

is merely a formal attempt to emphasize the obvious; the second, that it is a legitimate enterprise but must be deferred until we know a lot more than we do. I cannot help being reminded of Pepys' account of Charles II scoffing at the men of Gresham College wasting their time trying to weigh air. This chimerical business, we should note, led to the discovery of oxygen, the founding of modern chemistry, and plant and animal physiology a century later.

It may clear matters somewhat to modify the usual definition of ecology as the science of interrelation between life and environment. Actually it is a way of approaching this vast field of experience by drawing upon the *best information available* from whatever source it may come, with precise experimental control where possible, of course, as in the superb watershed studies of Herbert Bormann and his associates. But one cannot, for example, interpret the ecology of a deciduous forest, an urban complex, or the East African plains, while ignoring their history, despite the imperfections of the record. Geology, too, has had to face this problem and survive skepticism, which is confined today to the Fundamentalists.

The fact that a great deal of ecologically indispensable work is being done by those who do not call themselves ecologists does not validate an indictment of the profession as incompetent to deal with anything more significant than goldfish bowls.

It is the special responsibility of the ecologist to discover, assemble, and interpret whatever is pertinent and sound. Often, as by Frederic Clements, Sir Arthur Tansley, Patrick Geddes, and Charles C. Adams, this charge has been powerfully and effectively met.

PAUL B. SEARS

*Las Milpas,
Taos, New Mexico 87571*

Linear Algebra Problem

With respect to Bosch's article "Redwoods: A population model" (23 Apr., p. 345), I wish to inform Bosch, the editors of *Science*, and its referees that they have all just failed elementary linear algebra (see Technical Comments, p. 435).

MITCHELL TAIBLESON

*Department of Mathematics,
Washington University,
St. Louis, Missouri 63130*

22 OCTOBER 1971



After 53 years, we've changed our name officially from Scientific Glass Apparatus Co., Inc., to SGA SCIENTIFIC Inc. No change has been made in our company-wide operations or in corporate policy. Management and personnel remain the same.

Our name should have been changed many years ago, as the word "glass" is misleading. It was okay back in 1918 when our company was founded because fabricating custom-made glassware was our chief specialty. But today, it is only part of our total sales picture. For many years now, we have been supplying the finest available laboratory instruments, apparatus, chemicals, standard glassware and general lab supplies, requiring a comprehensive catalog to illustrate and describe the more than 30,000 stock items we sell.

Whether you're in the market for an electronic instrument costing thousands of dollars . . . or a cork at a fraction of a cent, we can meet your requirement. We will continue to serve you to the best of our ability, and we will be constantly on the lookout for new developments and designs to aid you in your work. A booklet entitled "This is SGA" will be sent on request.



Branches: Boston Mass. • Danbury Conn. • Elk Grove Village Ill. • Fullerton Calif. • Philadelphia Penna. • Silver Spring Md. • Syracuse N. Y.

Circle No. 32 on Readers' Service Card