

# Letters

## International Studies and the Academicians

In his criticism of the "discipline orientation" of the universities ("But is the teacher also a citizen?", 6 Aug., p. 601), A. M. Weinberg illustrates his argument with the recommendations made by a panel of the National Academy of Sciences for the treatment of the population problem. This example recalls a visit I made a few years ago to the *favelas* of Rio de Janeiro, those teeming hillside slums. The reaction of an ordinary person was to say, "Something must be done about this." The response of my academic companions was, "We need to study the problem more." Men of action are now cleaning up the *favelas*, and the academicians have been left with their Byzantine concern for more studies.

Indeed, the so-called disciplines have undermined international studies and area studies. In a university of distinction, to use the current public-relations jargon, researchers must be engaged in "pushing back the frontiers of knowledge," in making "scientific breakthroughs"; and the mirage of victory is apparently sufficient to produce the research funds that are the jam on our academic bread and butter. But it takes breadth of interest and experience to master and consolidate all the materials required for an area study. I do not now find in the American academic community many scholars with a broad grasp of Latin American affairs, a knowledge of the languages, an acquaintance with the people and the leaders, long and repeated experience throughout the area. Instead we are turning out relatively uninformed scholars who are testing their anthropological or sociological hypotheses on some group of South American Indians or some tribe in Southeast Asia; usually they study

Asia when there is money for Asia and Latin America when there is money for Latin America. Those who devote their lives to the organization of information about an area and research based on this consolidation are dismissed as "area-bound" and pragmatic, and research funds go to the more "scientific" disciplinary studies. The resultant tragedy in our universities has not been sufficiently publicized.

RONALD HILTON

766 Santa Ynez,  
Stanford, California

## Achievement

Wolfe notes ("The freshman class," 24 Sept., p. 1453) that "college grades and the measures that best predict them are relatively poor predictors of other kinds of achievement and of later success in most professional fields." I believe that one reason is that our classroom experiences are not close enough to the real world. The classroom treats the ideal case. Problems in the texts always have solutions. We establish and enforce a high code of ethics in the classroom and then send our graduates out to compete in a world that is quite different.

I agree that more research should be done on "how to select those who will be real achievers in a variety of fields." But first we must agree on what is a real criterion of success or achievement in life after school and how to measure it.

JOHN W. HAMBLÉN

Southern Regional Education Board,  
130 Sixth Street NW,  
Atlanta, Georgia

. . . First we must develop a majority opinion on "proof of achievement." I think most AAAS members will agree that yearly income, lifetime accumula-

tion of worldly goods, or even a record of having caused the least trouble for other people during one's lifetime is not the type of achievement Wolfe means, although each of these achievements is praised by many. Much praise has been heaped on dedicated teachers, yet we still lack any standard test and yearly graded awards for our teachers; evidently we do not want to reward them since it would not be difficult to find proper ways of doing so. Have they, then, "achieved"?

Shall we measure success by the number of words, articles, books published, public lectures given, patents received, days spent on professional trips? How many forgotten pages equal one valuable paper or idea in it? Shall we measure success by the number of years spent in administrative service, graded according to degrees of responsibility or authority?

I believe that many of our smartest people have upset the "test predictions" by taking seriously the view . . . that none of these is a measure of true achievement, success, happiness, or satisfaction. Instead, they have believed what our best minds keep saying: that true achievement is measured by how much better off the world is for our having lived in it. It is measured by the time and thought we devote to our families, to other peoples, to setting an honest and good example to the best of our ability. These can be quite time- and energy-consuming. . . .

ROBERT B. BENNETT

Department of Chemical Engineering,  
University of Florida,  
Gainesville 32603

. . . Great efforts are being made to produce better curricula, better instruction, but no similar effort is devoted to the problem of choosing careers. Nearly all the high school and early college students of my acquaintance have only the foggiest notions, if any at all, of what they want to become or are capable of becoming. Almost no data are placed before them about the kinds of life led by professional people. (Most do know the kind of work available to nongraduates—a threat held over the heads of the laggards.)

Is it not necessary—and possible—to display and discuss for these young people the "world beyond" in terms they can understand, and then offer them some means of correlating their own likes, hopes, and talents with the