

American Association for the Advancement of Science

BOARD OF DIRECTORS

Paul M. Gross, <i>Retiring President, Chairman</i>	
Alan T. Waterman, <i>President</i>	
Laurence M. Gould, <i>President Elect</i>	
Henry Eyring	Mina Rees
John W. Gardner	Walter Orr Roberts
H. Bentley Glass	Alfred S. Romer
Don K. Price	H. Burr Steinbach
Paul E. Klopsteg	Dael Wolfe
<i>Treasurer</i>	<i>Executive Officer</i>

VICE PRESIDENTS AND SECRETARIES OF SECTIONS

MATHEMATICS (A)	
Magnus R. Hestenes	Wallace Givens
PHYSICS (B)	
Elmer Hutchisson	Stanley S. Ballard
CHEMISTRY (C)	
Milton Orchin	S. L. Meisel
ASTRONOMY (D)	
Paul Herget	Frank Bradshaw Wood
GEOLOGY AND GEOGRAPHY (E)	
John C. Reed	Richard H. Mahard
ZOOLOGICAL SCIENCES (F)	
Dietrich Bodenstein	David W. Bishop
BOTANICAL SCIENCES (G)	
Aaron J. Sharp	Harriet B. Creighton
ANTHROPOLOGY (H)	
David A. Baerreis	Eleanor Leacock
PSYCHOLOGY (I)	
Lloyd G. Humphreys	Frank W. Finger
SOCIAL AND ECONOMIC SCIENCES (K)	
Kingsley Davis	Ithiel de Sola Pool
HISTORY AND PHILOSOPHY OF SCIENCE (L)	
Adolph Grünbaum	N. Russell Hanson
ENGINEERING (M)	
Clarence E. Davies	Leroy K. Wheelock
MEDICAL SCIENCES (N)	
Francis D. Moore	Oscar Touster
DENTISTRY (Nd)	
Paul E. Boyle	S. J. Kreshover
PHARMACEUTICAL SCIENCES (Np)	
Don E. Francke	Joseph P. Buckley
AGRICULTURE (O)	
A. H. Moseman	Howard B. Sprague
INDUSTRIAL SCIENCE (P)	
Alfred T. Waidehch	Allen T. Bonnell
EDUCATION (Q)	
H. E. Wise	Herbert A. Smith
INFORMATION AND COMMUNICATION (T)	
Foster E. Mohrhardt	Phyllis V. Parkins
STATISTICS (U)	
Harold Hotelling	Morris B. Ullman

PACIFIC DIVISION

Phil E. Church	Robert C. Miller
<i>President</i>	<i>Secretary</i>

SOUTHWESTERN AND ROCKY MOUNTAIN DIVISION

Edwin R. Helwig	Marlowe G. Anderson
<i>President</i>	<i>Executive Secretary</i>

ALASKA DIVISION

Allan H. Mick	George Dahlgren
<i>President</i>	<i>Executive Secretary</i>

The American Association for the Advancement of Science was founded in 1848 and incorporated in 1874. Its objects are to further the work of scientists, to facilitate cooperation among them, to improve the effectiveness of science in the promotion of human welfare, and to increase public understanding and appreciation of the importance and promise of the methods of science in human progress.

In Memory of . . .

Each year we receive a few letters asking us to contribute to a memorial trust that is being established to honor an eminent scholar or teacher. Occasionally someone writes proposing to use his own estate for this purpose. These letters always pose a problem: the man's memory deserves honor, but there is real question whether there will be effective handling of a fund that almost certainly will be small. If the income is to be used locally—for example, to support a lectureship or a scholarship at a particular institution—and if the management is centralized, as in a faculty committee at that institution, there is reason to hope that the fund will be effectively utilized. But if these conditions are not met, the management may be pretty casual and the income may not be used very effectively, or the time required for thoughtful management may be unreasonably great for the amount of money involved.

Twenty-odd years ago a former president of the AAAS established a trust fund to support research in the field in which he was particularly interested. The fund is small and not widely known. Its grants have therefore been subject to the variable quality and size of the requests received each year. The trustees have been conscientious, and much of the income has been used to carry out the donor's wishes. But in some years the trustees have not found work of suitable merit and character to support. Instead of frittering the money away on work of poor quality, they have in those years added some of the income to capital. This action has led to a new difficulty: the Internal Revenue Service has charged the fund with unreasonable accumulation of income and is threatening to remove its tax-exempt status. This situation may serve as a warning to those who accept responsibility for the management of other small trusts.

This particular trust has partly solved its problem in a fashion that may be of interest to the sponsors or trustees of other small memorial funds: by transferring income to the Sigma Xi-RESA Research Fund. That fund is widely known and thoughtfully administered. Its small grants (\$100 to \$2000) provide all fields of science with a kind of support that is not likely to be supplied by grants from government agencies or large private foundations. Sigma Xi would welcome additional monies for its Research Fund, and it has in fact recently increased its own contributions because the amount of money available annually has been insufficient to meet all the meritorious requests. The officers of Sigma Xi are willing to take over responsibility for other funds, either by accepting the entire trust or by making grants from annual income. The cost of selecting appropriate grantees and other administrative costs would be borne by Sigma Xi, so all of the income from a transferred fund would be used for research grants.

We commend the idea to those who are proposing to establish new memorial trusts and to those who are finding it difficult to use in satisfactorily constructive ways the income from existing funds of this character. Surely the man whose memory is being honored would want the fund that bears his name to be used as constructively as possible. Sigma Xi offers a convenient and respected means of achieving this end.—D.W.