



Fig. 3. Theoretical results showing decrease of bearing capacity with increase of slope for various depths of surveyor footpad penetration.

cohesion; b , the width of footing base; t , the depth of surcharge; γ_1 , the unit weight of material beneath footing; γ_2 , the unit weight of surcharge material; and N_c, N_γ, N_q , the bearing capacity factors.

In our theory, the geometry of the zone of plastic equilibrium is analogous to that of Prandtl's solution, if we take into account the modifications due to the sloping surface as shown in Fig. 2. For even a slight slope, critical plastic equilibrium exists only in the downhill radial shear and passive Rankine zones. Figure 2 also illustrates the internal system of forces resulting from an externally applied load (R) and a surcharge load (V). The N_q bearing capacity factor is determined from the equilibrium of this force system acting on the radial shear zone; the N_c factor is directly related to the N_q factor through the cotangent of the friction angle. If, instead of the surcharge load, the weight of the individual zones is considered as acting on the geometric configuration shown in Fig. 2, a different system of forces results. The N_γ bearing capacity factor is determined by calculating the moment equilibrium about the pole of the logarithmic spiral (A) of this force system acting on the radial shear zone. It is evident that the bearing capacity factors obtained in this way depend on the strength properties of the soil alone; they account only indirectly for grain shape and size. These factors were used in the Terzaghi equation (Eq. 1) to evaluate

the effect of the slope on the bearing capacity of the Surveyor V landing site (Fig. 3).

For all soil parameters constant and consistent with the Surveyor I values, it was found that, in going from a level surface to a slope of approximately 17 degrees, the static bearing capacity decreases from 4×10^5 dyne/cm², a value in the range previously determined for the Surveyor I landing (2), to 1.4×10^5 dyne/cm², a value in the range suggested for the Surveyor V landing (5).

If the decrease in static bearing capacity of the Surveyor V landing is due to the fact that the vehicle landed on a 20-degree slope, as both our theory and the mission data suggest, then it may be concluded that the strength properties of the surface material at both sites are almost identical.

LESLIE L. KARAFIATH
EDWARD A. NOWATZKI

Research Department, Grumman
Aircraft Engineering Corporation,
Bethpage, New York 11714

References

1. E. M. Christensen *et al.*, *Science* **158**, 637 (1967).
2. E. M. Christensen *et al.*, *Surveyor I Mission Report, Technical Report No. 32-1023, Part 2* (Jet Propulsion Laboratory, Pasadena, Calif., September 1966), p. 69.
3. L. L. Karafiath and E. A. Nowatzki, "A study of the effect of sloping ground on bearing strength and the landing performance of space vehicles," *Res. Rep. RM-407* (Grumman Aircraft Engineering Corporation, Bethpage, N.Y., March 1968).
4. K. Terzaghi, *Theoretical Soil Mechanics* (Wiley, New York, 1943).
5. L. D. Jaffe, personal communication, 14 April 1968.

Mercury's Permanent Thermal Bulges

Liu (1) has argued that the capture of Mercury's rotation period into a 3:2 resonance lock with its orbital period (2) was "affected by thermal expansion" and, further, that "thermal bulges on Mercury's surface contribute significantly to the dynamic stabilization of the planet's rotation." We find both arguments faulty. The thermal bulges considered by Liu cannot grow until *after* capture takes place: The systematic asymmetrical heating of the surface can persist only for resonance rotation (that is, only well after the apparent circulatory motion at successive perihelia has been converted to a librational motion). Only then are the

"faces" that Mercury can present to Sun at perihelion restricted to two antipodal ones which can undergo relatively larger thermal expansions because of their greater intercepted insolation. More important, the contribution of the two thermal bulges to the fractional difference $[(B-A)/C]$ in Mercury's principal equatorial moments of inertia is negligible and therefore has no appreciable effect on the dynamics of rotation. Liu's error is attributable to his comparison of a thermally produced $(B-A)/C$ with the minimum value of that fractional difference—about 10^{-10} —for which a resonance lock is possible (3, 4). Although perhaps possible, the probability of capture for such a value of $(B-A)/C$ is vanishingly small. The capture probability (4) increases approximately with the square root of $(B-A)/C$ (5) and is less than 0.1 even for $[(B-A)/C] \approx 10^{-5}$ which is five orders of magnitude larger than the value considered by Liu. According to Liu's calculations, the residence time required in the resonance state to produce a thermal contribution to $(B-A)/C$ of 10^{-10} , is only 6×10^4 years. But the thermal contribution increases only linearly with the residence time. In order for the thermal-bulge effect on $(B-A)/C$ to be even comparable to 10^{-5} would require a residence time longer than the 5×10^9 year estimated age of the solar system.

We conclude that the relatively large value of $(B-A)/C$ required for there to have been a nonnegligible probability that Mercury's spin be captured in the 3:2 resonance (4, 5) precludes a thermal bulge from playing a significant dynamical role.

CHARLES C. COUNSELMAN, III
Department of Aeronautics and
Astronautics, Massachusetts Institute
of Technology, Cambridge

IRWIN I. SHAPIRO
Department of Geology and
Geophysics and
Department of Physics

References and Notes

1. H.-S. Liu, *Science* **159**, 306 (1968).
2. G. Colombo, *Nature* **208**, 575 (1965); — and I. I. Shapiro, *Smithsonian Astrophys. Observ. Spec. Rep.* **188 R**, 1 (1965); H.-S. Liu and J. A. O'Keefe, *Science* **150**, 1717 (1965); P. Goldreich and S. J. Peale, *Nature* **209**, 1078 (1966).
3. G. Colombo and I. I. Shapiro, *Astrophys. J.* **145**, 296 (1966).
4. P. Goldreich and S. J. Peale, *Astron. J.* **71**, 425 (1966).
5. C. C. Counselman, III, thesis, Massachusetts Institute of Technology, Cambridge, in preparation.

19 April 1968