trum, β is the instrumental resolution width, and W is the width of the signal (the full width to half amplitude in both cases). However, Fig. 1 shows that this feature is not gaussian; since the scatter in the frequency offset depends strongly on the shape of the feature, our error calculation is only approximate for this reason as well.

Using this formula, we calculate the error limits to be about 25 hz for the Haystack and about 50 hz for the Agassiz data for daily averages on days when the sun was not in the beam, and about 50 hz for Haystack and 150 hz for Agassiz on the 3 days surrounding the sun's closest approach. We believe that other sources of frequency errors, such as filter drifts, are substantially smaller than these limits.

Our results for the daily averages are shown in Fig. 2 and for some of the 5minute averages in Fig. 3. These results show that any anomalous frequency shift of the kind reported by Sadeh et al. (1) is less than about 50 hz. Since Sadeh et al. observed Taurus A to within about 77 minutes of arc of the center of the sun and we observed W28S to within 36 minutes of arc, our frequency shift should be larger than the 100 hz measured by Sadeh et al., whether due to the sun's mass or to the solar corona, provided that the solar corona has not changed significantly in the intervening months. Pulsar measurements made in June 1969 during the occultation of Taurus A, however, show that changes in the integrated electron density are unlikely to cause more than a few cycles change in the observed Doppler shifts at either the OH or hydrogen-line frequencies (5).

We have been informed (6) that the Taurus A observations reported by Sadeh et al. (1) were obtained by a direct measurement of the 21-cm absorption line with the main beam of the Naval Research Laboratory antenna directed toward Taurus A. We suggest

Sunglint Patterns in Satellite Pictures

Bowley et al. (1) recently presented a brief report on unusual dark patches appearing in sunglint patterns viewed by geosynchronous satellites. We had presented a very similar paper at an American Geophysical Union meeting in April 1969 (2), and it later became known to Bowley et al. that we had submitted an article on this work to

27 MARCH 1970

the possibility of a systematic effect: the continuously changing extinction of the background hydrogen emission as the sun moves along the ecliptic could provide a variation in the Taurus A profile. An evaluation of this possible error requires a detailed knowledge of the antenna pattern and the precise hydrogen spectrum occulted by the sun. J. A. BALL

Lincoln Laboratory,

Massachusetts Institute of Technology, Lexington 02173

D. F. DICKINSON A. E. LILLEY, H. PENFIELD Harvard College Observatory and Smithsonian Astrophysical Observatory,

Cambridge, Massachusetts 02138 I. I. SHAPIRO

Department of Earth and Planetary Sciences and Department of Physics, Massachusetts Institute of Technology, Cambridge 02139

References and Notes

- 1. D. Sadeh, S. H. Knowles, B. S. Yaplee, Science **159**, 307 (1968); D. Sadeh, S. H. Knowles, B. Au, *ibid.* **161**, 567 (1968).
- 81 (1968). For details about the 1612-Mhz OH emission source in W28S, see W. A. C Ph.D. thesis, University of California, Diego (1968). W28S also has weaker emission at 1665 and 1667 Mhz. A. Coles. weaker OH
- 3. For a further discussion of the Haystack system and of the observing technique, see J. A. Ball, *Lincoln Lab. Tech. Rep. TR-458*, in press.
- 4. The velocities of the observer with respect to the local standard of rest projected onto the line of sight to the source were calculated over the duration of the observations with a relative accuracy of about 0.001 km/sec (about 5 hz at 1612 Mhz). The computer program that performs this velocity calculation is 5 nz at 1612 MnZ). The computer program that performs this velocity calculation is described by J. A. Ball in *Lincoln Lab. Tech. Note 1969-42* (1969).
 5. C. C. Counselman, D. Richards, J. Rankin,
- . Counseli preparation. K. Knowi
- in preparation. S. K. Knowles, private communication. We thank J. C. Carter and the staffs of the Haystack Research Facility and the G. R. Agassiz Station for their support of this project. Lincoln Laboratory is operated by Massachusetts Institute of Technology with the support of the United States Air Force. Massachusetts Institute of Technology with the support of the United States Air Force. Radio astronomy at the G. R. Agassiz Station is supported by NSF through grant GP-7337, and by the Smithsonian Astrophysical Observatory.

the Monthly Weather Review (3). They

fail to acknowledge this anywhere in

ence to a "model" that explains these

sunglint patterns. Such a model was

described in considerable detail in the

oral and written versions of our paper

cited above. They also fail to cite a

Bowley et al. make extensive refer-

7 November 1969

their report.

number of earlier publications on this subject. Dark patches in satellite-viewed sun-glitter areas were noted as early as 1963 (4), and they have been mentioned in several other publications as well (5).

We would hope that in the future they take greater care in making proper attribution to previous work on their subject.

E. PAUL MCCLAIN Alan E. Strong National Environmental

Satellite Center, Environmental Science Services Administration, Washington, D.C. 20233

References

- C. J. Bowley, J. R. Greaves, S. L. Spiegel, Science 165, 1360 (1969).
 E. P. McClain and A. E. Strong, "Anomalous Dark Patches in Satellite-Viewed Sunglint Patterns," a paper presented at the 50th an-nual meeting of the American Geophysical Union, Washington, D.C., 21 to 25 April 1969.
 _____, Mon. Weather Rev. 97, 875 (1969).
 T. Fujita, "Use of Tiros Pictures for Studies of the Internal Structure of Tropical Storms," U.S. Weather Bur. Res. Pap. No. 25, Mesome-
- *U.S. Weather Bur. Res. Pap. No. 25*, Mesome-teorology Project, Univ. of Chicago (1963). Anonymous, *Mon. Weather Rev.* 92, 474 (1964); R. E. Stevenson, *Umsch. Wiss. Tec.* Anonymous, Mon. Weather Rev. 92, 474 (1964); R. E. Stevenson, Umsch. Wiss. Tec. 21, 843 (1968); F. C. Parmenter, Mon. Weather Rev. 97, 155 (1969).

4 December 1969; revised 12 December 1969

Our work was accomplished independently but at a later time than that of McClain and Strong. Our discovery of the anomalous sunglint areas was a side result of research performed for the Woods Hole Oceanographic Institution. We showed that satellite data (especially Applications Technology Satellite data) could be used directly and indirectly to infer upwelling in the ocean and hence aid in finding possible fishing areas. Our work was reported verbally to the Woods Hole Oceanographic Institution in May and in report form in June. The work was subsequently reported in the Quarterly Contract Report to the National Aeronautics and Space Administration, Electonic Research Center, in July 1969.

We did not become aware of the paper that Strong and McClain presented at the American Geophysical Union until very late in July, nor did the article in the Monthly Weather Review become known to us until well after we had completed our manuscript. It was felt that it represented independent research, and, as such, it did not alter our plans for submission to Science. Thus, we believe that any impropriety on our part, if it exists, was to continue our submission to Science without asking for an editor's note referencing the paper we belatedly

knew McClain and Strong had submitted to the *Monthly Weather Review*. We wish to apologize for this oversight on our part and for our not being aware of their paper presented at the April meeting of the American Geophysical Union. All of the other published references cited by McClain and Strong provide background information on sunglint patterns, but only make passing comment about anomalous patterns.

CLINTON J. BOWLEY JAMES R. GREAVES

Allied Research Associates, Incorporated, Concord, Massachusetts 01742 4 December 1969

Mission to Martian Satellites

Alfvén and Arrhenius (1) argue persuasively for a manned landing on an asteroid, a representative of a stage in the development of the solar system before the assembly of the planets. Phobos and Deimos, the two moons of Mars, are of asteroidal dimensions. Very likely they are captured asteroids; their histories should at least be very similar. Admittedly, satellites of Mars are hardly typical asteroids, but the few asteroids that are in earth-crossing orbits may not be typical either.

Missions to the martian moons may intrinsically be more or less difficult than missions to asteroids. Such missions can, however, be carried out as byproducts or side trips on martian missions. For example, the Mariner Mars Orbiters in 1971 are expected to transmit photographs of one or both of the moons from as close as 6000 km. These photographs will have a line-pair spacing of about 150 m, or more resolution elements for the whole body than there are for Mars itself on the best earth-based photographs (2). Alfvén and Arrhenius suggest that a program for the investigation of asteroids is more important than one for the investigation of Mars; the presence of Phobos and Deimos in orbit around Mars makes it possible for both programs to be carried out for the price of one.

DANIEL J. MILTON Branch of Astrogeological Studies, U.S. Geological Survey, Menlo Park, California 94025

References

1. H. Alfvén and G. Arrhenius, Science 167, 139

(1970). 2. H. Masursky *et al., Icarus*, in press.

21 January 1970

1758





SCIENCE, VOL. 167