

Read any
good books on
TLC lately?

Try ours.
It's free.

Write today for your free copy of the new 82-page Brinkmann TLC catalog. It contains the most complete assortment of thin-layer chromatography equipment and supplies, listing among others:

- 123 different pre-coated layers on glass plates, aluminum and plastic sheets.
- 132 different TLC sorbents.
- 15 different development tanks and numerous other completely new accessories.

Brinkmann is the most complete source for TLC, offering immediate delivery on all listed standard items. For your catalog, write: Brinkmann Instruments, Cantiague Rd., Westbury, N.Y. 11590. In Canada: Brinkmann Instruments (Canada) Ltd., 50 Galaxy Blvd., Rexdale (Toronto), Ont.

B Brinkmann

LETTERS

Herbicide Orange Surplus

I would like to provide an addendum to Deborah Shapley's report "Herbicides: Agent Orange stockpile may go to the South Americans" (News and Comment, 6 Apr., p. 43).

IRI Research Institute's (1) interest in herbicides and brush control problems dates back nearly 20 years. A brush control project was initiated in 1954 at the request of Brazilian farmers, whose pastures were being invaded and overrun by noxious species of tropical brush including indigenous poisonous species. Experimental work was initiated to control a number of these species of brush such as "Leiteiro" (*Tabernaemontana fuchsiaeifolia*) and "Amendoim" (*Pterogyne nitens*), and more than 2000 field plots were established in Brazil and Venezuela. The results of these studies and observations were published in four different bulletins and technical notes in English, Portuguese, and Spanish (2).

The basic ingredients of Herbicide Orange, 2,4-D and 2,4,5-T, have been sold and used commercially in Latin America for more than 20 years. These chemicals have controlled brush well in field applications and have improved pastures. However, their high cost has limited their use, and the surplus Herbicide Orange offers an opportunity to make some substantial improvements in pastures and livestock production at a significant saving to livestock producers.

There is a potential health hazard with the use of all agricultural chemicals. However, the possible hazard depends largely on how and where they are applied. The military applications of herbicides are reported to have been in the range of 27 pounds per acre, whereas the recommended applications to control pasture brush would be about 1 pound of 2,4,5-T per acre. Thus, the dosages are not comparable. Even common table salt can be a toxic and poisonous substance if taken at sufficiently high dosages.

It was found in the experimental studies that the most effective brush control in the tropics was obtained when herbicides were applied during the warm, wet season. These conditions are optimal for accelerated biodegradation, which would minimize the residue problem. Also it was found that the best results were obtained when animals were kept out of the sprayed pastures for 3 or 4 months following any spray

application. When the pasture grasses are not grazed and allowed to grow vigorously, they provide a type of biological control against the resprouting noxious brush species. Thus, by following these two procedures, there is only a minimum possibility that toxic materials will build up in the food chain.

The livestock industry in Latin America is located largely in remote areas and requires very little labor. Thus the potential human exposure in the field is at a minimum. To our knowledge there have been no injurious effects to men or animals resulting from the field application of these herbicides in Latin America.

If it is recognized that there are potential risks in the use of Herbicide Orange, the decision to use it is essentially a problem of balancing the risks against the benefits. Any risks can be minimized by proper handling and application. The proposed project includes an extensive educational and demonstration program to assure proper handling. A realistic value judgment overwhelmingly indicates that the results of the proper application of Herbicide Orange would be favorable.

JEROME F. HARRINGTON

IRI Research Institute, Inc., One
Rockefeller Plaza, New York 10020

References and Notes

1. IRI is a nonprofit organization founded in 1950. The name was changed in 1963 from IBEC Research Institute to IRI Research Institute, Inc.
2. L. R. Quinn, K. L. Swierczynski, W. L. Schilman, F. H. Gullöve, *Experimental Program on Brush Control in Brazilian Pastures* (IBEC Research Institute Bulletin No. 10, New York, 1956); [Programa Experimental de Controle de Arbustos em Pastagens Brasileiras (IBEC Research Institute Bulletin No. 10, São Paulo, 1956); Programa Experimental Sobre el Control de los Arbustos en los Pastizales Brasileños (IBEC Research Institute Bulletin No. 10, New York, 1956)]; L. R. Quinn, *O Controle de Arbustos Nas Pastagens do Brasil* (IBEC Research Institute Nota Técnica No. 6, São Paulo, 1961); L. R. Quinn and J. B. Griffing, *O Controle do "Leiteiro" e do "Amendoim" em Pastagens* (IBEC Research Institute Miscellaneous Publication No. 2, São Paulo, 1958); C. E. Fisher and L. R. Quinn, *J. Range Manage.* **12**, 244 (1959); [Contrôle de Três Espécies Importantes de Arbustos Pragejadores em Terras de Pastagem nos Estados Unidos, Cuba e Brasil (IBEC Research Institute Nota Técnica No. 5, São Paulo, 1959)].

Energy Policy

In the issue of 23 February, excerpts from a speech by S. David Freeman are presented (News and Comment, p. 779) in which "solutions" to the energy crisis are proposed.

Freeman's major thesis is that ending the import restrictions on energy fuels would alleviate the energy short-

age that some parts of the United States experienced during the winter and that the entire country faces in the future. The picture of cheaper energy flowing into the United States from foreign sources sounds inviting but is fraught with problems. First, increased dependence on foreign energy sources requires deep-water ports and better distribution facilities to move the oil or gas from the coasts to the centers of population. Neither of these presently exist, and both are opposed by various environmentalist groups.

A stepped-up American demand for foreign oil is certain to raise the price paid by consumers in Japan and Europe as well. An organization of oil-producing nations already exists that has at least once forced renegotiation of contracts and higher prices. What added purchases would do to our balance-of-payments deficit is another consideration.

The suggestion that abandoning wasteful patterns of energy consumption as a short-term solution to the problem is sheer folly. However deplorable these policies may be, they will not change quickly. They are ingrained in the very operation of our society, and consumers will require extensive education and redirection to change. This is not even considering the cost of alternatives to millions of cars and brilliantly lighted cities. Changes such as these have seldom, if ever, happened quickly in the past, although they may be long-term considerations.

The controversy over the fairness of profits that may result if domestic oil prices are increased and controls removed from natural gas requires detailed examination. Certainly the position of the oil industry on the matter must be looked at critically. An interesting article diametrically opposed to Freeman's view appears in the newsletter of the *Oil and Gas Journal* of 5 February 1973 (1).

To suggest that the energy problem can be so easily solved seems to ignore too much. If it were so, there probably would not be an impending crisis in the first place.

It does not seem realistic to deplore runaway consumption while attempting to supply cheap energy by any method, either by holding prices artificially low or by increasing imports. It seems almost certain that energy will cost more in the future, and a large share of the cost will be borne by the consumer, for without the demand there

would be less of a problem. Maybe in the end that is the only way our wasteful consumption habits will be changed.

RONALD D. STIEGLITZ

*Ohio Department of Natural Resources,
Division of Geological Survey,
Columbus 43212*

References


1. *Oil Gas J* 71, No. 6 (newsletter) (1973).

The Speed of Light

Without wishing to detract from Evenson's new determination of the speed of light (W. D. Metz, *Research News*, 16 Feb., p. 670), I think the example used to illustrate its importance was ill-advised. The improved value for the speed of light is no more important in laser ranging now than it was 3 years ago, when this topic was discussed by Bender (1), who wrote "there are no important scientific experiments which we are prevented from doing" by the adoption of the conventional value of the speed of light.

The confusion seems to stem from a failure to appreciate the fact that the natural unit of astronomical measurement is the light-second, as is the case with nearly all measures of large terrestrial distances. The only purpose of converting light-seconds into kilometers is a psychological one. People do not like to think of the coordinates of an observatory or the distance between two cities in terms of light-seconds. Similarly, astronomical ephemerides are constructed in terms of astronomical units because an astronomer seems to feel that he can visualize an astronomical unit more readily than he can visualize 500 light-seconds. We are dealing with the inertia of heritage. The change from one adopted value for the speed of light to another in astronomical problems is simply a linear change of scale. It does not involve a change in physical model. In more concrete terms, the distance to the moon, as stated in linear measure, will change, but the residuals between observation and prediction will not. In terms of the physics of the problem, the new determination of the speed of light may be expected to have no more serious consequences than did the redefinition of the conversion factor between inches and meters some years ago. In this context, the only substantive question is, What is the measure of the standard meter bar in light-seconds?

Nalge brings you implosion-proof Dewar Flasks



The Nalgene® one-piece Dewar flask is implosion-proof without taping. It offers double walls of rugged linear polyethylene, insulating urethane foam-filled annulus, and superior chemical resistance. Will not crack when used with liquid nitrogen.

This general purpose Dewar handles cryogenic liquids, dry ice-solvent and hot baths. All three sizes are broad-based for extra stability and have wide mouths for greater accessibility.

Available in 1, 2, and 4-liter sizes with insulating covers and bail-type handles (Cat. No. 4150). Order from your Lab Supply Dealer or write for our Catalog—Dept. 4207B, Nalgene Labware Division, Rochester, New York 14602.



Nalgene® Labware . . .

the safe unbreakables—preferred by professionals.