


NALGENE® FILTER UNITS

...THE TIME SAVERS



If it takes time, it costs money. Nalgene Filter Units save precious time. When you peel off the protective bag, they're *instantly* ready for use. These uncomplicated filter units replace inconvenient glass, metal, and plastic filtration equipment requiring time and manpower to clean, assemble, fuss with membranes, wrap and sterilize.

The Nalgene Filter Unit comes complete with everything you need—filter cup, protective cover, support plate, 115-ml capacity suction flask with vented and cotton-plugged sidearm, and membrane filter. It's pre-sterilized and individually packaged in a sealed, plastic bag—ready for immediate use without elaborate preparations.

This single-use, low-cost, reliable unit filters rapidly. Filtrate can be poured off very easily. And it's disposable—can be incinerated after use to destroy pathogens.

Available with a plain 0.20 micron membrane (Cat. No. 120-0020), or an 0.45 micron grid membrane (Cat. No. 245-0045). Buy them by the case and keep a supply on your shelf ready *when you are*.

Order from your Lab Supply Dealer. For full details write Dept. 4206D, Nalgene Labware Division, P. O. Box 365, Rochester, N.Y. 14602.



Nalgene® Labware . . .

the safe unbreakables—preferred by professionals.

Circle No. 96 on Readers' Service Card

LETTERS

Support for Big Thicket

The excellent editorial (9 Feb., p. 525) by Thomas Eisner concerning the prospects for a Big Thicket National Park was encouraging to those of us who have been fighting for the park for many years. However, I was distressed by the pessimistic tone of the editor's note.

More people are working for Big Thicket in Texas today than ever before, and new organizations are springing up all over the state in its support. Whole high school classes have been organized for it, and a group of teachers of science in the universities of five southwestern states was formed in January to actively work to support the creation of this park.

There has been some disagreement over the size and nature of the park. Indeed, enemies of the Big Thicket have used this to try and destroy any chance at all for its creation.


When I was in the Senate, we passed in 1970 a Big Thicket National Park Bill, inadequate though it was, based on a bill which I had been introducing and working for since 1966. Two hearings have been held on this bill in Texas, one by the Senate and one by the House, in the past 3½ years. New forces are gathering to support it.

Whether we are able to save the Big Thicket or not (and there's a real chance now that we can), at least the journal of the AAAS should be strongly on the side of justice and conservation, and not giving encouragement to the "Too late, it can't be done, it's gone, it's destroyed" opponents. No one is giving up the fight for Big Thicket here, and the more voices raised in support of the park, the sooner it will become a reality.

RALPH W. YARBOROUGH
721 Brown Building,
Austin, Texas 78701

Galápagos Graffiti

In all national parks, besides being an obvious duty of every civilized human being, it is prohibited to destroy or remove anything. This includes painting one's initials on the landscape. In Tagus Cove, in the Galápagos Islands, it has been traditional since the time of buccaneers and whalers for the crews



of visiting ships to write their names on the precipitous rock walls. Although the Galápagos Islands are now a national park, this custom regrettably has been continued. It is bad enough when proprietors of private boats do not know how to behave and unscrupulously ignore the laws of the national park. However, it is especially bitter when this type of vandalism (see above photograph) is done by the crew of the American research vessel *Searcher*, who were doing scientific research at the Galápagos National Park during the summer of 1972.

B. GRZIMEK
*Zoological Society of 1858,
Alfred-Brehm-Platz,
6 Frankfurt am Main 1,
Germany*

Artifact or Artefact?

The plea of W. N. Irving (not Irving?) that artifact should be spelled with an "e" because this would have been the Latin spelling had the word been current when Latin was (Letters, 18 May, p. 696) reminds me of a sign I saw some years ago on a watering trough in West Fort Anne, New York. The sign said, "This is where Paul Revere would have watered his horse had he come this way."

ARTHUR E. NEWKIRK
*Research and Development Center,
General Electric Company,
Schenectady, New York 12301*

I would like to know W. N. Irving's justification for the statement that "this