SCIENCE

21 April 1967
Vol. 156, No. 3773

AMERICAN ASSOCIATION FOR THE ADVANCEMENT OF SCIENCE





Four-color Isodensitracer*

The Four-Color Isodensitracer takes guesswork and drudgery out of film analysis. Photometric information in the emulsion is automatically extracted and plotted as a quantitative, two-dimensional density map. At left: IDT tracing of the surface brightness distribution of a match flame.

...new way to look at an old flame

Most scientific films contain more information than meets the eye. This is particularly true of astronomical and lunar photographs, photo-records of high-speed events, electron micrographs, spectrographic plates, industrial, medical and dental x-rays. Even pictures of flames — old or new.

Extracting this latent data was once a difficult and time consuming job. Now the Four-Color Isodensitracer does it quickly and automatically.

This new instrument converts film records into a four-color map from which contours of equal optical density, x-ray absorption, or any other variable can easily be visualized and quantified.

The Four-Color IDT scans and measures the optical density (to D=6) of all points in a film or specimen. And it does it with a resolution to one micron. Data is then plotted as an easy-to-read colored contour map.

The full range of information can be divided into as many as 64 discrete levels, each of which can be printed in any of the four color modes. The color symbols need not be programmed in a linear manner. The first range may be set at one color, the next three at a different color, and the next several at still another color, producing a non-linear contour map.

The Four-Color IDT incorporates the Joyce-Loebl Microdensitometer. Specimen table (at extreme left) handles films and plates up to 5″ by 10″. Tracings as large as 8″ by 10″ are produced on recording table (center). Specimen magnification, scan line spacing, color code sequence and recording speed are preselected on programmer (at right). Once set by operator, the IDT operates unattended until mapping is completed.

The limit of the scanned field is adjustable in both the x and y directions, so that any portion of the specimen may be selected for analysis. Spacing of the scan line is also variable, even while the instrument is in operation. This makes it possible to concentrate close scanning on more important portions of the image. Both x and y magnifications are independently selected to permit asymmetric magnification if needed.

Please call or write if you would like to know more about the Four-Color Isodensitracer. We would also be glad to make a sample tracing of one of your films at no charge.

Technical Operations, Incorporated
Northwest Industrial Park Burlington, Massachusetts 01803
Telephone (617) 272-2000

tech/ops

*ISODENSITRACER is a trademark of Technical Operations, Incorporated

WITH CONVENTIONAL TECHNIQUES, YOU MUST DECIDE IN SECONDS IF A SUBSTANCE IS MELTING...

NOW?



NOW?



NOW?

WITH THE NEW METTLER FP-1 SYSTEM, THE DECISION IS MADE FOR YOU EXACTLY THE SAME WAY EVERY TIME







60X PHOTOMICROGRAPHS

The Mettler FP-1 is an automated instrument for the rapid and precise determination of melting and boiling points with complete objectivity. Results are presented completely in numerical form, eliminating the need for interpreting data from thermometers or tedious extraction of data from charts.

The instrument provides electronic sensing and automatic selection of the endpoint, thus removing the subjective element of human choice as a source of error. Calibrated to select the exact melting or boiling point time after time, it eliminates the need for the operator's constant attention and close visual observation during the determination.

The Mettler FP-1 can perform three melting point determinations simultaneously under exactly the same conditions, presenting the results of all three determinations on the same digital readout panel. Thus, it is the only instrument particularly adapted to identity determinations by the mixed melting point method.

Operation of the Mettler FP-1 is simple and convenient. The operator uses the simple pushbutton controls to program the instrument, inserts the samples, and presses the start button. The instrument then completes the determination, and retains the digital results until reset for the next run.

The instrument operates over the temperature range of $-20°$ to $+300°$ C. Its electronic temperature increase program gives it a precision as high as $\pm 0.1°$ C. For sub-ambient operation, its furnace unit is placed in a cold box.

Dynamics of the melting process of individual samples can be recorded simply by connecting the Mettler FP-1 to a standard time-base recorder. A recording of the melting range is a valuable aid to estimating the sample's purity.

Ask your Mettler dealer for literature describing the new Mettler FP-1 or request a demonstration or trial in your own laboratory. Write Mettler Instrument Corp., 20 Nassau St., Princeton, N. J. 08540.



21 April 1967
Vol. 156, No. 3773

SCIENCE

SCIENCE is published weekly on Friday and on the fourth Tuesday in November by the American Association for the Advancement of Science, 1515 Massachusetts Ave., Washington, D.C. 20005. Now combined with **The Scientific Monthly**®. Second-class postage paid at Washington, D.C. Advancement of Science. Annual subscriptions $8.50; foreign postage, $1.50; Canadian postage, 75¢; single copies, 50¢ (back issues, $1), except **Guide to Scientific In**ments, which is $2. School year subscriptions: 9 months, $7; 10 months, $7.50. Provide 4 weeks' notice for change of address, giving new and old address and zip co Send a recent address label. SCIENCE is indexed in the **Reader's Guide to Periodical Literature.**

AMERICAN ASSOCIATION FOR THE ADVANCEMENT OF SCIENCE

COVER

Examples of modern sailing yachts. The practice of designing yachts according to scientific principles was established in the late 19th century. Now naval architects and yacht designers are even more aware that research is needed in hydrodynamics and aerodynamics if more efficient sailing vessels are to be developed. See page 411. [From *The Lore of Ships*, Holt, Rinehart, Winston, Inc.; © 1963 Tre Tryckare, E. Cagner]

IBM's newest deskside computing system.

It starts at $185 a month.

We call it QUIKTRAN-2. It's a new system that puts a computer terminal within easy reach of engineers, scientists, researchers—problem solvers who can't afford to waste time with repetitive calculations. And its initial price is just $185 a month.

Ten times faster. Not only does QUIKTRAN-2 start at low cost, but it can execute programs up to ten or more times faster than its predecessor QUIKTRAN-1. This means more work done per hour of "on-line" time.

Conversation with a computer. Use the terminal just as you would a typewriter. Carry on a question-and-answer conversation in your office with the big computer in ours. Stop a problem in the middle, change variables, new answers come right back on the terminal.

Available day and night. Dial the QUIKTRAN-2 Center over conventional telephone lines anytime between 8:00 A.M. and 8:00 P.M. Immediately, the computer is available for fast solutions to problems in design, simulation, planning, analysis.

Easy to learn. QUIKTRAN-2 is so easy to learn you'll be computing effectively within a few hours after installation. And QUIKTRAN-2 offers even more. Bigger memory files. Greater diagnostic power. New application programs. Library pooling. Security coding. Choice of terminals. And more locations. Get the full QUIKTRAN-2 story. Send in the coupon.

Tell me more about QUIKTRAN-2.
IBM Data Processing Division, Dept. S421
112 East Post Road, White Plains, N.Y. 10601

Name .....

Title .....

Company.....

Address.....

TEKTRONIX general-purpose oscilloscope for dc-to-450 kHz applications

TYPE 503

Color-coordinated controls and functional panel layout aid you in setup and application, make the oscilloscope easy to operate, even for non-technical personnel.

Precise calibration and time-tested Tektronix circuitry assure you reliable measurements from accurate displays over the full 8-cm by 10-cm viewing area.

Quality components, and careful adherence to strict Tektronix standards assure you an instrument that will have long life with minimum maintenance.

Initial low cost, trouble-free operation, plus instrument support and other field services available internationally provide you with top value for your investment.

CHARACTERISTICS
VERTICAL AND HORIZONTAL

Differential Input: at all sensitivities

Sensitivity: 1 mV/cm to 20 V/cm

Common-Mode Rejection Ratio: 100:1,1 mV/cm to 0.2 V/cm, dc-to-50 kHz maximum signal 4V pp.

Phase Difference: between amplifiers ≤ 1° from 1 mV/cm to 0.2 mV/cm, to 450 kHz, when both amplifiers are at same calibrated settings.

SWEEP GENERATOR

Sweep Range: 1 μsec/cm to 5 sec/cm

Sweep Magnifier: 2X, 5X, 10X, 20X, and 50X

Trigger Facilities: Stable dc triggering system includes automatic operation and free run operation, with convenient reference trace.

Type 503 Oscilloscope	$640
Rack-Mount Model Type RM503 Oscilloscope .	$655

U.S. Sales Prices f.o.b. Beaverton, Oregon

for more information—
or a demonstration—
call your Tektronix
field engineer



Type 503—Size is 14¾" high x 10" wide x 21⅝" deep and weight is approximately 29 pounds.



Type RM503 — only 7 inches high and weight is approximately 27 pounds.

Tektronix, Inc.

P.O. BOX 500 · BEAVERTON, OREGON 97005 · Phone: (Area Code 503) 644-0161 · Telex 036-691
TWX: 503-291-6805 · Cable: TEKTRONIX · OVERSEAS DISTRIBUTORS IN OVER 30 COUNTRIES
TEKTRONIX FIELD OFFICES in principal cities in United States. Consult Telephone Directory.

In Teaching and in Learning— Saunders Books Make Sense

Copeland—
Mathematics and the Elementary Teacher

By Richard W. Copeland, Ed.D., College of William and Mary.

Here is a clearly written text that will guide elementary school teachers to more effective methods of teaching modern mathematics. Dr. Copeland meticulously points out and explains the relationship between modern content and mathematical theory, giving the reader guidance that cuts through the continuing controversy over this new method of teaching.

New!

Vital explanations of the *use of sets, number theory, number place,* and *number line* give practical working material for class presentation. Essential background on more advanced mathematics is given for the teacher's own preparation and understanding.

Given the essential mathematical material, the teacher is carefully instructed in the *methods of education* needed in the classroom situation. The author pays special attention to evaluation of the program and of the student in relation to the level of presentation and to the student's own understanding. Self-contained and concisely written, this text may be used effectively in a course for future teachers, by teachers already in service, and by interested parents.

355 pages · Illustrated · $6.50 · Published July, 1966.

Washton—
Teaching Science Creatively in the Secondary Schools

By Nathan S. Washton, Ed.D., Queens College of the City University of New York.

New!

To meet the challenge of science teaching today—and tomorrow—the secondary school teacher must find ways to stimulate curiosity and develop creativity, not only in his pupils, but also in himself. Dr. Washton's new text presents guiding principles and tested methods of teaching science creatively—not as a body of dry facts, but as a *way of thinking.*

The author presents the new curricula in biology, physical science, and general science; he covers in some detail the current research that is evaluating these curricula. Dr. Washton offers varied and flexible plans for organizing courses. He tells how to prepare unit and lesson plans, select equipment, and construct tests. Peripheral learning aids, such as audio-visual aids and science fairs, are discussed as well.

Useful photographs, line drawings, and graphs enhance the text's effectiveness. The author's clear and concise writing style makes this a valuable sourcebook for the teacher already in service, as well as for the college student in education.

430 pages · Illustrated · $7.50 · Published February, 1967.

W. B. Saunders Company West Washington Square, Philadelphia, Pa. 19105

Please send and bill me: *(Discount accorded to full-time teachers listing affiliation)* *SC 4-21-67*

☐ Copeland—MATHEMATICS . . . . . $6.50 ☐ Washton—TEACHING SCIENCE CREATIVELY . . . $7.50

Name _____ Affiliation _____

Address _____ Zip _____

Now—a cooled, high-performance liquid scintillation system for under $9900.

Just about all the good things that could come your way in liquid scintillation counting are yours in our new bench-top system—the Unilux® II.

Unilux II is a *constant-temperature* system controlled by cooling. And cooling is the best way to control the counting environment for stability and reproducibility—sample after sample.

Unilux II is a *high-performance* counting system. In fact, it performs at a level equal or superior to that of any other manufacturer's system, regardless of price.

Unilux II is a true *two-channel* system. You get two independent, identical counting channels that are easy to set-up and operate. And you can add a third channel whenever you're ready.

Unilux II is designed for *your needs*. You don't have to be an electronics expert to know that Unilux II's integrated circuits mean the ultimate in reliability. Its bench-top format (pioneered by Nuclear-Chicago) is a proved design—for convenient, simplified operation. You can choose either barium-133 or radium-226 external standardization. The barium-133 standard most closely approximates the beta spectrum.

And Unilux II is priced *under $9900*. At last you can cut the cost of a liquid scintillation system to the bone without sacrificing performance.

Consult your local Nuclear-Chicago sales engineer or write to us about application-matched liquid scintillation systems that can be tailored to your specific needs. And please ask about our other performance-planned products and services for liquid scintillation counting.

More and more life-science researchers come to Nuclear-Chicago first. Performance is why.

NUCLEAR-CHICAGO
A SUBSIDIARY OF G. D. SEARLE & CO.
349 Howard Ave., Des Plaines, Ill. 60018 U.S.A.
Donker Curtiusstraat 7, Amsterdam W.

The latter are the recognized authorities in their fields. They know because they do. And others recognize that they do. I don't think the dichotomies university-industry or big-little (science) have much to do with the distinction between basic and applied science (look at the author bylines in the journals). Decisions based on this distinction should be made by, or at least in consultation with, the individual recognized researchers.

A. W. K. METZNER
Brookhaven National Laboratory, Upton, Long Island, New York 11973

. . . While exploring the quicksand between particle physics and building better mousetraps, the classical boundries of research and science are left unperturbed. Science is that on which research is done; science and research involve things hard enough to stub one's toe on . . . a Spinco, a microscope, or a mousetrap. To me, research is the Almighty Scientific Method in action, and if this is true, objective evaluation of a teaching program or a systems approach to delivery of health care are as much research and as much science as a frontal attack on the mystery of the gene. Classical research and science they are not. But the more that research, education, and service are considered as functional aspects of the same animal, the easier it becomes to measure the dollar value, or applicability, of the total effort. At one extreme, a study may involve no more service than providing jobs and no more education than how to operate an electron microscope. At the other, where students are involved in research on a problem of local service, the learning, discovering, and helping have a positive feedback relationship toward each other. The value of the system includes the people in it. . . .

DAVID BRYSON
Association of American Medical Colleges, 1501 New Hampshire Avenue, NW, Washington, D. C. 20036

Statistical Randomization in the Behavioral Sciences

In his letter (24 Feb.) Stanley takes issue with my protest (Letters, 21 Oct.) against the invalid uses of inferential statistics in studies employing (i) nonrandom samples and (ii) nonrandom assignment of experimental treatments to sample units. According to Stanley, "hypothesis testing in studies involving nonrandomly chosen 'grab groups' is feasible. If it were not, the results of many comparative experiments in the behavioral sciences would be difficult to interpret statistically, because any differences whatsoever might be attributed to chance fluctuations."

The invalid use of inferential statistics *is* feasible, possible, and, indeed, popular in the behavioral sciences. I hasten to agree with the consequence Stanley points out: many studies *are* "difficult to interpret statistically." But this is because these "quasi-experiments" to use Stanley's term ("pseudo-experiments" might be less misleading) violate the assumptions of inference. Descriptive statistics are perfectly appropriate in these studies, but inference to other populations is a matter of opinion or authority rather than statistics.

In the field of educational research to which Stanley addresses his remarks, these violations have led to seemingly endless series of equivocal, nonreplicable studies. Important questions such as the effect of class size and teaching methods on student learning remain unanswered. Instead of tightly controlled, randomized experiments, investigators continue to pursue statistical significance with ever larger samples in quasi-experiments. In addition, the generality of other nonrandom behavioral science research for human affairs is open to question, especially if the rest of us do not behave like white rats, pigeons, college students, pig-tailed macaques, or Maori tribesmen.

HERBERT J. WALBERG
Graduate School of Education, Harvard University, Cambridge, Massachusetts 02138

Growth Incentives in the "Have-Not" Nations

In his address delivered at the December Washington meeting of the AAAS ("The ever widening gap," *Science*, 24 Feb., p. 959), Blackett reiterated the prevailing and plausible opinion that the population problem in the poor countries in the South is due to the export of modern medicine by the rich countries in the North, which has resulted in health, too much and too soon, rather than wealth.

On the basis of simple arithmetic, it may seem plausible to construe a



ONLY $3.00

We say "only" because that's half the cost of any **other** reusable pipet.
Figure it out.
A COREX® pipet, made in our exclusive *chemical* tempering process, lasts in your lab a full 6 times longer than any other pipet.
Yet, the price of a COREX pipet is only 3 times that of any other pipet.
So you save half with this new standard.

The new standards come from CORNING
LABORATORY PRODUCTS

reduction in mortality as the cause of the population problem, if the problem is viewed simply in terms of the rate of population growth. But certainly the solution of the problem is not simply the achievement or maintenance of a low growth rate, which could be the result of a balance either between high or low birth rates and death rates. All humanitarian considerations aside, only low death rates matched by low birth rates will maximize the returns from investments in human resources and minimize the ratio of dependents to producers.

The beneficial effects of health services are still achieved in synergism with other improvements in the levels of living (*1*). In a reciprocal cause and effect relationship, mortality declines with economic development. The extension of health services is a necessary but insufficient condition for health, which is a necessary although insufficient condition for productivity. Reductions in mortality have always preceded reductions in fertility. Recent evidence confirms that a reduction in mortality is a necessary, although insufficient, condition for a reduction in fertility (*1*). As mortality declines and the population growth rate increases, there also develops a trend toward restraining fertility. Of course, the desired demographic changes are no more automatic than the desired economic changes. The use of the most efficient, effective, and acceptable methods of family planning would seem to be the most appropriate response to the spontaneous motivation to limit family size.

HARALD FREDERIKSEN
Health Service, Agency for International Development, Washington, D.C. 20523

References

1. H. Frederiksen, *Pub. Health Rept.* **81**, 715 (1966); D. M. Heer, Population Control Symposium, 133rd AAAS annual meeting, Washington, D.C., Dec. 1966.

I was present when Blackett presented his address. Reading his article in *Science* has not changed my first impressions. His analysis and statistics on the low rate of increase of the gross national product in such countries as India, while impressive, end with the usual conclusion that the solution lies in doling out more aid from the "have" to the "have-not" nations.

Blackett's analysis omits the human factors that have much to do with the productivity of any people. Certainly the most important and enduring factor affecting the gross national product is that people work harder and produce more when they are assured profit and personal gain in proportion to effort and to innovation. This is even more true in the "poor" countries than in the "rich" nations and also depends on whether one is bucking government planning in the United States, Great Britain, or India. But the problem becomes especially severe when a government's greatest fear is that some industrialists may become rich, which seems to be the case in India and possibly also in Great Britain. Although the United States government adds many controls, it fortunately does not lose sight of the fact that larger personal and corporate profits are the golden eggs that produce a larger GNP, increased taxable income, and a higher standard of living.

I have attended a week-long conference on problems of undeveloped countries where "profit," "industrial competition," and "free enterprise" were forgotten phrases and nearly every analysis ended with demands for more doling of outside aid. Analyses that disregard the human drives that produced the industrial revolution are not likely to point the way to any better solutions. While more R&D and technology are needed, they must bear fruit within human organizations. As an example, because of the absence of individual motivation, even though the Soviet Union stands in the front lines of science and technology it still cannot comfortably produce foodstuff or other commodities in proportion to her need or great technological capacity.

Let's continue to help each other and to extol the virtues of R&D and of technological information; but let's not disregard the human factors that are needed to turn these into a larger GNP. Let the governments of the "poor" countries reduce their bureaucratic "planning" by which nearly everyone remains poor, and instead address their attention to helping many thousands more to become "rich," taxably rich, through production of goods and through competition that depends on invention and innovation rather than on preferred position with the government.

V. L. PARSEGIAN
Rensselaer Polytechnic Institute, Troy, New York



For the medical student only the best is good enough.

Leading medical schools have long recognized the Leitz SM as an ideal microscope for medical studies. Over a century of microscope design leadership has contributed to this popularity.

The SM welcomes comparison and boasts many conveniences and refinements.

- Single-knob coarse/fine focusing adjustment that never requires lubrication
- Spring-protected high-power objectives
- Wide-range magnification, 35 to 1250x
- Monocular, binocular, or trinocular bodies that rotate 360°
- Anti-reflective coating throughout
- Mechanical stage for both standard and 3" x 2" slides, coaxial or separate motion
- Periplanatic, wide-field eyepieces
- Cool, low-voltage, high-intensity substage lamp
- Contour-fitted carrying case

...and many other advantages that only the Leitz SM can offer.

Leitz® For further information on Leitz Medical Microscopes, write for Catalog No. 51.2D-SM.

E. Leitz, Inc., 468 Park Ave. South, New York, N.Y. 10016

66767

21 April 1967, Volume 156, Number 3773 **SCIENCE**

EDITORIAL CORRESPONDENCE: 1515 Massachusetts Ave., NW, Washington, D.C. 20005. Phone: 202-387-7171. Cable: Advancesci, Washington. Copies of "Instructions for Contributors" can be obtained from the editorial office. ADVERTISING CORRESPONDENCE: Rm. 1740, 11 W. 42 St., New York, N.Y. 10036. Phone: 212-PE 6-1858.

Copyright and Computers

United States copyright law is undergoing its first thorough revision since 1909, and its fourth since the original copyright act of 1790. The effort leading to the revision offers an outstanding example of thorough, conscientious legislative work. The Copyright Office started detailed studies in 1955 and reported to Congress in 1961. A draft bill—for discussion only—was introduced in the 88th Congress. A second bill was introduced in 1965. The House Committee on the Judiciary listened to more than 150 witnesses during 22 days of public hearings, devoted 54 committee meetings to revision, and then introduced a revised bill which, with some amendments, was adopted by the House of Representatives on 11 April. Merely listing some of the issues involved indicates the complexity of the task: phonorecords, derivative works, foreign manufacture, jukeboxes, fair use, ephemeral recordings, infringement, licensing, community antenna television, collective works, computer storage and retrieval, and so on and on.

The purpose of copyright, the committee has explained, is "to insure that authors receive the encouragement they need to create and the remuneration they fairly deserve for their creations." The creations must be distributed, however, if the authors are to be remunerated; thus distributors have an interest. So does the consuming public. There have been many disagreements, many compromises, and much discussion of what constitutes fair use of copyrighted material, of what constitutes infringement, and of who has what rights in literary, musical, dramatic, artistic, and other works under various conditions of display, presentation, or reproduction.

The extensive legal talent involved in copyright matters is largely employed by producers and distributors. It is therefore not surprising that the rights of authors, composers, and publishers are well protected in the bill. Major opposition came from jukebox owners, community-antenna-television operators, and educational television interests.

The committee considered adopting special exemptions for computer use or a special definition of fair use, but decided that computer use should be subject, as are other uses, to the general principle that "where the unauthorized copying displaces what realistically might have been a sale, no matter how minor the amount of money involved, the interests of the copyright owner need protection." As a result, except when the doctrine of fair use applies, input to a computer of all or a substantial part of any copyrighted material will be an infringement. So will computer-mediated transmission, output, or display, or the preparation of an abstract or index sufficiently detailed to be considered a derivative of the original work. A reason for these restrictions is that a single computer-stored "copy" of a copyrighted work can be retrieved by many users at different times and places.

The computer-use problem is the only major area not resolved between publishers and the scholarly community. The committee has recommended that interested parties get together for further discussions. More than this may be necessary, however, and a continuing statutory body has been proposed to work out adjustments concerning rights for computer use without waiting for the next general revision of copyright law. That revision may be a long time away; widespread computer use for educational and similar purposes will require input of much copyrighted material; individualized use requires the timing of computer output to be at the user's option. An equitable means of satisfying users' as well as authors' interests will have to be developed.—DAEL WOLFLE

5–7. Society for **Applied Anthropology**, 26th annual mtg., Washington D.C. (M. Pearsall, Lafferty Hall, Univ. of Kentucky, Lexington 40506)

3–6. **Rare Earth Research** Conf., 6th, Gatlinburg, Tenn. (W. C. Koehler, Solid State Div., Oak Ridge Natl. Lab., Oak Ridge, Tenn. 37831)

3–7. Fifteenth Colloquium, **Protides** of **Biological Fluids**, Brugge, Belgium. (The Colloquium, P.O. Box 71, Brugge 1)

4–5. **Fiber** Soc., Asheville, N.C. (The Society, Textile Research Inst., P.O. Box 625, Princeton, N.J.)

4–5. **Salt-Water Encroachment** of **Aquifers**, symp., Louisiana State Univ., Baton Rouge. (E. J. Dantin, Louisiana Water Resources Research Inst., Louisiana State Univ., Baton Rouge 70803)

4–6. Society for **American Archaeology**, Ann Arbor, Mich. (E. M. Davis, Dept. of Anthropology, Univ. of Texas, Austin 78712)

4–7. Association of **Clinical Scientists**, Orlando, Fla. (R. P. MacFate, 300 N. State St., Apartment 5322, Chicago, Ill. 60610)

5–7. American Acad. of **Psychoanalysis**, Detroit, Mich. (M. Carroll, The Academy, 125 E. 65 St., New York 10021)

5–7. Society of **Biological Psychiatry**, scientific conv., Detroit, Mich. (The Society, 2010 Wilshire Blvd., Los Angeles, Calif. 90057)

6. Central States **Entomological** Soc., 42nd annual, Univ. of Missouri, Columbia. (R. B. Mills, Dept. of Entomology, Kansas State Univ., Manhattan 66504)

6–8. World **Dredging** Conf., New York, N.Y. (M. Richardson, P.O. Box 88, Palos Verdes Estates, Calif. 90274)

7–11. Third Pan American **Cancer Cytology** Congr., New York, N.Y. (J. E. Ayre, The Congress, 115 E. 69 St., New York 10021)

7–12. **Electrochemical Soc. Sets**, spring mtg., Dallas, Tex. (The Society, 30 E. 42 St., New York 10017)

7–12. **Petroleum** Symp., Banff, Alta., Canada. (Director, Dept. of Extension, Univ. of Alberta, Edmonton, Alta.)

7–13. World Congress of **Motoring Medicine**, Vienna, Austria. (The Congress, Vienna Acad. of Medicine, Alser Strasse 4, A-1090 Vienna)

8–9. "**Power-Play** for **Control of Education**," Education Commission of the States, Denver, Colo. (The Commission, Suite 822, Lincoln Tower Bldg., 1860 Lincoln St., Denver 80203)

8–10. American **Oil Chemists'** Soc., 58th annual, New Orleans, La. (The Society, 35 E. Wacker Dr., Chicago, Ill. 60600)

8–10. **Static Electrification**, 2nd conf., Inst. of Physics and Physical Soc., London, England. (Meetings Officer, The Society, 47 Belgrave Sq., London, S.W.1)

8–10. International Conf. of **Mechanics of Composite Materials**, Philadelphia, Pa. (T. Ryan, Space Sciences Lab., General Electric Co., P.O. Box 8555, Philadelphia 19101)

8–10. Symposium on **Origin and Distribution of the Elements**, Paris, France. (E. Ingerson, Dept. of Geology, Univ. of Texas, Austin 78712)