

American Association for the Advancement of Science

BOARD OF DIRECTORS

Paul M. Gross, <i>Retiring President, Chairman</i>	
Alan T. Waterman, <i>President</i>	
Laurence M. Gould, <i>President Elect</i>	
Henry Eyring	Mina Rees
John W. Gardner	Walter Orr Roberts
H. Bentley Glass	Alfred S. Romer
Don K. Price	H. Burr Steinbach
Paul E. Klopsteg	Dael Woffle
<i>Treasurer</i>	<i>Executive Officer</i>

VICE PRESIDENTS AND SECRETARIES OF SECTIONS

MATHEMATICS (A)	
Magnus R. Hestenes	Wallace Givens
PHYSICS (B)	
Elmer Hutchisson	Stanley S. Ballard
CHEMISTRY (C)	
Milton Orchin	S. L. Meisel
ASTRONOMY (D)	
Paul Herget	Frank Bradshaw Wood
GEOLOGY AND GEOGRAPHY (E)	
John C. Reed	Richard H. Mahard
ZOOLOGICAL SCIENCES (F)	
Dietrich Bodenstein	David W. Bishop
BOTANICAL SCIENCES (G)	
Aaron J. Sharp	Harriet B. Creighton
ANTHROPOLOGY (H)	
David A. Baerreis	Eleanor Leacock
PSYCHOLOGY (I)	
Lloyd G. Humphreys	Frank W. Finger
SOCIAL AND ECONOMIC SCIENCES (K)	
Kingsley Davis	Ithiel de Sola Pool
HISTORY AND PHILOSOPHY OF SCIENCE (L)	
Adolph Grünbaum	N. Russell Hanson
ENGINEERING (M)	
Clarence E. Davies	Leroy K. Wheelock
MEDICAL SCIENCES (N)	
Francis D. Moore	Oscar Touster
DENTISTRY (Nd)	
Paul E. Boyle	S. J. Kreshover
PHARMACEUTICAL SCIENCES (Np)	
Don E. Francke	Joseph P. Buckley
AGRICULTURE (O)	
A. H. Moseman	Howard B. Sprague
INDUSTRIAL SCIENCE (P)	
Alfred T. Waidelich	Allen T. Bonnell
EDUCATION (Q)	
H. E. Wise	Herbert A. Smith
INFORMATION AND COMMUNICATION (T)	
Foster E. Mohrhardt	Phyllis V. Parkins
STATISTICS (U)	
Harold Hotelling	Morris B. Ullman

PACIFIC DIVISION

Phil E. Church	Robert C. Miller
<i>President</i>	<i>Secretary</i>

SOUTHWESTERN AND ROCKY MOUNTAIN DIVISION

Edwin R. Helwig	Marlowe G. Anderson
<i>President</i>	<i>Executive Secretary</i>

ALASKA DIVISION

Allan H. Mick	George Dahlgren
<i>President</i>	<i>Executive Secretary</i>

The American Association for the Advancement of Science was founded in 1848 and incorporated in 1874. Its objects are to further the work of scientists, to facilitate cooperation among them, to improve the effectiveness of science in the promotion of human welfare, and to increase public understanding and appreciation of the importance and promise of the methods of science in human progress.

Standards for Science Teachers

A useful and satisfying chapter in the history of the Association ends this month with completion of the studies of the qualifications of science and mathematics teachers that AAAS has carried out jointly with the National Association of State Directors of Teacher Education and Certification. One study analyzed the characteristics and education of secondary school teachers of science and mathematics [*Science* **140**, 880 (1963)]. Another, to be published soon, recommends standards for the education in science and mathematics of prospective elementary school teachers.

The other study is the one that started collaboration between the two associations. Several years ago, the National Association of State Directors of Teacher Education and Certification became concerned about the inadequate subject-matter preparation of the new teachers they are called upon to certify, especially high school teachers of science and mathematics. With support from the Carnegie Corporation of New York and with a good start provided by the AAAS Co-operative Committee on the Teaching of Science and Mathematics [*Science* **131**, 1024 (1960)], the two associations collaborated in an extensive series of discussions, conferences, and sometimes compromises that resulted, in September of 1961, in the publication of a set of guidelines for the education of secondary school teachers of mathematics and science.

These guidelines recommend that a prospective science or mathematics teacher earn an undergraduate major in the field in which he plans to teach, that his program emphasize those areas of the discipline that are taught in high school, and that the undergraduate work be adequate in quality and quantity to permit him later to pursue honest graduate work in his field. The guidelines also recommend that a fifth year of college or university work, which increasingly is required of teachers, emphasize courses in the subject to be taught.

These guidelines have been adopted, wholly or in substantial part, by Arizona, Arkansas, Georgia, Indiana, Kansas, Louisiana, Mississippi, New Mexico, North Carolina, Oregon, Pennsylvania, Puerto Rico, Utah, and West Virginia, and have been "approved," without being "adopted," by Alabama, Colorado, Connecticut, Illinois, Maryland, Massachusetts, Montana, Nevada, New York, Oklahoma, Rhode Island, and Vermont. Action is pending in a number of other states; at least 170 colleges and universities have adopted the guidelines as standards for their own programs; and North Carolina used them as a basis for new standards in fields other than science and mathematics.

Here, then, is a fine and useful start in the direction of better educated teachers. There is, of course, a long way to go, and for some time to come there will be real justification for criticism of inadequacies of teacher education. At the same time, the fact that half of the states have so quickly adopted or approved the higher standards set forth in the new guidelines promises substantial improvement in the subject-matter qualifications of high school science and mathematics teachers. Scientists will no doubt approve this trend wholeheartedly. As they do, they can give credit to an educational organization for being a prime mover in bringing about this change.—D.W.