



**...with Nalgene®
beakers and graduates
of polypropylene.**

- You can measure easily—because they are more transparent than any other polypropylene labware!
- You can read them easily—fine color markings on beakers; precise, molded-in graduations on cylinders.

Unbreakable and chemically resistant, these polypropylene beakers and graduates are truly superior plastics labware. When you specify Nalgene Labware, 20 years leadership says you're right. Order from your Lab Supply Dealer. Ask him for our new Catalog or write Dept. 21081, Nalgene Labware Division, Nalgene Company, Rochester, N. Y. 14602.



Circle No. 88 on Readers' Service Card

cause they do not represent large nations. At the present time it appears that the scientifically advanced countries are widening the gap in knowledge and abilities between themselves and the smaller nations of the world as a result of the high cost of conducting modern research.

Finally, the very existence of such a facility as an international laboratory will stimulate the formulation of higher levels of problems which cannot be considered with present resources. New dimensions to oceanographic research will be added with the wider availability of the best tools of the trade.

HENRY STOMMEL
*Massachusetts Institute of Technology,
Cambridge, Massachusetts 02139*

EDWARD D. GOLDBERG
*Scripps Institution of Oceanography,
La Jolla, California 92037*

Pyrotechnics

The editors of *Science* deserve praise for the clever and subtle cover of the Fourth of July issue. Bombs bursting in air and fireworks represented by droplets of the spray of the bombardier beetle! Man is a part of nature, sermons in stones, scientists as humorists.

DAVID L. SILLS
*Population Council,
245 Park Avenue, New York 10017*

Intellectual Loneliness

Since reading various solutions for preventing the intellectual brain drain from underdeveloped countries and suggestions for improving the "intellectual loneliness" of educated and talented people in those areas (Singer, editorial, 7 June 1968, and Wolffe, editorial, 2 Aug. 1968), I have also found in my copies of *Science* (which arrive in irregular fashion) letters describing both the glut of doctoral graduates in developed countries such as Australia (Willix, 22 Nov. 1968) and the need for relevance in the training of Ph.D.'s who plan to work in underdeveloped countries (Ronkin, 3 Jan. 1969).

These editorials and letters all stress that future planning for technical manpower is essential, but it should not be done at the expense of creative and intellectual freedom. If the supply of Ph.D.'s exceeds the demand in many

Western countries, there is a great need for them in developing countries, especially in higher education, industrial research, and government services. I suggest that those Western countries with a surplus of trained people establish overseas research and development divisions which would accept requests, for the services of certain specialists, from hardpressed and understaffed developing countries. This would appear to me to be more relevant than bringing foreign associates from underdeveloped countries temporarily to our Western institutions, as it would answer their immediate problems and use available facilities, instead of farming out the work to be done in a sterile, air-conditioned (and irrelevant) setting in a North American or European institute. The presence of scientists and engineers from the developed countries would also help relieve the "intellectual loneliness" of their less fortunate colleagues in these poorer nations.

MICHAEL B. KATZ
*Canadian International Development
Agency, Department of Geology,
University of Ceylon, Peradeniya*

I am a Pakistani who received higher training in England and the United States and am now teaching in Canada. . . . Basically I agree with Ronkin's and Stone's (Letters, 6 June) proposals for certain supplementary training of foreign students. But I object to the idea of training a foreign student exclusively on the basis of the needs of his homeland, although these should be important considerations of the agencies which award fellowships. When a modified program for a particular student is requested, such a request should originate in the student's home institution or his homeland and should not be imposed upon him by the institution where he will be trained. Many universities rightfully impose a few necessary conditions on the foreign students from a developing country, such as proficiency in English, a number of extra courses as prerequisites, and so forth, but to go beyond that and offer them a compulsory modified program would appear to be a kind of selective training, depriving them of working in all other areas beyond the current needs of their homelands. Such a practice will obviously discourage development of future programs in their countries beyond those needs. . . .

As Stone pointed out, it is a fallacy that the developing countries should