

inclusions, the latter including DNA and nuclear structure, ribosomes, mitochondria, chloroplasts, granules, and even transfer-RNA. The next chapter is devoted to such aspects as the environment of microbial growth, nutrients, inhibitors, pH, radiation, and temperature. A short chapter on metabolic principles precedes a chapter on permeation and two chapters on energy release and biosynthesis. The latter includes protein and nucleic acid synthesis as well as synthesis of smaller molecules. The regulation of metabolism, both genetic and environmental, is treated in the next chapter. The final two chapters deal with growth and reproduction and with differentiation; the latter is primarily concerned with spore formation.

It is difficult to assess the usefulness of a book of this sort. To one who is quite familiar with the field it will seem curiously incomplete in that the author is trying to cover so much information that he can treat very little of it in any depth. But how does it appear to one who is not familiar with the subject? It could provide an overall survey or serve as an introduction to any of several areas of knowledge. But it could also be a source of difficulty because the author rarely mentions the type of experimental evidence available to support the concepts discussed; indeed, he seems to ignore experiment almost entirely. The volume may well be a useful introduction to the subject, but there are enough uncertainties about it to preclude wholehearted recommendation.

W. W. UMBREIT

*Department of Bacteriology,  
Rutgers University*

## Paleo-American Prehistory

It is now generally accepted that man was present in the New World during the terminal phases of the last Ice Age. There remains, however, considerable difference of opinion as to when the first migration may have occurred. At one extreme are those who hold that there is no evidence for man prior to the terminal phases of the Wisconsin (Two Creeks interval). Others postulate a very early migration, perhaps even pre-Wisconsin, and accept as evidence for this position a number of localities which have yielded only crude tools with general resemblances to Early and Middle Paleolithic materials in the Old

World. Most authorities find themselves somewhat between these extremes. On one hand, they are impressed by the fact that none of the so-called early "pre-projectile" localities have been adequately studied, or by the fact that when they are studied, as in the recent work at Tule Springs, the evidence for early occupation cannot stand careful scrutiny. On the other hand, it is also evident that the earliest firmly dated industry in the New World, the Clovis Complex, with radiocarbon dates clustering between 9000 and 9500 B.C., is markedly unlike any known industry of similar age in Northeast Asia. These differences must have resulted from a long period of isolated development. Two facts—that American Indian languages are diverse and distinct from those of the Old World and that, physically, the American Indian is varied and racially different from the populations in Siberia—are cited as further evidence for a respectable antiquity for man in the New World. The only problem is that the evidence for this antiquity has not been found.

In this book, **Paleo-American Prehistory** (Idaho State University Museum, Pocatello, 1965. 251 pp., \$5), Alan L. Bryan presents an integrated theory in support of a very early occupation of the New World. Bryan summarizes all of the major localities, in some instances offers alternative interpretations, and attempts to reconstruct a historical sequence. The reconstruction is formulated on three basic premises: (i) that American projectile point tradition evolved within the New World from a basic leaf-shaped form; (ii) that the several projectile point traditions evolved at different times and in different places; and (iii) that man was present in the New World prior to the initial development in the Old World of bifacially flaked stone points.

I consider this very useful book one of the most thought provoking of those available on this subject, but the non-specialist should approach it with caution. First and foremost, he must remember that the above premises are only hypotheses, because there is no irrefutable direct evidence to support them. Second, one must be very cautious in using some of the data from this book. For example, Bryan states that "... radiocarbon dates more than 25,000 years old have been obtained from southern North American sites which do not yield 'classic' 'early man' projectile points" (p. 2). He does not state, however, that serious doubts have

been raised about every one of these localities. Another example—and there are many others—is his use of the data from Sandia Cave, and in particular his dating of the Sandia occupation at 20,000 B.C. (p. 42). In other sections of the book Bryan indicates not only his awareness of this problem (there appear to be reasonable grounds for questioning whether the dated samples came from Sandia Cave), but also his knowledge about the recent work by Haynes which suggests that the initial occupation of Sandia Cave was several thousand years later than 20,000 B.C. Nevertheless, in the synthesis sections, a date of 20,000 B.C. for Sandia Cave is used in a manner that leads the reader to believe there was no question about the evidence.

Unless the reader is aware of the dubious nature of most of the data on which Bryan builds his theoretical framework, he may find himself convinced of the plausibility of the arguments; they remain very questionable, to say the least, when alternative interpretations are given adequate consideration. Although I am prepared to accept as probable the postulation that the American projectile point traditions are of New World origin, I remain skeptical concerning the available evidence to support this position. The proof of the argument must rest on new data, carefully collected and adequately documented.

FRED WENDORF

*Department of Anthropology,  
Southern Methodist University*

## History of Medicine

W. D. Foster's book, **A History of Parasitology** (Livingstone, London; William and Wilkins, Baltimore, 1965. 210 pp., \$8.25), undoubtedly grew out of his earlier works—*A Short History of Clinical Pathology* (1961) and *"The rise of chemical pathology"* (1963). In the preface Foster says, "There is no book on the history of parasitology in English and I am not aware of one in any other language. This gap in the literature I have tried to fill in outline. . . . The period covered is from ancient times to about 1920 by which time parasitology was a well established branch of biology." He explains that he has not included more modern work because "to assess its relative importance would need a professional parasitologist which I am not.