SCIENCE

AMERICAN ASSOCIATION FOR THE ADVANCEMENT OF SCIENCE

Science serves its readers as a forum for the presentation and discussion of important issues related to the advancement of science, including the presentation of minority or conflicting points of view, rather than by publishing only material on which a consensus has been reached. Accordingly, all articles published in *Science*—including editorials, news and comment, and book reviews—are signed and reflect the individual views of the authors and not official points of view adopted by the AAAS or the institutions with which the authors are affiliated.

Editorial Board

ROBERT L. BOWMAN	WILLARD F. LIBBY
MELVIN CALVIN	GORDON J. F. MACDONALD
JOSEPH W. CHAMBERLAIN	Everett I. Mendelsohn
FARRINGTON DANIELS	NEAL E. MILLER
JOHN T. EDSALL	JOHN R. PIERCE
DAVID R. GODDARD	COLIN S. PITTENDRIGH
EMIL HAURY	KENNETH S. PITZER
ALEXANDER HOLLAENDER	ALEXANDER RICH
ROBERT JASTROW	DEWITT STETTEN, JR.
EDWIN M. LERNER, II	EDWARD L. TATUM
CLARENCE	M. ZENER

Editorial Staff

Editor

PHILIP H. ABELSON Business Manager

DAEL WOLFLE HANS NUSSBAUM

Managing Editor: ROBERT V. ORMES

Publisher

Director

EARL J. SCHERAGO

Assistant Editors: Ellen E. Murphy, John E. Ringle

Assistant to the Editor: NANCY TEIMOURIAN

News and Comment: DANIEL S. GREENBERG, JOHN WALSH, ELINOR LANGER, LUTHER J. CARTER, MARION ZEIGER, JANE AYRES

Europe: VICTOR K. MCELHENY, Flat 3, 18 Kensington Court Place, London, W.8, England (Western 5360)

Book Reviews: SARAH S. DEES

Editorial Assistants: ISABELLA BOULDIN, ELEA-NORE BUTZ, BEN CARLIN, SYLVIA EBERHART, GRAYCE FINGER, NANCY HAMILTON, OLIVER HEAT-WOLE, ANNE HOLDSWORTH, ELLEN KOLANSKY, KATHERINE LIVINGSTON

Advertising Staff

Production Manager

RAYMONDE SALAMA

Sales: New York, N.Y., 11 W. 42 St. (212-PE-6-1858): RICHARD L. CHARLES, ROBERT S. BUGBEE Scotch Plains, N.J., 12 Unami Lane (201-889-4873): C. RICHARD CALLIS

4873): C. RICHARD CALLIS Chicago, Ill., 6 W. Ontario St. (312-DE-7-4973): HERBERT BURKLUND

Los Angeles 45, Calif., 8255 Beverly Blvd. (213-653-9817): WINN NANCE

EDITORIAL CORRESPONDENCE: 1515 Massachusetts Ave., NW, Washington, D.C. 20005. Phone: 202-387-7171. Cable: Advancesci, Washington. Copies of "Instructions for Contributors" can be obtained from the editorial office. ADVERTISING CORRESPONDENCE: Rm. 1740, 11 W. 42 St., New York, N.Y. 10036. Phone: 212-PE 6-1858.

Diversity of Institutional Goals

Too many of the institutions that are changing their roles—typically but by no means exclusively the state teachers colleges that are becoming state colleges or universities—seem to see only one proper model to follow: the great, complex university. They hope to become Harvards, or Berkeleys, or Michigans, or Chicagos.

Many of the institutions on this overcrowded bandwagon will fall off. There are not enough scholars in chemistry or sociology or history to staff first-rate departments in all the institutions that are hunting the formula for Instant Harvard. Accelerators, observatories, great research libraries, and some other specialized facilities cost too much to be placed in every college town. In some fields the critical number of scholars and assistants and the variety of supporting equipment and facilities needed to achieve excellence seem to be increasing, a trend that leads to concentration in fewer centers rather than division among more.

Some universities will make the grade, and the country will be benefited by the increase. But what of those that are going to fail?

They need some dignified alternatives. We need to foster the development of diverse criteria of excellence so that, with realistic appreciation of their own special situations, different institutions can strive toward different goals instead of all trying to head in the same direction. Fortunately, there are enough examples in existence to give hope that there can be more.

One opportunity lies in specialization. Cal Tech is not a universal university; it just tries to be the very best in its chosen fields. Why should not a few institutions strive for comparable quality in the social sciences or the humanities, without worrying about astronomy or engineering?

A few bold institutions could decide to emphasize some relatively unpopular or neglected fields, in which a position of high standing might be achieved quickly. Mechanical engineering and systematic biology are still important, even though they have been living in the shadow of currently more glamorous fields. On a campus where these were the most prestigious departments, they would attract more of the best students.

There are other kinds of specialization. A few college presidents want their institutions to continue to be first-rate liberal arts colleges or to concentrate on training teachers for elementary and secondary schools. There is opportunity for educational excellence in these important areas.

Another opportunity lies in much greater interinstitutional cooperation. Regional compacts, state plans for higher education, and a variety of voluntary arrangements should be encouraged to go farther than they have as yet. Paul Weiss has argued that no single university can any longer hope to be a universal university, and that all must group themselves into communities of universities and colleges. Within such a community each institution could take pride in the accomplishments of the whole and in its contribution to that whole.

To increase the attractiveness of these alternative routes to eminence will require both changes in attitudes among educators and some different formulas for distributing funds. Most of the federal money for higher education is so administered as to put a premium on size, number of students, amount of research, or other characteristics of the big university. Other criteria could be used, but they should be deliberately planned to encourage other lines of development. Simply changing the geographic distribution or increasing the amount in order to be able to lower requirements will not do the job. The more diverse educational goals should be encouraged because they are praiseworthy in their own right, not as consolation prizes.—DAEL WOLFLE