERS

Prophecy

In his editorial "The support of science" (1 June, p. 909), Arthur Kornberg quotes from the Book of Proverbs: "Where there is no vision, the people perish" (italics mine). This quotation is from a secondary source (Old Testament, King James Version) and is incorrect. The Hebrew text (Torah, Nevi'im, Ukhtuvim) reads: "Beain hazon ipara am," and the correct translation of this verse is, "Where there is no vision, the people become unruly" (italics mine). Thus, if Proverbs 29:18 applies to the consequences of limited support to biomedical research, the prophecy of the original text is not as catastrophic as that of the King James Version.

OVED SHIFRISS

21 Walter Avenue, Highland Park, New Jersey 08904

Energy Efficiency

In his article "Energy conservation" (13 Apr., p. 155), G. A. Lincoln mentions only briefly the most energy efficient forms of urban transportation available—walking and bicycling. For an 84-kilogram person (about 185 pounds), walking requires about 264×10^3 joules per passenger-kilometer; with

a 10-kilogram bicycle, bicycling requires only 59.4×10^3 joules per passenger-kilometer (1) compared to 450×10^3 joules per passenger-kilometer for the most efficient type of masstransit reported by Lincoln (2). Of course these figures do not account for the low thermal efficiency of modern agriculture, which provides the human energy, but no doubt the figures for petroleum-powered vehicles do not include the energy needed for extraction or conversion to electricity.

Increasingly bicycles are becoming a viable and prominent commuting mode. In congested urban areas, bicycles provide door-to-door convenience with shorter trip times than those in automobiles (based on personal experience). Last year more bicycles than automobiles were sold (3), and at least two companies have developed enclosed pedal-powered vehicles designed for urban transit. In spite of the legal barriers and physical hazards of bicycling in an automobile-oriented

urban transportation system, this efficient and pollution-free commuting mode continues to expand and should play a role in future urban planning. CLARK BINKLEY

Urban Systems Research & Engineering, Inc., 1218 Massachusetts Avenue, Cambridge, Massachusetts 02138

References and Notes

- S. S. Wilson, Sci. Amer. 228, 90 (March 1973). The figures were converted to the International System following B. B. Barrow [Science 179, 1181 (1973)].
- [Science 179, 1181 (1973)].
 2. The efficiency of a suburban train is 200 passenger-miles per gallon of fuel. Approximate conversion factors are: 42 gallons = 1 barrel; 10¹⁵ British thermal units = 172 × 10⁶ barrels; 1.609 kilometers = 1 mile; 1055 joules = 1 British thermal unit.
 3. According to Environ. News (Reg. 1) X, No. 3 (March 1973), more than 11 million birgular ware speed, in 1772 compared with
- 3. According to Environ. News (Reg. 1) X, No. 3 (March 1973), more than 11 million bicycles were sold in 1972, compared with 10.3 million automobiles. For the first time in the history of the industry, most of the bicycle sales were to adults.

Peer Review Appeal Mechanism

Rather than totally dismantling the peer review system (News and Comment, 25 May, p. 843; 8 June, p. 1035), the National Institutes of Health (NIH) might well consider reforming its procedures to allow for the establishment of an appeal panel. To me, the most serious problem with the existing study sections is the lack of effective means of appealing their unfavorable decisions. If a reconsideration is requested, it is made by the same group that made the rejection. Most areas in academia provide for appeals in cases of tenure, promotion, and other matters, and there would be great value in having the same safeguards for NIH grants. In spite of all efforts to keep personalities out of grants, there are cases, however rare, when something other than scholarly or scientific judgment intervenes.

VERN L. BULLOUGH Department of History, California State University, Northridge 91324

Cost of Environmental Quality

Luther Carter (News and Comment, 30 Mar., p. 1310) hails the success of the Sierra Club and its allies in forcing the Columbia LNG Corporation to modify its plans for a receiving terminal for Algerian natural gas at Cove Point, Maryland.

610

Quite aside from the merits of the environmental arguments, I must protest the tactics of the Sierra Club, which can be viewed as little better than thinly disguised blackmail by the gas users of the Washington, D.C., area who ultimately will have to pay the additional costs of the modified plan. Let no one be deceived; it is the consumer who will pay the added bill, not Columbia LNG.

This decision was arrived at by attorneys for Columbia LNG and the Sierra Club without the benefit of consultation with responsible public officials of the area concerned, and certainly without the public hearings that usually precede such decisions.

In this brave new world of environmentalism, who speaks for the taxpayer and consumer? Certainly neither the Sierra Club or Columbia LNG can claim to in this matter. It is time that the public and their responsible officials had a voice in environmental matters of long-range concern to the area affected. Personally I don't want the Sierra Club deciding my gas bills for the next few decades, and I strongly protest the undemocratic, elitist flavor of this whole affair.

GEORGE H. DAVIS 10408 Insley Street, Silver Spring, Maryland 20902

Radiation Standards

Robert Gillette's review (News and Comment, 1 Dec. 1972, p. 966) of the National Academy of Sciences's report on "The effects on populations of exposure to low levels of ionizing radiation" was by and large accurate and helpful in emphasizing the implications for radiation protection. However, an impression of establishment influence was unfortunately created by the manner of reference to the fact that about a third of the parent academy committee of 20 are members of the National Council on Radiation Protection and Measurements (NCRP). Normally this would be trivial, but, because of previous controversy and especially because the value of the report will depend in large measure upon public confidence and acceptance, I should like to clarify this matter and reemphasize points of departure.

The academy report as a whole represents the thought and effort of some 50 members of the committee and its subcommittees, who were carefully selected to bring individual competence, judgment, and balance to the undertaking. They were exhorted to represent their personal views rather than those of any institution or organization with which they may have been affiliated. Naturally, careful study was made of the publications of various organizations, especially those of NCRP, because of its responsible role in radiation protection over the years. The interested scientist can read the report itself and make judgments as to varying points of view. However, for the benefit of those not familiar with radiation protection literature, I should like to generalize about the differences between the academy report and previous official documentation. These differences arise not so much from new data or new interpretations but rather from a philosophic approach to radiation protection generated by changing conditions and public attitudes.

The major differences I conceive to be as follows: (i) Numerical risk estimates for human populations exposed to low levels of ionizing radiation are presented together with the assumptions and compilations of the data on which they are based. (ii) Consideration is given to implications of possible effects of radiation on the environmenton organisms other than man. (iii) It is suggested that radiation protection standards not be set on an arbitrary basis, such as related to background levels (even though all agree that such levels will not produce observable effects), but rather should be established in terms of minimal exposures required to fill society's needs. Hopefully, it will be possible to make meaningful riskbenefit assessments, then to make costeffectiveness assessments so that logical decisions can be made as to the worth of any given effort to reduce the risk, and finally to choose among the options by comparing the biological and environmental costs.

Ultimately, these techniques for dealing with radiation protection (actually estimating the risks and the worth of reducing them) may provide guidance in the case of other pollutants, since the time is coming when priority decisions will have to be made in the allocation of limited resources for the maintenance and improvement of the quality of life. C. L. COMAR

Department of Physical Biology, New York State Veterinary College, Cornell University, Ithaca 14850

We put a lot more into NALGENE CARBOYS

Nalgene[®] carboys are popular in laboratories everywhere.

Here's why:

• Only virgin, premium-grade plastics resins are used.

• Tubulations, threaded bosses and grips are integrally-molded with the rest of the carboy. Won't leak like the welded type.

• Our quick-action spigot is the most reliable and easiest to use—designed and made by Nalge.

 Tough polypropylene screw closures are leak-proof.

 Made of the right resins to stand up to chemicals and rough use.

• You have the widest choice of rectangular or round shapes in plain, tubulated or spigoted models with wide or narrow mouth in a range of sizes from 1 to 13 gal.



Order Nalgene carboys and large bottles from your laboratory supply dealer's catalog, or write for your 1973 Nalgene Catalog—it describes them all . . . Nalgene Labware Division, Dept. 4208C, P.O. Box 367, Rochester, New York 14602.



Naigene® Labware ... the safe unbreakables-preferred by professionals.