through four agencies-NSF, the Geological Survey, the Corps of Engineers, and the Agency for International Development (AID). The requests-originally totaling some \$2.8 millionwere all rejected. The extreme tightness of funds this year, together with the strong anti-foreign-aid-of-any-kind feeling that ran through Congress, kept the committee from getting money for the third straight year. Any funding of the National Committee for next year will have to come from the present budgets of the agencies involved. This money, National Committee executive secretary L. A. Heindl told Science, "may keep us going on a paper-pushing basis and serve to support a face-saving delegation to the international [UNESCO] Coordinating Council."

The Committee had planned an 18month cooperative venture with the IHD National Committee of Canada to study the hydrology of the Great Lakes in 1970-71. Some money from the Corps of Engineers will be used for that project, but Heindl fears that the shortage of funds may cause the project to be significantly reduced in scope. Heindl, the sole professional staff member working for the committee, says that planned analyses of groundwater systems in the United States and various educational and training programs, including exchanges with other countries, will probably also have to be scrapped, or at least delayed.

The committee, Heindl emphasizes, does not plan to duplicate any programs that already exist. It is interested in doing things that are at present not being done, especially in undertaking joint programs with other countries such as the proposed International Field Year for the Great Lakes, with Canada. Rather than work separately, American and Canadian hydrologists could work together and study the whole lake, rather than just their own side.

In the absence of any really substantive American participation in IHD, the leadership mantle has passed to the U.S.S.R. The Soviet Union's financial commitment has not been announced, but it has participated much more seriously in IHD than the United States has, Heindl says. Unlike the United States it has provided all the hydrological data that have been requested, and it has published three manuals on basic hydrological practices for use by developing countries. It has also offered to teach and train hydrologists from developing countries, Heindl says. For those countries, he explained, participation in IHD is a major step toward learning how to control and utilize water resources more effectively. Water resources and their management are very important to developing countries, and with no more than token contributions from the United States, Heindl feels, many of them are turning to the Soviet Union for training and equipment.

Whether the Soviet Union is first in hydrological research may mean little to anyone but a hardened Cold Warrior. What is important-and Heindl emphasized this-is that the United States contribute its fair share to the program. Heindl said, for example, that the UNESCO Council wanted to compile a worldwide series of standardized maps of water resource data. But American maps would have to be converted to the metric system for use internationally. For this, Heindl said, he needed money. "With 100, 150 thousand dollars," he said, "we could begin to make a meaningful contribution to the Decade."

One of the committee's problems has been its inability to win the support of an influential congressman. Another has been the arduous budgetary route that has been followed. Because the Geological Survey has been the coordinating agency, one National Committee member said, "we end up being weighed against national programs." The director of the Geological Survey "can't speak for an international program over a domestic one," he said. As a result, when the Survey's budget is sliced, money for IHD is one of the first things to go.

The National Committee is continuing to look for possible financial support within existing budgets of interested agencies. But since both NSF and AID budgets were cut so extensively this year, that will be a difficult task. Perhaps more important, however, the committee members are looking for ways to have the IHD budget judged in respect to other international programs.

American participation in IHD seems to have suffered for several reasons. The program has had trouble fitting into the budget of any one agency and has been relegated to a low-priority position in several. It has been unable to attract the active support of the statesmen of science or of science-minded congressmen. And it has had the bad luck to be an international program at a time when Congress finds international programs expendable.—ANDREW JAMISON

NEWS IN BRIEF

• SHIFT FROM CHICAGO: Three behavioral sciences associations have canceled scheduled conventions in Chicago in protest of police action during the Democratic convention. The American Sociological Association has shifted its meetings for next year to San Francisco; the American Psychological Association has not yet selected a new site for next year; and the American Political Science Association will shift its scheduled 1970 convention.

• SCIENTISTS PROTEST: Sixty scientists at the Marine Biological Laboratory in Woods Hole, Mass., have charged that the Johnson Administration and all those who support the war in Vietnam must share the responsibility for the violence in Chicago during the Democratic National Convention. In a telegram sent 30 August to the White House, the scientists declared. "This brutal war makes a major contribution to the climate of violence in this country as well as to the divisions that split and threaten this nation." The telegram was signed by four scientists who represented the group: George Wald, Harvard; H. O. Halvorson, University of Wisconsin; S. E. Luria, M.I.T.; and Irving London, Albert Einstein College of Medicine.

• SHRINK-TANK: The National Institute of Mental Health is financing the establishment of a research center in educational and social psychiatry at the Massachusetts Institute of Technology. The center will evaluate clinical procedures that are used in diagnosing students' mental problems.

• EXCAVATION RESEARCH: A \$200-million 10-year government research effort to promote new underground excavation methods has been recommended by the National Research Council for the Interior Department's Bureau of Mines. The report suggests the use of underground excavation methods that would save land surfaces from the effects of mining operations, permit access to deep mineral deposits now out of man's reach, and provide more space for urban expansion and mass transportation. The report may be obtained for \$2.50 from the National Academy of Sciences, Printing and Publishing Office, 2101 Constitution Ave., NW, Washington, D.C. 20418.