## Hemoglobin Loci: Mice Classified for Their Hb and Sol Alleles

Abstract. Two loci which influence the structure of the alpha and beta chains of hemoglobin have been identified and are designated Sol and Hb. At least four alleles at Sol and two at Hb have been distinguished among inbred strains.

The electrophoretic patterns, single and diffuse, of mouse hemoglobin are governed by the alleles  $Hb^1$  and  $Hb^2$ (1, 2). Alleles at Hb of many strains of mice have been reported (3, 4). A second characteristic, solubility in potassium phosphate buffer (5), has been used to distinguish hemoglobins with similar electrophoretic properties (6). The solubility and the crystalline properties of hemoglobin are governed by alleles at a second locus, Sol, which segregates independently from Hb (7). The alleles at Sol of only a few strains have been reported (7), but the alleles at Sol, and coincidentally at Hb, of 20 strains of mice have been identified and are now presented.

Techniques for identifying alleles at Sol and Hb after salting out of carbonmonoxyhemoglobin in K2HPO4-KH2  $PO_4$  buffer have been reported (7). Strain C57BL mice, whose hemoglobin genotype has been defined by the symbols  $Hb^1/Hb^1$ ,  $Sol^1/Sol^1$ , were mated with mice in which the Sol type was to be determined. Other strains of mice, for example SEC, BALB/c, and 101, were used for test crosses after their hemoglobin types had been established. The hemoglobin genotypes of  $F_1$ ,  $F_2$ , and BC1 progeny were classified by comparing the solubility and crystalline properties of the hemoglobin of each mouse with known types of hemoglobin which were analyzed concurrently. Presumably, progeny possessing hemoglobin with solubility and crystalline properties not previously identified had alleles at Hb or Sol which had not been previously studied. Whether the solubility properties were governed by alleles at Hb or Sol could be determined when the strains possessed distinguishable hemoglobin and coat-color markers in the first linkage group, since Hb and care fairly tightly linked (3) and c and Sol segregate independently (7).

The strains and their hemotypes are presented in Table 1. The crossover frequency between Hb and c is given where the mating permitted it to be determined.

Inbred strains, for example C57BL, C57BL/6, C57BL/6cc and C57L, that were derived from a common line had 24 MAY 1963

similar Sol types. A similar relationship between the distribution of Hb and the history of development of strains was found by Russell and Gerald (4). Although strains A, BALB/c, C3H, and DBA/2 were derived from a common non-inbred stock of mice, three Sol genotypes were found among these strains which suggests heterogeneity for Sol before inbreeding began. Strain SEC mice, which were derived from progeny of an NB × BALB/c mating, carry the  $Hb^1$  and Sol<sup>2</sup> alleles of their NB and BALB/c parents (8). One previously unknown allele at Sol  $(Sol^*)$  was discovered during this study. A more complete description of the effect of Sol<sup>\*</sup> on the physicochemical properties of mouse hemoglobin is in preparation (9). This allele was identified on the basis of solubility studies in conjunction with peptide analyses. The Sol alleles of some strains listed in Table 1 have not yet been established.

The nomenclature provides a means for designating several types of mouse hemoglobins based on their electrophoretic, solubility, and crystalline properties. Differences in such physicochemical properties correspond to alterations in the primary structure of the  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$  chains (10, 11, 12). Analyses of tryptic peptides of the  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$ chains of hemoglobins of inbred mice

Table 1. Hemotype of 20 strains of mice. The offspring analyzed were  $F_2$  or BC<sub>1</sub>, or both. Crossover frequency is based on  $F_2$  data only.

Strain*	Hemotype	Determined from matings with strains	Offspring analyzed (No.)	Crossover frequency $\pm$ s.e. of <i>Hb</i> and <i>c</i>					
					C57BL/Cum	Hb <sup>1</sup> Sol <sup>1</sup>	By definition		
					C57L/R1	Hb <sup>1</sup> Sol <sup>1</sup>	Α	71	
C57BL/6Cum	Hb <sup>1</sup> Sol <sup>1</sup>	DBA/2	28						
C57BL/6ccLs	Hb <sup>1</sup> Sol <sup>1</sup>	СЗН	57	$0.0395 \pm 0.0264$					
FUS/Ls	Hb <sup>1</sup> Sol <sup>1</sup>	BALB/c	43	$0.0938 \pm 0.0471$					
SEC/R1	Hb1Sol2	C57BL	703						
HBS	Hb <sup>1</sup> Sol <sup>2</sup>	(See 7)							
NB/RI	Hb <sup>1</sup> Sol <sup>3</sup>	BALB/c	200						
101/Cum	Hb <sup>2</sup> Sol <sup>1</sup>	C57BL	397						
		SEC	139	$0.0793 \pm 0.0242$					
A/Cum	Hb <sup>2</sup> Sol <sup>1</sup>	C57L	71						
RFM/Up	Hb <sup>2</sup> Sol <sup>1</sup>	C57BL	56						
AKR/Up	Hb <sup>2</sup> Sol <sup>1</sup>	C57BL	40	$0.0667 \pm 0.0411$					
HBD	Hb <sup>2</sup> Sol <sup>1</sup>	(See 7)							
DBA/2Cum	Hb <sup>2</sup> Sol <sup>1</sup>	C57BL/6	28						
,		SEC	105	$0.0936 \pm 0.0381$					
CFCW/R1	Hb <sup>2</sup> Sol <sup>1</sup>	C57BL	79	$0.0527 \pm 0.0259$					
		SEC	125	0.0227					
RUS/RI	Hb2Sol1	C57BL	25						
129/R1	Hb <sup>2</sup> Sol <sup>1</sup>	C57BL	85.	0.0429 + 0.0225					
CFW/R1	Hb <sup>2</sup> Sol <sup>1</sup>	C57BL	113	$0.0359 \pm 0.0179$					
BALB/cJ	Hb <sup>2</sup> Sol <sup>2</sup>	C57BL	250	$0.0387 \pm 0.0141$					
	110 501	SEC	83	0.0307 = 0.0141					
C3H•B/St	Hb2Sol4	C57BI	173						
	110 501	SEC	45	0.0394 + 0.0297					
SEAB/RI	Hb2Solt	C57BI	124	0.0394 = 0.0297					
		SEC	108	0.0508 + 0.0280					
WC/Re	Hh2Solt	C57BI	07	$0.0398 \pm 0.0289$					
		SEC	214	$0.0612 \pm 0.0224$					
CBA/Cum	Hh2Solt	C57BI	82	0.0012 = 0.0224					
	110-501	SEC	132	0.0339 + 0.0227					
FU/R1	Hb2Solt	C57BI	92	$0.0339 \pm 0.0227$					
C3H/Cum	Hb2Solt	C57BI	25	0.0307 = 0.0200					
$C3H \cdot K / ccSn$	Hb2Solt	C57BI	08	0.0788 + 0.0386					
C3H•K/CCSn	Hb2Solt	C57BL /600	57	0.0788 = 0.0280					
FLEX/1Re	Hb1Solt	101	95	$0.0393 \equiv 0.0264$					
	110-501	SEC	144						
FLEX/2Re	Ub2Sal+	C57PI	70						
	110-301	CJ/BL SEC	150	0.0044 0.0274					
11 <b>G</b> /1Rl	Ub 1Sol+	3EC 101	132	$0.0944 \pm 0.0374$					
	10.201	SEC	91 11 <i>C</i>						
11G/2R1	Uh2Sal*	SEU CS7DI	110						
110/281	n0*501	CJ/BL SEC	23	0.1007 0.0000					
		SEC	121	$0.1096 \pm 0.0399$					

\* Source of mice: Cum: Cumberland View Farms, Clinton, Tennessee; R1: W. Russell, Biology Division, Oak Ridge National Laboratory; Ls: E. Les, Roscoe B. Jackson, Memorial Laboratory, Bar Harbor, Maine; Up: A. Upton, Biology Division, Oak Ridge National Laboratory; J: Jackson, Roscoe B. Jackson Memorial Laboratory; St: L. Strong, Roswell Park Memorial Institute, Springville, New York; Re: E. Russell, Roscoe B. Jackson Memorial Laboratory; Sn: G. Snell, Roscoe B. Jackson Memorial Laboratory. † Sol type is not Sol<sup>1</sup> or Sol<sup>2</sup>. Present data do not permit further identification.

and  $F_2$  progeny show that the primary structure of the  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$  chains of mouse hemoglobins are governed by Sol and Hb (10, 11). The data presented here provide a basis for selecting several different mouse hemoglobins for further studies on: (i) allelomorphism and amino acid sequences, and (ii) molecular structure and biological function.

Additional information on the crossover frequency between c and Hb and on the position of Sol in the mouse genome is also given. Data on the crossover frequency between c and Hbare presented in Table 1. These values were calculated by the product method (13) from  $F_2$  data alone since we obtained comparatively little data on backcrosses in which crossovers between alleles at c and Hb were phenotypically expressed. Crossover frequencies from different matings varied considerably (Table 1) and ranged from 3.39 to 10.96 percent among relatively small samples. The average recombination value (1459 mice) for the combinations reported here is  $0.0647 \pm 0.0067$ , which is slightly higher than the value of  $0.0413 \pm 0.0061$  reported earlier (3). Combined analysis of the backcross data (359 mice) gives a crossover value of  $0.0947 \pm 0.0155$ , which is somewhat higher. These values were computed from many strains of mice not previously used; for some strains, the recombination frequency between c and Hbappears to be greater than 5 percent. Since the progeny were classified for coat-color and other visible phenotypic differences, a comparison of such phenotypes with Sol types indicates that Sol is not sex-linked or closely linked with p, c, Hb, d, se, W, s, A, Ca, b, Fu, ru, In, f, Es, fs, or with the black-eyed white trait of strain C3H.B. Furthermore, no selective survival of mice with any of the Sol types was noted.

Inheritance of hemoglobin in mouse and man appears to be similar. Two loci, which segregate independently, control the primary structure of the  $\alpha$ - and  $\beta$ -chain polypeptides. In mice with two or more hemoglobins distinguishable by electrophoresis the  $\beta$ chain locus may be compound, or two loci may be tightly linked as are the  $\beta$ - and  $\delta$ -chain loci in man. Knowledge of whether the  $\beta$ -chain locus and albinism are linked in man as they are in the mouse would help to determine whether homologous portions of the chromosome have remained intact during evolution. Mating mice of known hemoglobin genotypes makes the mouse useful for investigating some hypotheses concerning the inheritance of hemoglobin.

RAYMOND A. POPP Biology Division, Oak Ridge National Laboratory,\* Oak Ridge, Tennessee

## **References and Notes**

- 1. S. Gluecksohn-Waelsch, H. M. Ranney, B. F.

- S. Guecksohn-Waelsch, H. M. Rahney, B. F. Sisken, J. Clin. Invest. 36, 753 (1957).
   S. Glucksohn-Waelsch, J. Cellular Comp. *Physiol.* 56 (Suppl. 1), 89 (1960).
   R. A. Popp and W. St. Amand, J. Heredity 51, 141 (1960).
   E. S. Russell and P. S. Gerald, Science 128, 1550 (1958).
- 4. E. S. Russell and F. S. C. 1569 (1958).
  5. R. A. Popp and G. E. Cosgrove, *Proc. Soc. Exptl. Biol. Med.* 101, 754 (1959).
  6. R. A. Popp, J. Heredity 53, 75 (1962).
  7. \_\_\_\_\_, *ibid.* p. 147.

- -, *ioia.*, p. 17. -, *ibid.*, p. 147. -, in preparation. -, J. Heredity 53, 142 (1962). 10
- , *i*. *internal* 55, 142 (192).
   , *ibid.*, p. 148.
   J. J. Hutton, J. Bishop, R. Schweet, E. S. Russell, *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.* 48, 1718 12.
- F. R. Immer, Genetics 15, 81 (1930).
   \* Operated by Union Carbide Corporation for the U.S. Atomic Energy Commission.

18 March 1963

## **Plankton: Optimum Diversity**

## Structure of a Summer Community

Abstract. The summer plankton community of the York River, Virginia, expends energy to establish and maintain a definite vertical diversity structure in a comparatively unstructured environment. The resultant organization, which involves relationships between diversity, power and efficiency, and stability, is nearly optimal for maximizing profit on the original energy investment.

How energy balance is achieved in a natural plankton community can be viewed from the standpoint of linear programming (1). The community is construed at a given time as having a pool of resources, such as nutrients, growth substances, stocks of organisms with various requirements and properties, and an energy supply. The problem is how to allocate the resources in such a way as to produce an optimum species composition, one which would bring to maximum the community's energy profit under existing conditions in the biotope.

To set this up for linear programming, we might define m as the number of species *i* in the pool (i = 1, 2, ..., 2) $\ldots, m$ ; *n* as the number of resources  $j (j = 1, 2, ..., n); a_{ij}$  as the number of units of resource j required to produce a unit of species i;  $b_i$  as the maximum number of units of resource i available;  $p_i$  as the energy profit per unit of species i produced; and  $x_i$  as the number of units of species *i* produced. Then, the total amount of the *j*th resource used is

$$a_{1j}x_1 + a_{2j}x_2 + \ldots + a_{mj}x_m$$

subject to the constraint

$$\sum_{\substack{i=1}^{m}a_{ij}x_i\leq b_j.$$

Since  $x_i < 0$  has no meaning, we stipulate  $x_i \ge 0$ . The profit derived from producing  $x_i$  units of organism *i* is then  $p_i x_i$ , giving for a profit function

$$p_1x_1+p_2x_2+\ldots+p_mx_m$$

which is to be maximized. The solution, readily obtained by established procedures, represents the optimum composition (diversity) of the community corresponding to the prescribed conditions of the problem and the profit-maximizing motive.

Although such a formulation would be difficult to apply empirically (for example, trying to provide data for  $a_{ij}$ and  $p_i$ ), as a model it delineates the enormous problem in logistics and communication associated with evolving and maintaining optimum structure in a community. In this context, let us consider the problem of optimum diversity in a particular summer plankton community.

Energy flow in the community at a station in the lower York River, Virginia, was studied during the summer of 1960 by means of dark and light bottle microcosms (2); the primary variables measured were gross production  $(\pi)$  and respiration  $(\rho)$  of the enclosed samples. Ten 24-hour experiments were conducted. The depths investigated were 2, 6, and 10 feet. Since the mean 24-hour compensation depth (the depth at which  $\pi = \rho$ ) was 6.5 feet, the samples from 2 feet were naturally in positive energy balance  $(\pi - \rho > 0)$ , those from 6 feet were approximately in steady state  $(\pi - \rho)$  $\approx$  0), and those from 10 feet were in negative balance  $(\pi - \rho < 0)$ . In the field, water samples were enclosed in paired dark and light BOD bottles and resuspended in the water column. The dark bottles were suspended at the same depths from which the samples they contained had been collected,